

Room: 314  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Gilbert, Trent

West Des Moines Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville SA	POINTS (20-30)
Sara Asif	28

NEG

Edina AV	POINTS (20-30)
Ananth Veluvali	29.5

Winner: Edina AV debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: Trent Gilbert

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- You should use all of your 1AR speech time.  
You could be doing framework comparison + answering more arguments

Neg

- Good job using all of your speech time and addressing all parts of the plan

REF

I negate on the NL.  
The neg is winning the framework debate and is the only one with offense directly linking back to it.  
Also, neg wins alternative means solve aff offense

Room: 305  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Bernard,

Debbie

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St. Louis Park EO	POINTS (20-30)
Ellie Okan	

NEG

Roseville Area JV	POINTS (20-30)
Julien Valentine	

Winner: St. Louis Park EO debating on the AFF Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Debbie Bernard

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG didn't show.

Room: 311  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Rosenthal,

Barry

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St Thomas Academy/Visitation RK	POINTS (20-30)
Riley Kobilka	

NEG

Eagan MB	POINTS (20-30)
Melissa Brotzman	

Winner: Neg Eagan MB debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: B Rosenthal

Comments & Reason for Decision:

forfeit by Aff

Room: 307  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Hintz, Matt

Edina

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville AM	POINTS (20-30)
Andrew Mills	29

NEG	
Lakeville North ST	POINTS (20-30)
Summer Tran	27

Winner: ANDREW MILLS debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG

F  
 CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE / EVASION ✓  
 ↓  
 STRENGTHEN JUSTICE      UNDERMINES JUSTICE

OPTIMAL UTILITY - ?

GOOD ARGUMENT THAT MORALITY AS RULED BY A MAJORITY IS BY DEFINITION UNJUST.

LIKE THAT YOU ADDRESSED FACT THAT OUTSIDE OF US CIVIL DISOBED IS MORE NECESSARY, DUE TO GOVT CORRUPTION, DUE TO MORE CORRUPTION.

HS MAJORITY RULE THOUGHT. GOOD COUNTER. CIV. DIS. IS NEC FOR LEGAL CHANGE FOR THE MINORITY.

MORALITY IS SUBJECTIVE. → ACTION IS AKA TOO AND THEREFORE ACTING IN OWN SELF INT. TRYING TO DO GOOD → ≠ DOING GOOD.

\* NOT A DEMOCRACY. AND ALSO CIVIL DISOBED NEEDS TO BE GENERALLY APPLIC.

Room: 319  
 Start: 2:00 PM  
 ✓ Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Phernetton,  
 Lynne

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville LS	POINTS (20-30)
✓ Leo Shen	29

NEG	
Roseville Area YF	POINTS (20-30)
✓ Yelyzaveta Fedorovska	28

Winner: Lakeville School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff/Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Lynette Phernetton

*Jmins pref*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*1 Aff Con v: justice C: rights protection*  
 Def: civ. dis (nonviolent), justice, moral, demw.  
 - strengthens democracy by challenging & reforming it.  
 Cont: 1. Fund. right to rebel vs. unjust laws  
 2. laws are a means to end of protecting rights  
 3. Citizens ck vs. govt. (laws are not absolute)  
 4. Successful chgs thru history  
 5. Democr. doesn't elim need for civ-dis.

*1 Neg Con v: ~~justice~~ morality standard rights protected*  
 Def: moral just-  
 1. Civ. dis undermines dem. process.  
 2. " can support legit & illegit causes  
 3. Don't need to break the law to change it.  
 Refute Aff laws are in place due to people's involvement  
 Refute #1, #2, #3, #4, #5

*1 Aff Reb. added only 3 mins here*  
 Reb-Ref #1, 2, 5, 4, 3  
 Ref Neg 1, 2, 3

*1 Neg Reb. 1933-1945 Germany - authoritarianism*  
 Reb-Ref. #1, #2, #3  
 + + +  
 Ref. Aff Civ. dis too risky/dangerous for pop.  
 " " doesn't work each time  
 Claimed Cont 3 not addressed

*Jmins pref*

*2 Aff Reb. Ref Neg. Cont #1 Democracy vs Tyranny*

*Very methodical approach to poking holes in Neg contentions, good eye contact. Solid contentions.*

*Nice CX! Interesting global perspective & support of position. Good connections throughout.*

Room: Literacy Center (2nd floor)  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Roy, Chris  
Lakeville North

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville NB	POINTS (20-30)
Nina Baker	29

NEG	
John Marshall FS	POINTS (20-30)
Faduma Sharif	28

Winner: Lakeville School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Chris Roy

1:55  
2:05

2 min  
2 min

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1AC - Nice volume and clarity of speech  
• Observation was interesting but was it effectively used later?

