

AFF  
Rossmunt JS 28.5

NEG  
Edina TAM 29

Winner: Edina AM

Nice work pushing  
negs on the def  
of national interest.  
You could have  
gone further.

You spent too much  
time on the FW  
debate, leaving  
little time for  
a substantive  
debate at the  
contention level.

Overall, this debate was too heavy on the FW  
and didn't really get to the restriction. The  
AFF brought up some really great points vs.  
~~the~~ the neg's FW but ran out of  
time to address (or chose not to).  
C1.

Drienann

You do a nice job  
with the underview but

~~do~~ do not do a  
great job linking  
your contentions  
to your FW.

even so, your  
contention (1) went  
unrefuted so  
you win with  
that. You got  
lucky here.

interesting!

Room: 007  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Brundage, Rick

Apple Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina SC	<u>29</u>	West Des Moines Valley CT	<u>29</u>
Sandra Chen		Conal Thomas-McGinnis	

Winner: VALLEY debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

THE NUMBERING IN THE AC SEEMS UNCONSIDERABLE  
THE JAR DOES AN ADMIRABLE JOB OF  
TRYING TO MAKE AFF OFFENSE STICK  
TO THE NEG STANDARD BUT THERE  
ARE TRICKY PIECES OF NUANCE  
THAT GET EXTENDED, @ THE AFF  
SHOULD HAVE WORKED TO COLLAPSE  
THE DEBATE MORE EARLY & MAKE  
SURE THOSE NUANCES GET TACKLED

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina JR

Jonah Rosenthal

POINTS  
(20-30)

29

NEG

Apple Valley CR

Cori Roberts

POINTS  
(20-30)

28.8

Winner: Edina JR debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Pushing on if the ev says Nukes expanding is not productive. Best you can do if you dont have ev is mitigate. If you do have ev, just read it.
- you didnt show Nuke power is static
- You cant kick out of high theory and go to material conditions when you're talking allowed perspectives + recognition
- weapons testing is bad point Not happening now.
- justify the cant go to high theory and pref moral worth
- use examples of fossil fuels being experimental. fracking. shale. Ocean Oil, etc
- can answer that we want expansion
- The way you impact the global warming is lacking. Aff not nec improve Material Conditions + the fw Not concern with material conditions. Attempt stop warming, even if too late still meets Aff std.
- homogenizing should link to his "cant" aggregate.


As much as I dislike it, I vote on the material harms arg (essentially) Neg Not doing enough work on this. If I pref material harms, then Aff has most impact when global warming non unique

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina RM		St Thomas Academy/Visitation LW	
Rahil Modi	28.1	Lauren Wohlberg	28.0

Winner: Edina RM debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff. Built a unique case. Well spoken, well prepared. Delivery a bit fast, I missed 25-30%, so I spent time digesting + getting in gaps, thus I did not fully comprehend arguments.  
Neg. Very insightful cross. Presented a good, concise rebuttal, primarily disregarding Aff's content while promoting her own.  
Decision hinged on: Neg conceded framework to Aff, then in her last comments agreed to extend "all that stuff" Debate is about words. And that poor choice of words cost Neg the Debate.  
I feel like it was an excellent Debate with both listening well + adjusting. I thought Neg's defense of Nuclear as a solution to global warming was brilliant. Each Debater knew their material (as opposed to regurgitating what they read.)  
Sorry there could only be one winner.

Room: 004  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Ahsan, Talha  
Eagan

**ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL**  
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

West Des Moines Valley AJ

Animesh Joshi

POINTS  
(20-30)

29

NEG

Lakeville KD

Kelby Drogemuller

POINTS  
(20-30)

26

Winner: *Ahsan Joshi* WDM Valley debating on the aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: *Talha Ahsan*

Comments & Reason for Decision:  
*aff, underview doesn't make sense since it barely buy theory at all  
Oral RFD*

Room: 113  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Smith, Nick


St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
West Des Moines Valley WG	26.5	Lakeville GG	27.0
Will Golay		Grace Geertsema	

Winner: WDMV WG debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? X

Signature: 

Pronouns: West Des Moines Valley WG's Golay pronouns: He/His/Him

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- AC - i, clear means clear, yo!
- IN - kinda misses mark, should contest aff framing. How is literal interp of res a metaphor...
- ALX - some lines of ? not productive "If I win your not a metaphor do I win?"
- IAR - cant perm an NC...
  - ~~negs~~ shouldnt be topical... Your arg is that NC speaks under wrong consistency of topic
  - rather than real generic nuclear energy good, if your going to even in that debate about SPEC NC argument
- Aff - AC is close to triggerly exclusive part of paradigm, but Neg goes beyond general inconsistency Cex: thinking AC says Nuclear family good!
- RFD - the thing the NC says is bad is not what the AC is debating. Rather the arg is all of the prereq stuff

Should have contested the metaphorical interp of res OR argued why under that metaphor we should reach.

