# Judge Philosophy Template-PF-TOC18

#### Directions

This template is intended as a starting point for items to address in your judge philosophy.

If you already have a philosophy, this is not required, but it is advised that you review your philosophy as there may be template items you would like to address.

#### Template

Name:

School Affiliation:

Number of Years Judging Public Forum:

Number of Years Competing in Public Forum:

Number of Years Judging Other Forensic Activities:

Number of Years Competing in Other Forensic Activities:

If you are a coach, what events do you coach?

What is your current occupation?

Please share your opinions or beliefs about how the following play into a debate round:

Speed of Delivery

Format of Summary Speeches (line by line? big picture?)

Role of the Final Focus

Extension of Arguments into later speeches

Topicality

Plans

Kritiks

Flowing/note-taking

Do you value argument over style? Style over argument? Argument and style equally?

If a team plans to win the debate on an argument, in your opinion does that argument have to be extended in the rebuttal or summary speeches?

If a team is second speaking, do you require that the team cover the opponents’ case as well as answers to its opponents’ rebuttal in the rebuttal speech?

Do you vote for arguments that are first raised in the grand crossfire or final focus?

If you have anything else you'd like to add to better inform students of your expectations and/or experience, please do so here.