

Room: 225
Start: 11:00 AM
Junior Varsity Public Forum

3

Cont Sylvester
Philip, Leena

Blake

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan JK	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Bjorn Jonas	28
1	Sourish Kundu	28

CON

Spkr	Lakeville RK	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Brandon Rierson	27.5
1	Aashish Komaragiri	27.5

Winner: Eagan JK debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Cont Sylvester School: Rosemount

Comments & Reason for Decision:

seems you could find a better racism impact than stress.
Con "search" def isn't specific to legal context, which it should be.
Con needs evidence that more searches ↑ safety. Pro ev refutes that.
The question you should ask is whether con ev gives a single example of a suicide or attack stopped by a search based on a tip that wouldn't establish probable cause.
Con needed to answer the cards that RS searches ↑ student criminality. That beats "common sense" that searches ↑ safety.
Wouldn't exigent circumstances undermine pro solvency?

Despite nobody asking whether anonymous tips meet the PC standard, con fails to refute the pro ev that RS causes more criminality and thus turns the safety standard. Pro also wins racism, which con had little answer on.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
 Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Edina CO	POINTS (20-30)
1	Jay Chochreck	27
3	Oscar O'Brien	28

CON		
Spkr	Eastview AP	POINTS (20-30)
2	Daniel Agbator	27
4	Daniel Portnov	28

Winner: Edina CO Affirmative Edina CO debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Mollie Clark School: Eagan HS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

EDINA

- I think framing each world would benefit you in late speeches. How do schools compare to the real world?

Schools

- Reasonable Suspicion
- Racism
- Trust

Outside world

- Detwance doesn't work
- Probable Cause
- Racism
- Trust

unique?
 unique?

- Don't shout in GCX

RFD

Fw: Safety

TRUST

- (James) TT Safety
- ↑ learning environment
- Detwance? ↓ combatant → reward

MINORITY PROTECTION

- Can't solve racism but can help some
- targeting in both worlds
- legitimization of racial profiling

* Detwance is dropped from submissions

EASTVIEW

- 10 seconds left in constructive.
- You skip answering their subpoints in cc
- Where do you pull off late through late speeches? You go almost completely in on their case.
- Don't shout in GCX
- Don't bring up new arg in PF

Room: 224
 Start: 11:00 AM
 Junior Varsity Public Forum

3

Piotrowski,

Bryce

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Eagan CU	POINTS (20-30)
1	Britney Chino	25
3	Ijeoma Ugboajah	25

CON		
Spkr	Eastview NS	POINTS (20-30)
4	Akshay Naik	27
2	Ari Schlegel	26

Winner: Eastview NS debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? NO

Signature: [Signature] School: Lakeville

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Britney: • add substance to case + enunciate a bit more clearly → you had 55% left to read
 • focus on organization in summary

Ijeoma: organization of rebuttal should go from top of case down → try to find cv to back up points
 • try to organize FF around original contentions

You need links to how a PC standard solves the problems you're talking about in your case. I get that disc. + STDs are bad, but tell me how PC solves that.

Ari: - Good job reading case. Case needs a few more links
 - Good question in 1st cross asking them for a link
 - focus on extending impacts - Reason to vote for you in summary

Akshay: good rebuttal + organization, find cv. to back up your points
 • Safety Fall needs to be in summary → good impact, hit on it faster

You guys need to be careful w/ how you're handling the racism argument. It is not that racism doesn't matter, it's that it exists in both worlds.

I vote neg. Aff doesn't give me any links to probable cause solving the issues they pose and I think that neg kind of tells me how many more tips we worse if you affirm so I end up voting for them under their ^{more} clearly extended FW about safety

~~110~~
~~110~~

~~131~~
132

Room: 223
Start: 11:00 AM
Junior Varsity Public Forum

3

Vair, James

Eastview

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Edina MC	POINTS (20-30)
2	Chloe Maynor	29
1	Kendrew Chen	28

CON		
Spkr	Eagan PS	POINTS (20-30)
1	Ayush Patel	29
2	Jason Scheller	30

Winner: Eagan PS School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: Eastview

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kendrew - good first speech, you provided a lot of great context and background research before launching into your analysis, which was really helpful. Some analysis, showing how implications of school policy have ramifications on larger society as a whole. Nice point proven in your summary speech, helps to reflect your argument.