Room: 006  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

# Pierce-Ramsdell, Hayley

## ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1-1, 2016

Edina

AFF

West Des Moines Valley MS

Manasi Singh

POINTS  
(20-30)

29

NEG

Lakeville AL

Alec Loftus

POINTS  
(20-30)

28

Winner: West Des Moines Valley MS debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Hayley PR

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

**Manasi:**

- The impact of extraction is interesting, but I am not seeing how it is beneficial to you...
- I think you do a really nice job on FW.
- Your flow coverage on the contention level is okay. You don't need to win every single impact to win the round. Try to start only going for what you NEED in order to win.
- The ban of NP leads to FF which forces us to look @ renewables link chain is extremely weak. Why don't you just run renewables? Even if your opponent has responses to renewables, at least the link chain is stronger.
- When you are short on time - make sure you go straight to his offense (CIB).
- I would've liked to see a clearer list of voters.
- Work on the weighing analysis coming out of worker exploitation - tell me WHY this supersedes every other impact more explicitly.

**Alec:**

- Why do you spend 1:45-1:00 reading cards on CC bad - they don't get you any offense.
- Be careful w/ Hanson in CIB. The card says that there aren't any CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is only about its use, but what about all of the other steps?
- Take a breath - sometimes you seem a bit frantic.
- I really like your Native American cancer block.
- There needs to be more work when you respond to her FW. All you say is that you are a preneg - but why does that matter and why is her FW bad?
- I would've liked to see more direct responses to the WARRANTS of the AC. You read cards, but ~~the~~ they don't necessarily respond directly to her arguments. (Worker exploitation).
- You spend A LOT of time on the AC in your 2NR. You spend a lot on trying to prove why she loses, but I am unsure why you win at the end of the 6 minutes..
- How do I weigh what is more important?
- You need CLEAR VOTERS!!
- Work on world comparison!!!!

**RFD:** I affirm. The FW clearly goes to the AFF which means that I evaluate this round on oppression as both debaters agree. With that in mind I have two issues ① Enviro ② Human Rights presented to me. ~~The~~ The aff does a good-enough job coming out of the 1AR to beat back

all of the responses to the environment. Unfortunately, the negative waits to respond to this in the 2NR and since it is new... I don't evaluate it. Point is what I vote on and the AFF

Room: 110  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Hoverson, Doug

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

146

139  
AFF

Apple Valley JB

John Boals

POINTS

(20-30)

29.5

NEG

Edina PS

Prasoon Sinha

POINTS

(20-30)

27.5

Winner: AV JB debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Extra Top is not the approach  
Subtopical, maybe, but not extra-T.  
Parametricizing, but not X-T.  
The argument that Jordan is too small to  
util is great, but late.

T) Aff wins. This isn't extra-T.  
The decommissioning args don't link, since it's a ban of future.  
The util arg goes Aff, but Aff really doesn't do much in IAR  
Water wars are kind of a wash, though I would have leaned Neg  
here on util.  
Also in verbals.



Room: 005  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Mohamed,

Aisha

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Rosemount

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Apple Valley TE

Trinity Ek

POINTS  
(20-30)

28

NEG

Lakeville EH

Elliot Harvanko

POINTS  
(20-30)

27

Winner: Apple Valley TE debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Great CROSS-X!
- You should examine the ~~idea~~ 2 offs a little closer.
- You left a lot of great offense in the AC  
↳ C2 & sub A & B in C1

1. Don't spread if you can't maintain clarity.
2. You literally have 49 seconds ~~in~~ in your NC - you could do more work on the AC
3. You really really really need to do more work on the AC.
4. How can you have evidence from October 2, 2016?
5. ~~600~~ 600 NR!

Both: you need to spend more time clashing the warrants of your cases. Evidence analysis is severely lacking

RFD: The Aff wins (by the skin on their teeth) bc of Auburn & Priobell (? spelling) which show Renew abas are feasible + the uncontested array about the sovereignty on the CP.

