

Room: 309
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Tullis, Kevin

Lakeville


ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)
Eagan JB	
Jacob Berran	28

NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Edina AV	
Ananth Veluvali	29

Winner: Edina AV debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- Good speaker, easy to follow
- Good job addressing all of the NEG's contentions
- Should work on clear definitions and some examples to support case

NEG

- Very good speaker but should slow down a little
- Very confident
- Good job tracking flow and detailing the framework
- Good job calling out voters

RFD: NEG provided better supporting evidence / framework

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Edina KS	POINTS (20-30)
Kamaljot Singh	28

NEG	
Lakeville AJ	POINTS (20-30)
Alex Janetzke	27

Winner: Edina debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Chris Roy

* Both Face judge during cross ex

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1AR - Nice volume and clarity of speech
 • You developed your cont. very well
 • Well prepared remarks

1NC - Good volume but be careful that you can be understood by judge
 • Make sure to address opp. contentions
 • Worked well off the cuff

1AR - Try to be a little clearer in which point you are addressing
 Make it easy for me to score
 • Consider preparing some questions for cross ex -

2N - Try to be a little clearer which of your opp. points you are arguing
 • Good response to the examples of Boston Tea Party, True Democracy
 • But what about some of the other ex Nuclear etc.

2AR

RFD I think the aff side had strong prepared contentions w/ good examples and cont. were well developed. The neg side had strong rebuttals but in the end I feel the aff case was slightly stronger

Room: 319
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Widmer, Rita

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North JM	
Julia Monroe	28

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville LS	
Leo Shen	27

Winner: Julia Monroe Lakeville N debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Rita Widmer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Pros

Cons

1. Confident and ready to answer.
2. Brought up issues with cross examination
3. Good use of prep time.

1. Know when to move beyond and make the point in rebuttal.
2. Didn't respond to roting.

Neg

Pros

Cons

1. Argued all cases of Aff.
2. Good use of prep time

1. Wouldn't let aff. fully respond to question.
2. Nervous gestures et. playing with pens

Both sides provided great arguments, so it came down to presentation and confidence

Room: 302
 Start: 12:45 PM
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

HINTZ, MATT
 Greenfield, Tim

Edina


ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville LC	POINTS (20-30)
Lily Conniff	28

NEG	
St. Croix Prep JW	POINTS (20-30)
Josh Warmka	29

Winner: ST. CROIX PREP JW debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- GOOD DEFINITION OF TERMS IN OPENING.
- RIGHT TO REBEL IS FUNDAMENTAL
 ↳ HUMAN RIGHTS & DEMOCRACY vs. TOTAL WARLANKISM
- HAS A FUNCTIONAL UTILITY
- CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE MUST TAKE PLACE OUTSIDE THE BOUNDS OF LAW.
- POINT IS TO ATTRACT GOV'TS ATTENTION ↑ YES, NOT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOOD.

NEG

- CIVIL DIS CAN TURN TO VIOLENCE IS THAT A CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP THE PROOF?
- NEGATIVE BASED ON → CONSTITUTIONALISM - DOES PROV IS SELF LIMIT GOV. SHOULD BE LIMITED
- LEGAL FORMS OF SOLVING ISSUES

Good FINAL AR. ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
 ← CORRUPT GOV. AND OPPRESSED CITIZENS.

DOES THE CONST. OFFER EQUAL PROTECTION?? IF NO IS THE GROUP LOSING OUT JUST IN DISOB



Room: 301
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Doneen, Dean

Roseville Area


ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Edina MR	
Matthew Ruppert	28.5

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North DR	
Daniel Ren	29

Winner: Edina MR School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1:47

Aff

- Good case
- Get more solvency for civil disobedience on money controlling politics; how will civil disobedience give people their voices back
- Good extensions in IAR
- Link impacts back to your PW clearly
- Good CX of the NC
- You also got caught up in arguing about the role of parents, this isn't an important argument

Neg

- NC spends too much time explaining how morality must be motivating
- NC needs more substance (contention)
- Args made on Aff's CZ prove his point, not yours
- You get caught up with specifics on the line-by-line and fail to see the big picture, how all the arguments interact
- I like that you end your speeches with "... and that, is a have to say."
- Extend your arguments + link them back to a framework

