

Room: 008
Start: 12:45 PM
Varsity Public Forum

4

Crawshaw,

Gene
ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Nova Classical

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Lakeville CM	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Maddie Cook	27
2	Karen McCoy	28

CON

Spkr	Edina PM	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Owen Pheneretton	28
2	Jenny Ma	29 <i>see</i>

Winner: Edina PM debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature:  School: Nova

Comments & Reason for Decision:

BFD: I was convinced that erosion of trust will be greater if the status quo is changed and it becomes harder for school administrators to seize drugs and weapons

Both sides were very professional and respectful. Both could have done a better job framing the issue for the lay judge. Restating the resolution doesn't clarify. Tell me ~~that~~ what the diff is between P.S. and P.C. Pro said a higher standard results in fewer searches. It was only much later that Pro said why that's a bad thing

Everyone but especially Pro 1 (and to a lesser degree Pro 2) PLEASE stop the jargon. The judge is a lay person. I'm smart but your argument is distracted from everytime you say e.g. "Cards, Contentious part b, framework etc."

Pro 1 you interrupted Con during crossfire. you responded "No." to one of their arguments w/o backing it up. That's a missed opportunity.

Con 2 Good eye contact; Good conversational tone; Please avoid jargon like "SRO + securitization"; SCOTUS. Also word like "little" and stuff

Room: 108
 Start: 12:45 PM
 Varsity Public Forum

4

Zweirs, Carter

Apple Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eastview DP	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Tharun Dulla	28
2	Elijah Peterson	28

CON

Spkr	Edina VB	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Sujit Varadhan	27
1	Samantha Bevers	28

Winner: Eastview ~~AVV~~ debating on the AFF Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: Apple Valley

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Tharun: 4:15 - went every bit

so much thg

RFD: First teachers will be able to get warrants in pro world so crossover impacts from neg on this but extend stricter methods for collecting evidence because that is needed for P.C. / Aff doesn't bring up racialism in FF so neg wins on climate. Drug testing is a wash, school to prison pipeline is won by AFF because teachers could get warrants. Arrest is probably more detrimental to a persons future than strict enforcement + big impacts of mass incarceration so AFF wins + jail

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina KI	POINTS (20 - 30)
3	James Kuznecoff	27
1	Khalid Ishani	29

CON

Spkr	Eastview RK	POINTS (20 - 30)
4	Suhail Rizvi	28.5
2	Tenzin Kunchok	27.5

Winner: Edina KI debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Maggie Parra School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This was a really great round, everyone!
I'm having a hard time weighing the offense. I find that aff has more comparative evidence which is compelling me towards their impacts.
Ultimately, I buy the evidence that shows ^{warranted} searches are more effective - this combined w/ the evidence that anonymous tips are only accurate 1.5% of the time really out weigh con evidence. Unfortunately I just can't tell if 270 weapons confiscated is a lot or not compared to PC but aff evidence is comparative. I do buy the neg argument on discrimination but that doesn't give neg any offense.
I affirm.

Room: 203
Start: 12:45 PM
Varsity Public Forum

4

Pendergast,

Devon

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr		POINTS (20 - 30)
	Edina MZ	
3	David Ma	30
1	Richard Zhu	30

CON

Spkr		POINTS (20 - 30)
	Eagan KW	
2	Nibraas Khan	29
4	Hunter Weber	28

Winner: Edina MZ debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Apple Valley

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Verbal Critiques mostly

Nibraas: Enjoyed how you put things in your own words, lends you a ton of credibility. Keep up your intensity as well. Super clutch on your feet. Switch up speaking orders.

Hunter: Strong speaker, your FF sounded more like a summary. When your partner states the points you win, you have to stroll with.

Richard + David: Keep doing what you do. You were able to cover all your bases, stay clear, and go big picture all at the same time. Great flow courage. Find and cut that CA. vs. Riley court case.

RFD: Neg won → Deterrence, Bullying, and ADBers. Aff dropped winning points like subjective punishments by not including it in the FF.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan FP	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Quinn Foerster	25
2	Reece Peters	26

CON

Spkr	Apple Valley AD	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Rahma Abass	28
1	Kathy Dinh	27

Winner: Apple Valley AD debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no

Signature: Claire Dodds School: Lakeville

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro Spkr 1

- work on clarity, dont read case off of computer if it means bending over and talking to the desk
- your repetitive pen clicking is distracting.
- Dont look to partner during your CF if you dont know the answer
- ★ Dont critique con's evidence in rebuttal when you didnt use any in yours

Con Spkr 1

- 45 seconds left in constructive, maybe add evidence since you dont have a lot right now
- Good speaking skills
- ★ nice job selecting main points in summary

Pro Spkr 2

- slow down in rebuttal it seems frantic
- Trump-like hand gestures are distracting
- ★ No evidence used in rebuttal
- when asked in CF about it you said "debate is just based on logic"!!! you need evidence to win a round
- saying "it's common brain psychology" is not giving evidence
- "Education is pre-req to safety" but can you learn if you're dead?
- let con dominate GCF

Con Spkr 2

- Good use of evidence in rebuttal
- some arguments were messy but you made up for it in CF
- you provided most of the clash in the debate, good job making actual direct arguments

RFD: Dont have much to go off of at all in this round, it comes down to the one piece of evidence which con used.