1NC - Nice volume and clarity of speech  
• Skip over a point if you get stuck  
• Your 1st contention is well supported  
Good examples

1AR - you can interrupt during your cross-ex  
• The King quote was good support

2N - Carried the points thru from 1NC

2AR

RFD - Quite a bit of time was spent on is the debate theoretical or not, this has minimal bearing on the case as examples are how a case is built. The rest of the points of the debate were well prepared

both participants were well spoken and prepared. However the strength of the Aff's case was better defended thru the rebuttals

Room: 306  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Torbert, John

West Des Moines Valley

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL


Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Eagan AA	POINTS (20 - 30)
Aadarsh Akula	25

NEG

St Thomas Academy/Visitation HL	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harrison Lardy	26

Winner: St Thomas Academy debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

BOTH SIDES SHOW PROMISE BUT HAVE A WAYS TO GO. AFF SPENT A LOT OF HIS 1 AC ON FRAMEWORK + RAN OUT OF TIME TO MAKE HIS CONTRIBUTIONS KNOWN. BOTH CASES WERE DECENT BUT I FELT THAT THE NEG. CASE WAS STRONGER + BETTER DEVELOPED. ~~THE~~ AFF CASE SEEMED WEAK ON SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Rosemount AT	POINTS (20-30)
AJ Tabura	28

NEG

Lakeville North DR	POINTS (20-30)
Daniel Ren	25

Winner: Rosemount debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Zachary Opatz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

At points the NEG agreed with AFF's side contradicting NEG's points. AFF had strong points throughout

~~AFF~~ | ~~NEG~~ | ~~NEG~~

- Boston tea party
- evidence
- tuck your shirt in
- you added to his point say we don't have equality now
- We are a democracy
- work on your refuting cases with a coach
- no adding in final rebuttal
- contradict yourself throughout
- don't talk about your take on life "life is meant to be taken"



Room: 309  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Nachreiner,

Mark

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St. Croix Prep JW	POINTS (20-30)
Josh Warmka	27

NEG

Eagan JB	POINTS (20-30)
Jacob Berran	25

Winner: St. Croix Prep debating on the AFF Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Mark Nachreiner

Well prepared had good info

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Have good arguments but you add a lot of general thought helps to confuse aponent but also you start to lose the judge

have a lot of nervous walking when presenting

when going after your Aponants points you seem Nervous or unsure Relax and go for it.

Don't question your points when challenged on them

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
St. Croix Prep JA	POINTS (20-30)
Jenna Anderson	30

NEG	
Lakeville North JM	POINTS (20-30)
Julia Monroe	29

Winner: St. Croix Prep JA debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Connie Chao

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**AFF**

- You had ~~2~~ 1 min 20 sec. left in your AC! Consider adding more to your case so you have more offense/defense!
- Good efficiency in the IAR, but I wouldn't put voters in your IAR - leave them to the ZAR for crystallization
- Good job addressing args, but it would be helpful if you said "On Contention 1" or something like that
- Try to crystallize in the ZAR instead of responding to args - don't chase the last speech! Also, good job on voters
- Also! Thank you for comparing worlds

**NEG**

- Brush up on some examples of CD and death (if there are any)! So next time you won't get stuck in CX!
- FW debate wasn't addressed during your 1NR, this means I can't weigh on your FW
- Signpost your arguments so I know where you are on the flow!  
~~that~~ - EX: your entire ZNR made good arguments, but they didn't respond to args in the debate or pertain to the debate, so I was confused

**BOTH**

- Both had
- Great line of questioning in CX! Pushed opponent + definitely got them to concede something
- Find a defn of CD that says it is non-violent or violent - will make defn debate easier
- Both could improve on explicit extentions/impacts
- Both are very assertive + confident speakers!

