

Room: 309  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Applebaum,  
Dotan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park


Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina AV	POINTS (20 - 30)
Ananth Veluvali	30

NEG

Lakeville North KR	POINTS (20 - 30)
Kevin Rambarrack	25

Winner: ~~ANAL~~ Edina AV debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff  
• need to give CWT  
in extensions

Both  
PREPtime!!

Neg  
• use PrePtime before NC  
• Need to make responses to AC  
• Have to use NR

RFD

Neg conceded NR.

Room: 319  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Rierson, Kelly

Lakeville North

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville LS	
Leo Shen	28

NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
St. Croix Prep JA	
Jenna Anderson	29

Winner: St. Croix Prep JA debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: Kelly Rierson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff.

Nice job in the beginning laying out your argument. Be sure to speak clearly. Rebuttal was difficult to follow. Be sure to point out & link your evidence throughout.

Neg.

Nice job laying out your case. Interesting to argue that efficiency is not the arguments solely morality... Keep your momentum going throughout.

RFD:

Neg. effectively ~~was~~ took down each point. And constructed a more linear case.

Room: 311  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Gupta, Adil  
~~Asif, Becky~~

Lakeville  
Eastview

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Eagan MB	
Melissa Brotzman	27

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North JM	
Julia Monroe	29

Winner: Lakeville North JM debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Adil Gupta

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Eagan MB

- Make a little more eye contact during case reading
- good cross ex questions
- try to use full time for speeches  
→ you'll be able to get more argument across and can defend your side more

Lakeville North JM

You made strong rebuttal points throughout your first speech  
Find out through research ~~which~~  
which tribals have true democracy  
Good job using full time in speeches  
You addressed everything and used evidence to support your points

## Decision

Both teams were very good. Julia won the debate because she addressed all points, held her own side, and kept bringing evidence.

Room: N15  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Torbert, John


West Des Moines Valley

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville AJ	
Alex Janetzke	27

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Rosemount AT	
AJ Tabura	29

Winner: Rosemount AT debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I THOUGHT THE NEG SIDE ARGUED A STRONGER CASE + DID A BETTER JOB OF PRESENTING IT. AFF CONTENDS THAT CIVIL DISOBEDIANCE WAS THE ONLY WAY THAT MINORITIES CAN HAVE A VOICE BUT DOESN'T COME CLOSE TO PROVING THAT CONTENTION. AFF ALSO MISCHARACTERIZED NEG CASE AT TIMES

Room: 229  
 Start: 11:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Phernetton,  
 Lynne

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North GR	29
Gianna Roy	

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area PS	28
Pambayun Savira	

Winner: Lakeville North debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Lynette Phernetton

mini prep

Comments & Reason for Decision

1 Aff Con v: justice rights ext  
 Def: civ dis, justice, rights, democ, civ dis ≠ law evader  
 Cont 1. Fund right to be protected v  
 2. laws are means to this end  
 3. citizens = ck vs. govt.  
 4. successful, useful ck current social reforms  
 5. democ doesn't elim. need for civ dis.  
 Examples:

1 Neg Con v: morality cr: rights protection  
 Def: morality  
 1 example is not suff  
 - democracy - free by people -  
 2. civ disob can be used justly & unjustly  
 1. any person can act against any law  
 they don't like - undemocr. democ.  
 3. ~~civ dis can be used to~~ more legit opt's exist  
 Refuts: 1, 2, 3, 5  
 Dropped 4, 3

1 Aff Reb  
 Refute Neg con 1 simple challenge, no evidence  
 2 insuff example  
 3, more legit opt's don't always work

Neg. Reb  
 Refute Aff: civ dis strength democ - no, other ways exist  
 Violate to law → against democ.  
 Minority example  
 \* Didn't use all of time allotted

2 Aff Reb  
 Ref. Neg: cont 3 - ineffective  
 Neg: challenge to nonviolence defn  
 Civ. dis. can raise awareness of issues for underrepresented. Smaller voice.

- Define unjust? Opinion of people  
 - yes subjective, but doesn't negative.  
 - Ability to break laws to prove unjust is imp in a democracy  
 - Civ. dis. is dangerous -

Well constructed speeches. Good eye contact. Showed patience & compassion when opponent struggled w/ ck of aff. Nice balance of competitive, but kind.

