

Gene
 ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eastview AP	POINTS (20-30)
1	Daniel Agbator	26
2	Daniel Portnov	29

CON

Spkr	Lakeville RK	POINTS (20-30)
2	Brandon Rierson	27
1	Aashish Komaragiri	27

Winner: Eastview AP debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Nova

Comments & Reason for Decision: RFD: Pro was much clearer that safety would not be endangered. Pro 1 need to slow down. Please ~~state~~ explain R.S. v. PC for me before you launch into your arguments.

Con 1 Please! I don't need to know your argument demonstrates "utilitarianism" you just need to tell that your way of doing it is more useful and helping to most people. Please avoid jargon, e.g. "subpoint A"

Crossfire #1 you both could target charge more by using more leading questions e.g. "Isn't it true that... X, Y, Z" or "So you are saying (something ridiculous)"

Pro 2 Please avoid jargon "like framework" and "card" "Contention 2" ^{sup a} Good conversational speaking, hand gestures + eye contact you referred to "locis parentis" but didn't tell me what it was.

Con 2 offtime ~~franchise~~ Roadmap? All anonymous tips are sent to police? Really? "Never mind, we'll just move on..." OK to think that; try not to say it out loud. Avoid Jargon.

Crossfire "weighing cards? Flow?" PLEASE DON'T. I'm a layman. "Framework?" Pro 2 did well taking con 2's question and using it to his advantage to rearticulate his points.

Pro 1 Summary Good pointing out con's failure to respond

Con 2

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Lakeville NR	POINTS (20-30)
②	Sheridan Norcia	27
①	Maddie Rowe	27.5

CON

Spkr	Lourdes EB	POINTS (20-30)
②	Peter Erickson	28
①	Alisha Bhagia	27.5

Winner: Lourdes debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Elizabeth Rhodes School: Lagan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Maddie - Well laid out in the start, your cross fire was good but a bit weaker you let their questions derail yours. Stand your ground. Reasses the idea that if kids don't practice ALL their rights as kids they want as adults.

Sheridan - Maybe it was the end of the day tiredness but you seemed unfocused. I was pleased to see you rebound in the final. You admitted to reading the wrong card. Stay strong!

Alisha - Great point a bad schools needing to control non illegal things and Kudos for your response in saying you can't get a warrant for legal items. Well done! In their crossfire you said "this is one of many" Be prepared to name more!! Really nail it!

Peter - great catch & refute of pros points! Very nice questioning in cross fire and using their mistake against them. Your questions are well stated. Keep up the great work.

Both teams debated well, however, due to the pros inability to factually support themselves and their poor response in cross fire, the win goes to con.

and Con's continual response & rebuttal

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan PS	POINTS (20-30)
1	Ayush Patel	28
2	Jason Scheller	29

CON

Spkr	Eastview NS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Akshay Naik	28
1	Ari Schlegel	28

Winner: Eastview NS debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no yes

Signature: [Signature] School: Edina

Comments & Reason for Decision:

OVERALL - excellent sportsmanship, well-researched. Some of those definitions (probable cause, exigent circumstances, etc.) are hard to grapple with - bravo trying to clarify.

This was a VERY close one, but I went with Eastview because of their openness to improvements upon the status quo ~~without~~ besides probable cause. Important to see potential in status quo rather than just refute resolution.

AYUSH: use of many quotes @ beginning of construction = slightly hard to follow. Calm speaking + demeanor were great. Cross-exam a little unclear. Good pt. that CON never addressed trust issue.

JASON: great performance/passion - watch out not to get too aggressive. Define Latin terms upon first use. Anti-snitch culture a good point but by the end, unclear which side it supports. Good job referencing sources thruout.

AKSHAY: Good calm demeanor ^{but} sometimes interruptions in flow detracted. Make sure to contextualize statistics (e.g. 52% NYPD staffs were minorities - be sure to dramatize that its disproportional to overall population 7%). You successfully turned anti-snitch point from Pro to Con.

ARI: good organization for constructive, make sure to clearly announce sections for this to be clear to judge. Excellent imagination re: how status quo can be improved in other ways. Try not to overuse one source (i.e. U Cornell defn.).

Room: 223
 Start: 2:00 PM
 Junior Varsity Public Forum

5

P/C
 14

Appelget,

Steven

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Bloomington

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina MC	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Chloe Maynor	30
1	Kendrew Chen	28

CON

Spkr	Eagan JK	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Bjorn Jonas	27
1	Sourish Kundu	28

Winner: Edina MC School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 Signature: [Signature] School: B-J

Comments & Reason for Decision:

2 speeches in a row this round makes the most sense I have heard today. I hope it keeps up.
 and for the most part it did!!

Aff - Cont 1 & Neg - Cont 1 both say "Trust is key" - the only dispute (and it is a key one) is whether the best way to get trust is more or fewer searches. PRO-2 in the FF is just about perfect here and gets the 30 with a masterful framing of the issue and perfect explanation and application of the Forman evidence.

This was a very good round - keep working!

Note - On racism issues, ask your coach for the "Strike by bridge" card!!

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan GS	POINTS (20-30)
1	Nirupa Ganesan	29.5
3	Anusha Sharma	28.5

CON

Spkr	Eastview NT	POINTS (20-30)
2	Jagath Naithani	28
4	Ethan Ta	29

Winner: Eagan GS debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Leena Philip School: Blake

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro carried the arguments through the round more consistently in all speeches.

Pro was able to show that PC is not going to reduce safety as exigent circumstance clause will address any immediate danger scenario.

Pros argument that students need to have same rights as citizens and otherwise how will they learn about their rights in the real world was not addressed by con.

good point on con about biases existing in PC. But we need better ways to weigh this than what was provided in the round.

Overall both teams did a pretty good job. Congratulations all!

1:00

1:20

Room: 224
Start: 2:00 PM
Junior Varsity Public Forum

5

Vair, James

Eastview

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan CU	POINTS (20-30)
1	Britney Chino	27
2	Ijeoma Ugboajah	28

CON

Spkr	Edina CO	POINTS (20-30)
1	Jay Chochreck	29
2	Oscar O'Brien	28

Winner: Edina CO debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: Eastview

over →

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Britney Nice intro and definitions at the start of your first speech. It's always helpful to clarify the "universe" of the debate. Good delivery, but you're a little fast, making it hard to follow what you're saying. Good question during cross, work to continue to find ways to strengthen your case when responding to questions. Good use of summary speech to remind us of your framework.

Ijeoma Nice extemporaneous delivery in your first speech and for introducing analysis that addresses and criticizes points in the con's argument. Interesting analysis of the con's dug. Interesting point. Nice! SPOs = ? Don't forget to always define acronyms to make sure we're all on the same page. Great probing questions during grand cross, exposing potential weak points in your opponents' arguments and closing scores. Nice final tour, remind us where things "stand" in the debate.

Jay Good analysis in your speech. A quick point preview in your intro, outlining your framework would be helpful so we can quickly see where you're going in your analysis. Good delivery, work to become more extemporaneous so that you're not just reading to us. Interesting point connecting the findings of school officers leading to higher instances of "all time great answers during cross". Good summary speech. Don't be afraid to do a little more "high pointing" before beginning.

Oscar Nice extemporaneous delivery. Your critique of the pro is great in what you identify areas that are incorrect and then provide research to support your analysis. Good use of supporting evidence when responding to questions in cross. - It sounds like plenty of time for a question, and response. Good responses in grand cross, you clearly understand both your case and the resolution. Great final tour, reminding us of key items from the debate and reinforcing our understanding of your framework.