Room: 125
Start: 12:45 PM
Varsity Public Forum

4

Henry, Ryan

Eastview

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina TN	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Theo Teske	28
1	Matthew Nissen	28

CON

Spkr	Blake AJ	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Anish Aggarwal	27
1	Joel Jude	27

Winner: Edina TN debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: EV

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This round was really, really, technical, which is fine for me as a coach, but be careful about it. Also, I think CON gives PRO the wrong evidence after CF2 - they asked for increasing, but then in CON summary they say PRO doesn't address the issue after PRO responds to the evidence they are given.

RFD

Honestly, it felt like CON was trying to avoid clash a lot of the time, because their advocacy seemed to change during the round, and it felt like they were just trying to use technicalities. PRO simply comes off as far more honest and realistic in this round, so I affirm.

Room: 118
 Start: 12:45 PM
 Varsity Public Forum

4

Clark, Mollie

Eagan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Team	POINTS (20-30)
	Blake KO	
3	Fatiya Kedir	29.5
1	Sinan Onder	29

CON

Spkr	Team	POINTS (20-30)
	Apple Valley SK	
4	Julia Scovil	27
2	Carson Kowalski	28

Winner: BLAKE KO debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Mollie Clark School: Eagan HS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

BLAKE

- AWESOME rebuttal! Great flow coverage and warranting. ZCX also really is effective @ clarifying your advocacy.
- good summary organization! I like how you're pulling through warrants

RFD

PRIVACY TRUST

- Trust links to safety
- Shit bc power police power bad links to drop outs

SAFETY

- RS det want! but not quantified
- exigent circumstances solve + scope small w/ 2 mil.

TIPS

- not unique to eimw word

APPLE VALLEY

- 41 seconds left in case
- Strong rebuttal, would like to see you engaging with more warrants.
- need to work on organization in summary, it kind of drops around the flow. Content is great just be signposting.
- more warrants in FF
 - organization
 -

Room: 121
Start: 12:45 PM
Varsity Public Forum

4

Fink, Reka

Blake

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Apple Valley HS	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Zach Hayes	26.5
	India Smith	

CON

Spkr	Eastview AU	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Ross Abram	25
1	Michelle Uchenik	27

Winner: Apple valley HS debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
Signature: Reka Fink School: BLAKE

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Eastview -

"these kids are criminals" - wow, not only is this empirically false, it's also offensive. Horrible argument

RFD - Eastview is not framing the debate in a way that makes me want to vote con. In the final round, speaker says reasonable suspicion is better because it "turns up more evidence, so students can go to correctional facilities and get the help they need" you couldn't have given the pro a better link to their school to prison pipeline argument.

* I was giving pretty obvious visual cues that I didn't like how con was presenting their arguments in this debate.

You should pay attention to how judges react.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan NC	POINTS (20 - 30)
-	Vy Nguyen	-
1	Jeffrey Chen	28

CON

Spkr	Eastview AD	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Yasmin Afifi	30
2	Katie Dewitt	29

Winner: Eastview AD debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
Signature: Mike Rebischke School: Lakeville

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro did well even w/one person. Need to work on examples & giving more depth & context for contentions. Summary needs to be presented better

Cons did well laying out case, very organized. Did an excellent job w/rebuttal. Summary was well laid out & flowed nicely w/examples & tying the case together

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eastview DM	POINTS (20-30)
2	David Dobkin	29
1	Joe Matijasevich	30

CON

Spkr	Eagan FS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Scott Franklin	29
1	Amrit Sanal	28