Strong start, but then seemed to lose track of direction. Did not use all of prep time or speaking time. Use the prep time to help organize thoughts a little more. Made some good pts. Good eye contact!

Room: 314  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Widmer, Rita

Edina

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville SA	POINTS (20 - 30)
Sara Asif	28

NEG	
St Thomas Academy/Visitation HL	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harrison Lardy	26

Winner: Sara Asif Lakeville SA debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Rita Widmer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

Pros

1. Definitions meet contentions
2. Quick responses to questioning.
3. Confidence in your case.

Cons

1. Slow speech and be clear
2. Take your prep time to build your cross examination.

Neg

Pros

1. Questions though unclear have excellent points.
2. Good points about unintended harm and differing morals. Just don't bring it to cross. Make your case.

Cons

1. Be clear in your questions.
2. Work on examples
3. ~~Not prepared to give~~ ~~negative~~. Little to no rebuttal.
4. Work on confidence
5. Questionable sources

Room: 305  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Gilbert, Trent

West Des Moines Valley

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)
Edina LJ	
Lily Jones	29.5

NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
John Marshall FS	
Faduma Sharif	30

Winner: John Marshall debating on the Neg Side (Aff for Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: Trent Gilbert

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

-Your IAA is quite good and responds to most neg arguments  
But you should dedicate more time to comparing your framework with the negs and building upon it

NEG

-Your 2N is good at discussing the important issues, but you had close to 2 minutes left when you sat down. Use this extra time to tell me what I should vote and why

REF

I negate on the functionally conceded Hobbes framework. While the neg offense isn't great by any means, ~~it~~ only the neg claims to link back to a Hobbes

Room: N11  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Shmikler,

Richard

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville KV	
Katarina Vandenbos	28.5

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North ST	
Summer Tran	28

Winner: Lakeville KV debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- time each other!
- more overview/analysis
- more crystallization

ask coach  
How do I use the criterion to weigh offers?  
Both

- good AC
- great coverage + comparison

- Great patience in round reading
- good speaking skills
- good emotional appeal

www.flowprep.org  
- need coaching we can help!

RFP - AFF wins they don't adhere to social contract



Room: 306  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Rosenthal,

Barry

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
Roseville Area YF	
Yelyzaveta Fedorovska	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
St. Louis Park EO	
Ellie Okan	27

Winner: Aff Roseville YF debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: B. Rosenthal

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Room: Literacy Center (2nd floor)  
 Start: 11:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Chris Roy as Judge  
 for Mark  
**Nachreiner,**

**Mark**  
**ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL**  
 Oct 1 - 1, 2016

Lakeville

AFF	
Lakeville North AM	POINTS (20-30)
Alexandra Meyer	28

NEG	
Edina KS	POINTS (20-30)
Kamaljot Singh	27

Winner: Lakeville debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Chris Roy

2 min  
2 min

2 min  
2 min

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1AC

- Good Clear speech and volume
- I like your cont. #3
- Might want consider combining or reducing the number of cont.

1NG

- Nice volume and clarity of speech
- I like your cont's #2 & 3
- Mob Rule • School boards
- Very Similar case to other Edina team members • Consider personalizing

1AR

- Responded to opp Contentions
- Spend a little more time to disagree w/ opp ~~rebuttal~~

2N

- Be careful laws can be repealed and therefore are not perm. -
- Nice response to the slavery example the slaves weren't a part of the Dem. f
- Rebuttal was a little all over try organizing thoughts a little more
- Carry your cont. 2 & 3 thru they are strong points use them

2AR

- Rebuttal could have used a little more organization

RFD - The aff side made arguments that were better carried through the entire debate. The neg dropped and/or didn't respond quite as strongly in Negative rebuttal.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation SB	
Sophia Benz-Narveson	28

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina EQ	
Ethan Quick	27

Winner: St Thomas Academy SB debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good questions in CF & answers.
- Road mapped - thank you.
- Touched on NC case in AR.
- ~~Cards~~ Cards \*

- Linked to Standard.
- Know parts or structure of Debate & when to do them & what needs to be covered. (i.e., in your NC you weren't going to counter Aff's case).
- Good CF questions & answers.
- Make sure you cover all points of Aff case.
- Announce when you are doing prep & road map (not only for judge but yourself).
- Use prep time to focus.