RFD: Aff extended offense, neg only argued individual arguments (no big picture)

Room: 306
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Applebaum,
Dotan


ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation HL	
Harrison Lardy	26.5

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area YF	
Yelyzaveta Fedorovska	28.5

Winner: Roseville YF School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff
- Make sure you speak clearly
 - Slow down
 - Make sure you're asking Q's in CX
 - Signpost
 - Don't just repeat your opp's args, respond to them
 - Use all your time
 - give rebuttals

- both
- Make extensions

- Neg
- Good coverage
 - Make sure you know ~~speech~~ speech times
 - good voting issues

RFD

Both debaters sort of ditched framework, but neg wins that civil disobedience isn't necessary for changing laws.

Room: N10
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Rosenthal,

Barry

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

	POINTS (20 - 30)
Roseville Area DT	
Daley Tubbs	28

NEG

	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville NB	
Nina Baker	28

Winner: Roseville DT debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: B. Rosenthal

Comments & Reason for Decision:

extremely close - would give tie if I could
both very well organized and good speakers
both questioned + responded well in CX
and used CX answers in rebuttal

Room: N11
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Chao, Connie

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF ^{2:30}

St. Louis Park KI	POINTS (20-30)
Kayla Ignatowicz	28

NEG ^{2:00}

Lakeville KV	POINTS (20-30)
Katarina Vandenbos	29

Winner: Lakeville KV School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff for Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Connie Chao

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:

- Good job ~~with~~ w/ initial case reading - very clear + dynamic
- Use all of CX time! It would help if you had own times
- When making extensions you need to impact to make it clear.
- "Socrates" pronunciation is incorrect
- Signpost! Let me know where you are on the flow. Don't just make arguments on the Neg side
- I'm confused about your ZAR statement: "There is no gov't in democracy?"
- You can't extend arguments in the ZAR that you didn't extend in the IAR.

NEG:

- Good line of questioning in CX, but you can push more + elaborate on the question you ask to get your opponent to concede something
- Thank you for signposting when making args.
- Use all your CX time!
- Good job addressing the drop on your C2, but you didn't extend or impact the argument which means I can't weigh or evaluate ~~the~~ your C2

- EXTEND + IMPACT YOUR ARGUMENTS

- BOTH:
- Try to use all of your time in speeches
 - Don't only respond to what your opp. says - go back to the contention + focus on the argument.

RFD: I vote neg b/c she successful defends her C1 about compliance w/ the gov't in turn for getting rights. The Neg also wins the FW debate b/c NR extension goes unrebutted by aff. The Aff made some good arguments but didn't impact them enough and it wasn't clear which args the Aff was returning to when making her args.

Room: N13
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Mohamed,

Aisha

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Rosemount

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area PS	
Pambayun Savira	29

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Eagan AA	
Aadarsh Akula	28

Winner: Roseville Area PS debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Sit-ins etc in the CRM was civil Disobedience
- Answer your questions with evidence rather than Pure analytical.
- No completely new arg. in the NR
- You should show the cards in your case as defense as well as offense.
- Manage your time → use all of your speech & prep time.
- Great analytical arguments

- Amazing cross-X!!
- Some of the best cross-Xs I've seen for a novice
- Great Rebuttal! - Seriously eye me 2!
- Great analytic arguments but you need more evidence.
- Clarify your "values" (reasons to affirm)

- Both: "my opponent / my opponents case" not he/she/you
- X look at me not at each other
- X extend by impacting to framework
- X impact everything back to FW.
- X Argue the warrant not the examples.

FFD: The neg doesn't do enough work on the FW so look to the Aff fw. The aff outweighs on Rights protection.

Great Round!

Room: Literacy Center (2nd floor)
 Start: 12:45 PM
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Phernetton,
 Lynne

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
John Marshall FS	POINTS (20-30)
✓ Faduma Sharif	29

NEG	
Lakeville North AM	POINTS (20-30)
✓ Alexandra Meyer	28

Winner: John Marshall School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Lynette Phernetton

Preptime? 2

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1 Aff Con. V: morality
 C: rights protection ✓
 Defn: democracy, civ dis, morality, justified
 Cont 1. legal ≠ moral
 2. Civ dis - gives force to minor opinions
 lots of evidence

Prep Jammis Card - Nelson Mandela

1 Neg Con. v: morality
 C: hearing to contractarianism
 Def: civ dis, moral, democ,
 Cont 1. C.D goes against democ. rules
 2. oblig to comply due to benefits rule
 Refut: criterion - guidelines set between governed & governing.
 Cont 1. legal ≠ moral
 2. Her examples weren't of true democracy

Aff: Card to prove America not a democracy?