Winner: EASTVIEW DM debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: EDINA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- CONSTRUCT**: Joe has strong posture, speaks clearly. Argues that racial bias plays strongly into this. Backs up claim w/ several pieces of evidence. Talks eye contact. Claims minority students more likely to face being labeled as criminals. References school to prison pipelines. Cited sources are strong and effective. Argument is well written.
- CON CONSTRUCT**: Speaks very quickly, yet relatively clearly. Cites valid sources. References cyberbullying, claims that there is bullying leads to school shootings. Cites that roughly 20% of students miss school due to bullying. ~~Increased media, teachers want more compensation?~~ Claims several arguments.
- CROSSFIRE**: Amrit initially claims that it isn't bad in schools right now, but David points out that Amrit mentioned cyberbullying. Why will probable cause make cyberbullying worse? Joe keeps asking "why" - very effective. Amrit uses probable cause as reasonable suspicion? Joe says teachers can't do a UHM. Amrit asks where more police - schools or by police? Why? Both have eye contact, speak eloquently.
- PRO REBUTTAL**: Uses 40 seconds. Framework of debate - what is best for students in long run. Why will cyberbullying go up? Cites good sources. Good body language (posture, eye contact, gestures). ~~Amrit's argument is simple to document cyberbullying~~ They're trying to say cyberbullying more reasonable suspicion (only). In dire school circumstances, can be dealt with. Media: teaches feel unsafe. Prob cause will have to solve - creating has nothing to do w/ reasons.
- CON REBUTTAL**: 10 seconds used - ~~Amrit's argument is simple to document cyberbullying~~ Flexibility of definition - Great body language (eye contact, etc). Says to have them actually back up statements - very effective. Uses "line" a few times - ineffective. Good sources. Police officers & teachers have different relationships w/ students. Brings up Harvard Law Review, but no fallacy. Cheating: use a & error-related item?
- CROSSFIRE**: David: Card was about public schools, Scot disagrees. David says you

OVER ->

Room: 202
 Start: 12:45 PM
 Varsity Public Forum

4

Kale, Alekh

Blake

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Lakeville OR	POINTS (20-30)
2	Drake Olson	29.5
1	Tyler Rebischke	29

2:30

CON

Spkr	Eastview FM	POINTS (20-30)
1	Dante Fornizy	30
2	Osman Mansur	30

1:22

Winner: Eastview FM debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? NO

Signature: Matt N. Kale School: Blake

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Tyler: You should add a link to police presence to use it as a 2:1:52/

Drake: You should spend more time on your case. Exigent circumstances is defense not a rebuttal issue.

You should probably read paragraphs. Especially in regard to 2nd rebuttal.

I don't think their C2 offense is the same as your case offense.

Dante: You mostly dropped your case in summary, which is mostly repetitive. They didn't really catch it.

RFD: FW: CTA w/ safety being weighed heaviest seems to be agreed upon

Reasons AFF wants me to vote for them

- Trust + racism
- Reject b/c
 1. safety precludes
 2. No causality to impacts
 3. safe school = ↑ attendance for minorities
 4. PC still has racism

Reasons NEG wants me to vote for them

- En Loco parentis - not really offensively weighed
- Arson tips - Accept b/c
 - RS = greater flexibility
 - Colorado tip line proves
- Deterrence / time requirement - Accept b/c
 1. Exigent circumstances uncl
 2. Exigent circumstances st need to meet PC

NEG on safety

Room: 122
 Start: 12:45 PM
 Varsity Public Forum

4

Thomas, Greta

Eastview

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Apple Valley BS	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Abby Brachio	30
1	Brandon Schellhaass	28.5

CON

Spkr	Nova Classical CC	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Harold Carlson	28
1	Casey Connell	28

Winner: Apple Valley BS debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 Signature: G Thomas School: Eastview

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Brandon Good case redd-
 You take an interesting psych
 approach
 Very nice summary
 Clear, straight forward.
 I want to hear from you in
 GCF!

Casey Summary brought up
 good points, but organize them
 a little more + make sure you
 are pushing the argument past the
 point the arg. was in at rebuttal

Abby Awesome job in
 rebuttal. Very clear and
 well organized/reasoned.
 THANK YOU for asking
 about teeners/admins!!!
 It's very clear that you know
 your case inside + out - kudos!

Harold In rebuttal, ~~don't~~ if
 you tell me we need a "balance"
 you need to show me how the
 pro's case throws off that balance.
 In FF, make sure you aren't
 making new arguments.
 Also, on con you do need to take
 a position to be fair to your opponen
 The position is the status quo

RFD Pro did a really nice job developing
 their arguments. They were responsive to the
 challenges of each speech + their arguments developed
 accordingly. Con's arguments largely stayed the
 same as they were in case through the round. Pro wins
 on striking a balance + maintaining rights of students.

Room: 218
Start: 12:45 PM
Varsity Public Forum

4

Little, Dan
~~Philip, Leena~~
Blake

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Cannon Falls CJ	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Ella Coyle	26
1	Hannah Johnson	26

CON

spkr	Eagan SV	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Maria Sanchez	26
2	Roselin Victor	27

Winner: Eagan SV debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? N

Signature: [Signature] School: Rosemount

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD — Con carries the only impacts to the end of the round. Even accepting the Aff framework, Con still wins.