RFD: Strong case: Covered all contentions of Neg case.

Room: 301  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Tullis, Kevin

Lakeville

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville North DR	POINTS (20 - 30)
Daniel Ren	28

NEG

Roseville Area JV	POINTS (20 - 30)
Julien Valentine	29

Winner: Lakeville North -DR debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? 4

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- Good speaker, practice case to make it flow.
- Good job on rebuttal to NEG points
- Did not extend or talk about any AFF points

Neg

- Did not read a prepared NEG case
- Good points but not organized
- Did not present any NEG value, criterion or contentions
- Did not specifically address any AFF contentions, value or criterion.
- Need organization and flow to argument

RFD: NEG did not present an organized point or flow for NEG or against AFF

Room: N30  
 Start: 11:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Mohamed,

Aisha

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Rosemount

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Edina JU	POINTS (20-30)
Jake Uribe	28

NEG	
Lakeville LC	POINTS (20-30)
Lily Conniff	27

Winner: Edina JU debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Aisha Mohamed

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff  
 - Great cross-x questions  
 - Great, Great, Great AP!  
 Make sure to impact back to FW.  
 - Same thing ←

Neg  
 - Respond to the warrants in the cards - not the examples.  
 - Don't say you're confused by something as a reason to negate.

22

Both:  
 - Get to know what your actual cases say.  
 - CD by def. can't be violent  
 - Use all your speaking time!!!  
 - Refer to your opponent as "my opponent" / "my opponent's case"  
 - Extensions through think don't count. Tie everything back to framework

RFD: I Aff'm because the aff wins the arg. of civil disobedience hearing marginalized people + I wasn't using WHI.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Eagan AA	POINTS (20-30)
Aadarsh Akula	28

NEG	
Lakeville AM	POINTS (20-30)
Andrew Mills	29

Winner: Lakeville AM School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Como [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- You got to CI at 2:45 left, I would cut down the value b/c you spend over 2 min. on it
- I think you ran out time at the end of your case - try timing it so it is 6 min. on the dot
- Stand up during CX! And challenge the Neg. on their <sup>assumptions</sup> during CX
- Don't look at opponent during CX
- You don't need to make a pt about your side of the debate when you are asking CX questions
- Roadmap + signposting is necessary - let me know where you are on the flow
- You need to extend + impact your case + FW, <sup>if you never addressed the full</sup> otherwise
- I can't vote on it - you have no offense or ~~def~~ defense w/o extensions.
- Only address your opponent directly (ie YOU) in CX, otherwise address him as "my opponent" or "he" -> speak to me the judge, not your opponent for the rest of the round
- You made good args in the ZAR, but I can't evaluate them b/c you dropped them in the IAR

Neg

- Don't judge based only on their tag line - ask them to EXPLAIN the evidence if you miss it!
- Thank you for signposting!
- You need to debate the FW move, b/c the F is what I weigh on!
- If your opponent drops his case (or an arg pt) that out, b/c it means they don't have offense or defense ~~is~~ coming out of their case / argument

PF: I vote ~~neg~~ neg b/c they defend the FW of Contradictionism and is the only FW I can weigh on. I vote off of Neg's CI b/c it is impacted and extended.

Aff. dropped entire case in the IAR, so there is no offense or defense coming out of the Aff.

Room: 302  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Mark Nachreiner

~~Roy, Chris~~

Lakeville North

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St. Croix Prep JW	POINTS (20-30)
Josh Warmka	25

NEG

Lakeville AC	POINTS (20-30)
Anna Carrow	26

Winner: Lakeville School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff of Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Mark Nachreiner

Comments & Reason for Decision: Lakeville stayed on track and did not loose focus

When speaking you march in place and is a little distracting may be nervous.

Keep down motions to opponent

Kind of got lost in the argument by saying I can't defend because her argument was too broad to go up against

Very precise in your answers to

Good Rebutal Responding to questions brought up to you

Stayed on track and did not get flustered by opponents gestures

Room: 304  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Kuriscak, Ron

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St. Louis Park EP	POINTS (20-30)
Ellen Poulter	28

NEG

Edina MR	POINTS (20-30)
Matthew Ruppert	29

Winner: Edina School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NA

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Both sides did a good job with Framework
- CS #1 & 3 - dropped / →
- def = good

- Value - morality
- morality guidelines;
  - moral necessity; Extent moral justification; objective to law.
  - Border off prof
  - violence ≠ CD.
  - not not used for opp
  - little to examples used; NAZ: a Randu.