Room: 107  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Greenfield, Tim

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Apple Valley KA

Kenan Anderson

POINTS

(20-30)

28

NEG

West Des Moines Valley AC

Anna Correa

POINTS

(20-30)

28

Winner: Apple Valley KA debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD

This round was fairly difficult to judge because I think both debaters are non-responsive to one another's framework. Even if the negative argument about self ownership is true, the case remains one not formulated in a positive matter, but Kenan's weakest response in the IAR is his response to the developing matter. The Harpe card/legitimate ownership isn't really weighed either. To me, I am not getting the cross applicable at say 11 to the C3, so D end up looking there as the clearest way to vote. Good round.

Comments:

- 1A: where are answers come from?
- 1B: what kind of offense comes from self-owned reactors?
- 2A: The 2N is very disorganized - all over the flow. I don't think you're compensating or weighing the 3rd counter of the AC.

Room: 003  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

McDonald, Ceil  
~~Shmikler,~~

~~Richard~~

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville NG		Apple Valley HD	
Noah Gallagher	<u>30</u>	Henry Dikeman	<u>29.5</u>

Winner: Lakeville NG debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Ceil McDonald

Pronouns: Lakeville NG's Gallagher pronouns: He/Him/His

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great debate, gentlemen. This is one of those debates where I am being swayed by peech speech, so far up to the NR. — and all the way to the end. Kudos to you both in both the quality of your arguments and the way in which you debated. Overall a very balanced debate.

Neg's fatal flaw, though, was not addressing Aff's 3rd pt that there is little uranium left to mine so that nuclear power is doomed to end soon — maybe even too soon to give India & Pakistan the water they need.

Otherwise, Neg arguments on the importance of India & Pakistan + ~~max~~ highest likelihood of nuclear war scenario probably would have carried the day.

Room: 109  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Reikowski,

Megan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Apple Valley SA

Serena Abraham

POINTS  
(20-30)

27

NEG

St Thomas Academy/Visitation SK

Sophia Kuriscak  
1:09

POINTS  
(20-30)

28

Winner: Vis SK School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

I needed a much better explanation of the Aff framework from you in CX. I think the story you're trying to tell is interesting but you really have to commit to the cake idea to run this.

Neg

Your signposting got really sloppy in the 2N - please just tell me where to flow things so I don't end up with ink on top of ink

AFD

Neg takes out any offense Aff has left in Aff T in CP, so I negate.

Room: 117  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Hasan, Aleezeh

Rosemount

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina OJ

Om Jahagirdar

POINTS

(20-30)

28

NEG

West Des Moines Valley JM

James Meng

POINTS

(20-30)

29

Winner: West Des Moines Valley JM debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Aleezeh

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff:

- work on remaining organized, you were jumping between sides
- you barely brought up FW in your 1AR
- theory felt unnecessary
- more response to his rebuttals against FW would have helped
- more detail on drops also

Neg:

- at some points you weren't that organized
- you could have done more work on the Neg
- you left some key arguments untouched

RFD: Neg managed to use FW & cover more of the flow

Room: 116  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

McGinnis, David

West Des Moines Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina AS

Aiden Swan

POINTS  
(20 - 30)

~~26~~ 26.5

NEG

St Thomas Academy/Visitation NW

Nick Weir

POINTS  
(20 - 30)

~~26~~ 26

Winner: \_\_\_\_\_

School/Team

Aff

debating on the \_\_\_\_\_

Side (Aff or Neg)

Edina

Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*[Handwritten Signature]*

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ① Risk of meltdowns is  
EUE
- ② CP sh solve because  
those reactors are  
not on line

Room: 111  
Start: 2:00 PM  
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

5

Linden, Jack

Eagan

## ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina MK

Mark Kivimaki

POINTS

(20-30)

29.5

NEG


West Des Moines Valley GJ

Gus Johnson

POINTS

(20-30)

29

Winner: West Des Moines Valley GJ debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote off of the contention 1 of the NC. After evaluation I use the Neg self ownership framework b/c it is a pre req to having beliefs and ideas to take action. The arguments made about property rights didn't directly apply to self-ownership. Furthermore, the aff drops the entire second advantage in the AC losing most of the AC impacts. The neg successfully turns and disproves the first advantage so the neg better links into both frameworks, but only needed the first contention to win the round.