Ayush nice use of sociological theory when analyzing the verbatim. Analysis of cyberbullying was interesting and unique. Good job! nice extemporaneous delivery. interesting connection b/w violence and cheating. Good review of your opponent's case in your third speech. Great extemporaneous delivery.

Chloe nice use of interesting evidence when critiquing the opponents' argument. excellent! interesting use of psychological concepts (brain development) when analyzing students' behavior. excellent.

Jason good review of your framework in your first speech. It's helpful to revisit your core arguments and reface your position. Great job! nice reminder how often examples are all hypothetical since probable case has never been witnessed. excellent extemporaneous delivery.

over →

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eastview NT	POINTS (20-30)
1	Jagath Naithani	29
2	Ethan Ta	29

CON

Spkr	Lakeville NR	POINTS (20-30)
2	Sheridan Norcia	27
1	Maddie Rowe	26

Winner: Eastview NT School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? NO

Signature: Molly Cornell School: Rosemount

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro - probable cause sought to apply for schools.

Jagath - your definitions were concise and clear.

- your argument was easy to follow and clear - nice job.
- self fulfilling prophecy - good
- examples were a great back-up of main points.
- eye contact - good
- your questions during cross-x worked really well to strengthen your argument
- your overall tone made your summary quite easy to follow
- great summary

running prep
 +55 sec. + 25 seconds
 +36 sec.

- Ethan -
- open statements was a nice way to lead into argument
 - good eye contact
 - expand on racial bias
 - argument flowed well
 - your quotes worked well to build your argument
 - make sure to respond to the question Con asked
 - be careful about urban vs suburban
- nice address
 over of lives over rights

Con - probable cause should apply to ~~schools~~

Maddie - how lives over rights in K-12.
 - if you need to slow down to catch your breath do so.

- your argument was hard to follow at times - speak up a tad
- your argument seemed to fall apart in cross-x.
- how with tips? How are they saving most lives? compare to other states.
- "a lot of problems" not clear

running prep
 +26 sec + 10 sec
 +remaining time +39 sec

- Sheridan -
- students give up rights to promote stronger education.
 - quote - when was that found?
 - ~~it felt like you were struggling to read~~
 - your argument was hard to follow at times - very repetitive
 - school-prison pipeline - not explained enough to convince.
 - racism weakened your cross x
 - I thought ~~you~~ ^{liked} you were going against the validity of tips (anon)

Overall, Pro's argument left less room for questioning, and was constructed

Keep referring to ^{Page 2 of 6} Pro's unique arg, but there are no new points brought up on Con side. Be careful of that

Room: 221
Start: 11:00 AM
Junior Varsity Public Forum

3

Seggerman,
Catherine

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan GS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Nirupa Ganesan - 2nd speaker	27
1	Anusha Sharma - 1st speaker	28

CON

Spkr	Lourdes EB	POINTS (20-30)
2	Peter Erickson	28
1	Alisha Bhagia	28

Winner: Eagan GS debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? yes

Signature: Kathleen Seggerman School: Edina

Comments & Reason for Decision:

EAGAN - good pts about racial disparity making minorities feel less safe. Should have come in knowing for certain whether exigent circumstances + anon tips apply to PRO.

ANUSHA - excellent opener but slow down if possible. Condense repetitions. Very good adaptability thru out.

NIRUPA - good cross-exam about opponents agreeing there is more worth for racism in con world. Watch out for getting flustered in cross-exam.

LOURDES - should come in knowing how to defend racism of status quo.

ALISHA - best opening, organization. Adaptability less impressive but still good. Good pt about schools jumping thru hoops.

PETER - Good pt about statistics not necessarily showing racism, although this was refuted. Good job owning aspect (eventually) of status quo being racist for the greater good. Definitions are helpful but be careful not to sound like a mansplainer.