1 Aff Reb
 Rights protection vs contractarianism
 Cont 1. Neg Rebuk - civ. dis. is the last act
 2. True democracy challenge (1960s)
 Reb Neg Con Benefits → dist. fairly, reap benefits examples?
 Cont 2 - law should evolve → democ rules

Neg Reb.
 Contractarianism - applies to democracy
 protects rights, cooper w/ govt.
 laws → procedural rules of democracy
 Contract = bargain
 Can change laws legally about subvert dem
 Benefits dist. to the people, by the people
 Card - Cohen fairly Blocked all Aff's contentions

2 Aff Reb
 People's rights come 1st before the laws
 Foundation of democracy - acting out
 Contractarianism - not a definition for democ.
 Rights protection under both - shared criteria.
 She blocked all Neg's contentions.

for everyone

Addressed contentions well. Challenged definition well. Rebutted Neg's. Rebuttals well "true democracy" Good eye contact & speaking intonation.

Supported contractarianism thoroughly. Blocked contentions well Good eye contact. Statement abt 1960s not being a democracy wasn't quite accurate but I saw where you were headed. The democracy wasn't workers'

Room: 318
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Rierson, Kelly
Lakeville North

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina YM	
Yash Mangalick	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville CO	
Carly O'Neill	28

Winner: Edina YM School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Kelly Rierson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff
This was a great round.
Hard fought on both sides.
Good flow and reasoning.
Be sure to get to the
social contract point
sooner. Almost was
dropped. Very respectful.

Neg.
Well done with good
evidence. Touched on most
of Aff's points but dropped
C3 about international law
and the anarchy argument
was hard to apply.
Very respectful.

RFD: Very even, Cont. 3 was dropped
there for decision goes to Aff.

Room: 304
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Asif, Becky
Lakeville

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

	POINTS (20-30)
Rosemount AT	
AJ Tabura	29

NEG

	POINTS (20-30)
St. Louis Park EP	
Ellen Poulter	28

Winner: Rosemount AT School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Asif

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The neg side dropped the sub points of the Aff contentions. The Aff side had more robust counter arguments to the ~~rebuttal~~ ^{rebuttal} rounds.

Aff:

- with representation in media most of the forms of media - news, shows, movies etc.
- good questions in cross X
- good volume

Neg:

- good questions in cross X
- make sure to point out my contention 1, 2 etc
- good volume

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville AC	POINTS (20-30)
Anna Carrow	29

NEG

Edina LJ	POINTS (20-30)
Lily Jones	27

Winner: Lakeville AC debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Joel Hagen

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff - Good construction w/ 5 points. Answered questions well in CX. Maybe check on South Africa democracy (though you side stepped this nicely). Need better answer to ineffective question - just because you strike most of the time doesn't mean to stop swinging.
- Neg - Good construction. odd - heard the "muscle" word in there which seems opposed to the non-violent part of CD defined by Aff. More orderly take down of Aff case would help - start with big issues first - the framework - instead of contention 4. + indirect harms needs more argument to overcome the real harms that CD is sometimes trying to address.
- Aff - fantastic Rebuttal, particularly on morality vs. justice over time. Great point on affecting rights of people in other countries effected by our actions since they can't vote. Pointed out dropped contentions.
- Neg - Good 2nd Rebuttal, but value debate felt like using Aff's point & switching words (morality vs. justice). Good to point can run for office if not represented.
↳ plus not addressed in first rebuttal
- Aff - good restatement of justice definition. Slavery example well taken as morality of time vs. justice.