**RFD** I vote aff because she effectively argued for her FW and Neg never explicitly argued for her FW of Contractarism. Aff also upholds CZ of a just society and needing CD to change injustices.

Overall, round was a little messy, but most technical pieces are there!  
 Both sides advocated very well for their side + it was the best round I saw  
 - all day!

Room: 301  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Erica Baermann  
~~Carow, Jill~~  
Lakeville  
Rosemount

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville AC	
Anna Carrow	27

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina MR	
Matthew Ruppert	26

Winner: Edina School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Erica Baermann

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Anna: Very Clean speaker, easy to understand and flow.  
Prepared and ~~can~~ sharp during CX - exudes confidence. You could improve on the explanation, why your side is better - get more concrete evidence on the flow, and be sure to watch your time

Matthew: Good, clean speaker yet you stumbled during CX - I know ~~this is~~ its hard to get your thoughts in line, you could try writing your questions on extra paper during the speech - that may help.

RFD: For me, the whole debate came down to morality v. Justice - what people see as right v. what is deemed as right. This was honestly a very hard choice to make, as I think that morality precedes justice. After all, humanity must have morals in order to make laws - For if we all believed different things to be "right", then nothing would be. Does that make sense?

I feel like the values debate became messy and was under-developed, and based on not only the round - but how I view the arguments interact, I voted Neg.

Good Job! ☺



Room: N13  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Kuriscak, Ron

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville AJ	
Alex Janetzke	30

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area PS	
Pambayun Savira	29

Winner: Lakeville School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NA

Signature: Ron Kuriscak

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Excellent AFF RP Framework; NEG Excl'd Framework as well
- AFF Better at debating in cross ex. - good give a take exchange

Value Justice

- CT - Equal Rights = Human Rights
- good def.
- Agree on sol.

- Value - Moral if
- CT - ~~citizens~~ in Social Law
- sol - Human Rights before
- more detail in def.
- Dropped CT #3 & 5

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville KV	POINTS (20 - 30)
Katarina Vandenbos	29

NEG

Edina JU	POINTS (20 - 30)
Jake Uribe	28

Winner: Lakeville KV debating on the Aff Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)  
Signature: Kelly Rierson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff.  
Very close debate. Clear contentions. Great pace to follow. C2 would like to see more substance/evid. Very respectful and good job.

Neg.  
Very close debate. Aff provided more evidence to bolster position. More evidence to counter Aff claims would make your point. Very respectful + calm under pressure!

RFD

Aff supplied more evidence to make case. Expanded on ~~the~~ the claims opp. made.

Room: N15  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Monson, Tom

John Marshall

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

400  
2.000  
6

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina KS	
Kamaljot Singh	28

517.0

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North KR	
Kevin Rambarrack	24

Winner: Edina KS debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:  
• good systematic approach  
• good tieback to value and criterion  
• try to manage time in rebuttals more effectively

NEG  
• please show up on time  
• try to refute each contention in AFF's case in a first constructive  
• I like the start to Gratitude Theory.  
↳ try to strengthen that point on the impact analysis and warrant.  
• try to build more than one contention.

RFD: AFF more effectively addresses value and criterion as well as has more offense than NEG. AFF fully picks up NEG's case while NEG doesn't pick AC contentions until final rebuttal.

Room: 229  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Higgin-Houser,  
Dori

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
St. Louis Park KI	POINTS (20-30)
Kayla Ignatowicz	26

NEG	
Lakeville North GR	POINTS (20-30)
Gianna Roy	25

Winner: Kayla Ignatowicz debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Dori Higgin-Houser

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kayla

Clear definitions and intro - very organized

good volume, pacing, & rate of speech well done, easy to listen to.

"in a democracy there will always be an oppressed minority" - please expand on this idea.

agree CD is not immoral

Suggestions

Check pronunciation of Socrates

Suggest you ignore small mistakes - your own reaction to them is worse than the error (big sighs, scoffing at yourself) better to keep going without reacting

I know this was new for you today: a few mechanical problems not using timer - please get

Tabroom.com, a service of National Speech & Debate Association: <http://www.speechanddebate.org>

used to timing yourself as that appears to be the norm, more than judges timing.