- def = good
- Value - morality
- majority short circuiting democracy.
  - mob rules
  - Not always used for good cause; conversely could be used for unwise
  - utilitarian arg.
  - good attack to Billie SARR



Room: 320  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Jorgenson,  
Stephanie

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
Rosemount KB	
Kaitlyn Bruehling	<u>    </u>

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation RK	
Riley Kobilka	

Winner: Rosemount KB debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?       
School/Team

Signature: S. Jorgenson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg  
NO Show

Room: 316  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Hasan, Aleezeh

Rosemount

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
St. Louis Park BD	POINTS (20 - 30)
Ben Dodge	

NEG	
Eagan JB	POINTS (20 - 30)
Jacob Berran	

Winner: \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the \_\_\_\_\_ Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*No show*

Room: 307  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Monson, Tom

John Marshall

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

18:00  
2:21  
1:39  
1:39  
9

AFF	
Edina EM	POINTS (20-30)
Ellen Mi	26

NEG	
Roseville Area DT	POINTS (20-30)
Daley Tubbs	24

4:00  
2:00  
2:00  
9

Winner: Edina EM debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- AFF:
- good contentions
  - stay organized in rebuttal
  - give clearer criterion/standard
  - good carry thru of dropped cont. 2
  - Try not to drop NEG cont. 2
  - when claiming no warrant, explain how that warrants NEG's points.
  - If NEG argues people are subjective, how can gov'ts decide? Gov't is made of people.
  - Come back to weighing on criteria in rebuttals.

- NEG:
- good contentions
  - clear value and standard
  - Come back to weighing your value thru criterion
  - Good pull thru of cont. 2 → weight out forme
  - your points on subjectivity of morality seems to be double edged b/c it can make your value meaningless
  - If gov't or majority should be seen as an independent entity of people I need more explanation as to why.

RFD: AFF wins by contentions 1 + 3 providing more rights protection than does NEG. Neither team really comes back to connecting values or criterion, but stay on contentions nearly full time. NEG seems to refute self w/ taking subjectivity of morals too far and makes it unclear who can make moral decisions if people can't. AFF makes case people do make moral decisions, which upholds rights.

Room: 318  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

Carow, Jill  
Lakeville North

### ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville CO	POINTS (20 - 30)
Carly O'Neill	29

NEG	
St. Louis Park KI	POINTS (20 - 30)
Kayla Ignatowicz	28

Winner: Carly O'Neill debating on the AFF Low point win?         
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Jill Carow

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both AFF and Neg had strong speaker voice. AFF was able to clearly state 5 contentions and address clarifying questions to Neg. Neg I believe had one contention and did not address at least 3 of AFF's contentions.

Room: N10  
Start: 11:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

3

McDonald, Ceil

Eagan

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville NB	POINTS (20-30)
Nina Baker	27.5

NEG

Edina YM	POINTS (20-30)
Yash Mangalick	27

Winner: Lakeville NB debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Ceil McDonald

## Comments & Reason for Decision:

Impressive beginning, you two. I actually had to check my ballot a 2nd time to verify that this was a novice rd b/c you two sounded so skilled! Weaknesses, however, show up in CX

Nina - in CX you jumbled a bit your contention 5 - the argument is that through the arrest of someone arrested for their CD, the trial itself can bring the injustices to light. However good job of listing the many ~~ex~~ issues in U.S. that have used C.D. technique ~~and~~ to advance their cause. As far as 2<sup>nd</sup> CX Yash made some great questions putting you on the spot. Claiming Eritrea is a democracy + violates unspecified rights was not effective, and I completely agree w/ Yash that your example of committing a crime to stop a crime was silly + irrelevant. I admire your confidence, though, in making them.

Yash, however, also has moments of poor reasoning when he claims U.S. was not considered a democracy when there was slavery and before women's suffrage. It surely was not a perfect democracy, but to claim it wasn't one at all is simply false. Aff is also correct that Hitler rose to power democratically.

Yash argues that if C.D. unsuccessful in achieving goals then wrong to have used, and say others who were inexperienced had rights taken away. I buy Nina philosophical argument that "morally justified" d/n have to equal successful.