Round to Aff - did more to address opponents case + addressed value debate compellingly

Room: 307
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Linden, Jack
Eagan


ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville North ST	POINTS (20-30)
Summer Tran	27.5

NEG	
Edina EM	POINTS (20-30)
Ellen Mi	29

Winner: Edina EM School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff/Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff:

- You can do the roadmap before the speech begins
- AC: well prepared. Keep up the confidence and speak loud when reading.
- 1AR: It's always best to use all of your time.
- arguments about what laws are just and unjust were really good.
- really hit home your cont clas
- It has examples of many of the arguments that you brought up.
- nice work explaining the def. of CD and defending its non violence.

Neg:

- INR, solid NC. Read through it with enough time to make responses to the AC.
- Be sure to really understand you value/framework and what it mean
- cross-applications of your contention level args can be very effective for taking out a lot of this particular AC.
- 2NR: - Voting issues were good, be sure to weigh topics.

I vote neg off of the successful links into the winning NC framework. The neg successfully took out the AC's links to their framework along the way.

Room: N30
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Carow, Jill
Lakeville North

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville AM	
Andrew Mills	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
Edina JU	
Jake Uribe	27

Winner: Andrew Mills debating on the AFF Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Jill Carow

Comments & Reason for Decision: Both sides brought up many contentions. AFF was able to more clearly explain their contentions and respond to Negs value of morality.

Room: 320
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Monson, Tom

John Marshall

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

4:00
2:00
e

4:00
4:00
e

AFF

St. Louis Park EO	POINTS (20-30)
Ellie Okan	23

NEG

Rosemount KB	POINTS (20-30)
Kaitlyn Bruehling	24

Winner: Rosemount KB debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:

- speak up, you're making good points and I'll be able to hear them better with a louder volume.
- good job extending dropped points
- try to signpost more clearly
- try to circle back to your value and criteria w/ each contention

NEG:

- Be confident and try to improve clear communication. You have good points to make.
- try to signpost more clearly
- give clear impact story on harms of anarchy
- explain how civil disobedience causes anarchy

Both - try to pick up the opponent's contentions clearly and in order.

RFD: NEG more clearly shows justice meeting social stability than AFF does on morality meeting consistency w/ conscience. Both teams really dropped the other's contentions, but NEG has better story on hitting value and criteria w/ clear impacts.

Room: 229
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Kuriscak, Ron

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016


AFF

	POINTS (20 - 30)
Roseville Area JV	
Julien Valentine	30

NEG

	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville North GR	
Gianna Roy	30

Winner: Lakeville North debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NA
Winner by Fof: 4

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Room: 311
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Jorgenson,
Stephanie

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
St. Croix Prep JA	
Jenna Anderson	28

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Eagan MB	
Melissa Brotzman	26.5

Winner: Aff St. Croix Prep JA debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: S. Jorgenson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff
confident, clear & concise,
eye contact
argued points in ex &
rebuttal to counter Neg.
discredited Neg.
countered points and
reiterated her statements
well prepared

Neg.
made strong arguments
for case, answered
ex questions clearly.
sometimes appeared to
not finish points and
struggled to be clear
at times. Did not
use about a minute of
prep time.

great job both of you, I enjoyed
watching your debate and
look forward to great things
coming your way!

Room: 313
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

Nachreiner,

Mark

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North KR	
Kevin Rambarrack	21

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation SB	
Sophia Benz-Narveson	24

Winner: St. Thomas Academy debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Mark Nachreiner

Represented her case better

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Work on delivery know your material Better it will be easier to present.

Be confident in your material.

You have very good points, work on delivery. We will help you be smoother

Nice point with social media

Room: 314
Start: 12:45 PM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

4

You, Josh
Apple Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Edina EQ	POINTS (20 - 30)
Ethan Quick	28

NEG	
Lakeville SA	POINTS (20 - 30)
Sara Asif	28

Winner: Edina debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Josh You

Comments & Reason for Decision:

don't ask rhetorical questions
you need to impact your
args, esp. in 2AR. The
democratic process is corrupt...
so we need CD as a check

~~to the~~
be careful about your cx response
regarding state breaking the contract
you need to show that there are
only very narrow circumstances
where citizens ~~can~~ can break the
law

extend your NC! You have to
tell me what the voting
issues are,

~~Neg makes~~

Aff shows that democracies
aren't always just and that CD
is effective