Gianna

not convinced of validity of "civil dis: can lead to violence, therefore..." this is not solid evidence

well presented, defined, and delivered.

where is your evidence for most laws in US being changed w/o C.D.?

Contractarianism - I would've helped to have a better definition of this Suggestions

you could do more to show interest in your topic - I'm not convinced watching you. your calm presence is rare in debate, so don't lose that! But displaying more interest would strengthen your position.

Expand on moral ways to oppose immoral laws - you alluded to them but did not present.

Room: 318  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Hagen, Becky

Roseville Area

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville CO	
Carly O'Neill	29

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina EM	
Ellen Mi	28

Winner: Carly O'Neill debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: R Billings

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The better debating was done by the Aff. Her clear definition of CD, as well as her 5 contentions truly built a case that was strong enough to keep the Neg from refuting it. The Neg repeated several times the same argument with the 1st & 4th contentions. The neg was also unable to establish, clearly, that adherence to the social contract as a means to not perform CD falls flat.



Room: 313  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Asif, Becky

Lakeville

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St Thomas Academy/Visitation SB	POINTS (20-30)
Sophia Benz-Narveson	28

NEG

Edina LJ	POINTS (20-30)
Lily Jones	29

Winner: St. Thomas Academy debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes  
School/Team

Signature: Asif

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The neg side dropped a contention of the aff side. Aff side brought it back in. During Neg rebuttal a few more contentions were dropped.

Good debate!

Aff:

During cross ex face the judge, 85% eye contact  
- good debate on the value

Neg:

- good debate on the value  
- 85% eye contact.

Room: 320  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Tullis, Kevin

Lakeville


# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
St. Louis Park EP	POINTS (20 - 30)
Ellen Poulter	29

NEG	
Rosemount KB	POINTS (20 - 30)
Kaitlyn Bruehling	27

Winner: St. Louis Park School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- strong speaker, good volume
- Framework of AFF case hard to follow.
- Did not address NEG CI
- Good job extend. AFF
- Need to call out more water

NEG

- good volume, slow down a little
- a well laid out case and Framework
- did not address many of AFF points
- abandoned your flow / Framework in final rebuttal. would have helped with organization and content level.
- Did not call out any water

RFD: AFF extended points and stuck to Framework

Room: 302  
 Start: 2:00 PM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Widmer, Rita

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville LC	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lily Conniff	28

NEG	
Lakeville North AM	POINTS (20 - 30)
Alexandra Meyer	27

Winner: Lily Conniff Lakeville debating on the Aff. Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Rita Widmer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff.

Neg.

Pros

Cons

Pros

Cons

- 1. Organized opening speech.
- 2. Answers in X-examination were good and responsive
- 3. Pointing out corruption
- 1. Look up from your papers
- 2. Confidence in answers.
- 3. Clarity of questions
- ~~4. Confidence~~

- 1. Points out who makes the decision.
- 2. Looks up from her statement
- 3. Clear opening statement
- 4. Restating opponents words.

- 1. No limits, no recognition of totalitarianism
- 2. Clarity of questions
- 3. Confidence in answers
- 4. Be careful of coming off disrespectful of opponent like laughing at a point.
- 5. Right to life - how does it fit

Very close debate. It came down to made.

who had the strongest case

Room: N10  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

5

Jorgenson,  
Stephanie

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area DT	
Daley Tubbs	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina YM	
Yash Mangalick	28.5

Winner: Roseville Area DT debating on the aff Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: S. Jorgenson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

(aff)  
very clear, organized arguments, eye contact  
Lots of examples  
positive changes

(neg)  
ex. questions were very strong and challenged Aff to dig deep.  
Related topic to current examples (BLM, etc.)  
clear, confident, well prepared and 'excellent rebuttal

This was a very difficult decision. Both opponants were very well prepared and I kept going back and forth on my decision. My decision came down to Aff giving alternative examples of protests not working and challenging Neg. on the fact that not all voters are heard simply by voting. Great job both of you!!