

# CCIU Discussion:

## Speaker Evaluation Form

5 = Excellent

4 = Good

3 = Adequate

2 = Fair

1 = Poor

USE ONE BALLOT FOR EACH SPEECH

CRITERIA AND EXPLANATION	RATING
1. DELIVERY- SERIOUSNESS OF PURPOSE, STYLE, POISE, COHERENCY COMMENTS:	Score 5-1
2. ORIGINALITY OF THOUGHT- DOES SPEECH ADVANCE DEBATE? OR MERELY REHASH OLD POINTS? COMMENTS:	Score 5-1
3. ORGANIZATION AND UNITY OF SPEECH- DOES IT RAMBLE? DOES IT DEVELOP A MAIN IDEA OR IDEAS? COMMENTS:	Score 5-1
4. EVIDENCE AND LOGICAL BASIS FOR STATEMENTS- BREADTH OF KNOWLEDGE IN SUBJECT COMMENTS:	Score 5-1
5. OVERALL IMPACT AND IMPRESSION COMMENTS:	Score 5-1

JUDGES ARE URGED TO WRITE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE BACK OF THIS PAGE

CRITIQUE POINT TOTAL: Scorekeepers are asked to add up the scores given in the five above critique areas and to place a total in this box.	Total 5-25
POINTS FOR THIS SPEECH While not necessarily a mathematical average of the above, generally, 25-21 = 6 points 20-16 = 5 points 15-11 = 4 points 10-6 = 3 points 5 or below = 2 points	Points 1-6

Speaker's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Bill or Resolution \_\_\_\_\_

Pro \_\_\_\_\_ Con \_\_\_\_\_ Amendment \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Host School \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Session \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's School \_\_\_\_\_

**Everyone is expected to be respectful audience member. A judge's rank may reflect a contestant's disrespect to other competitors during the round.**