

Room: 006
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Bernard,

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL **Debbie**

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina PS

Prasoon Sinha

POINTS

(20 - 30)

29

NEG

West Des Moines Valley AJ

Animesh Joshi

POINTS

(20 - 30)

28

Winner: Edina PS debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: N. Bernard

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF - stronger, more convincing case overall

AFF

- clear intro, many arguments, good flow.
- for the most part provided solid arguments and sources; once said "...economies destroyed..." w/o backup. Destroyed mems strong-harmed? ~~how~~ or ^{provide} source.

Strong summary - convincing points.

A few slips (death is pleasure - I think you meant pain) but overall strong delivery.

NEG

"sometimes voice got quiet & words slurred with your speed - make sure you are clear

- you used an argument that because we learn from accidents, things get safer. That makes logical sense. But then you say, "therefore it's not a risk at all." That doesn't make sense.

- Some of your rebuttals ~~to~~ were a little unclear - but your delivery was easier to understand than in your NC.

Room: 204
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Pierce-
Ramsdell, Hay-
ley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1-1, 2016

Edina

AFF

Apple Valley CR

Cori Roberts

POINTS
(20-30)

28

NEG

Lakeville GG

Grace Geertsema

POINTS
(20-30)

27.5

Winner: Apple Valley CR debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Hayley RR

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Cori:**
- I really like how straight-up loaded CI is. Very smart. Especially, Morris at the end... genius.
 - Flow coverage is great, but the time allocation issue didn't allow you to go as in-depth on impact calc as you needed to.
 - FW could've been A LOT quicker. Run the 1st two responses and call it a day and move on. Always try to save time.
 - You don't need to extend everything. Focus on what gets you the absolute MOST offense and focus on impacting and weighing the arguments more. You make the extensions but don't do any comparison or analysis of ~~its~~ importance.
 - Needed more clarity. Signposting was good but the reason to vote for you wasn't as clear as it could've been.
 - Try to incorporate a world's comparison.
 - Work on breaking it down (issue wise) more efficiently.

- Grace:**
- The CI of the NC is okay. I suggest adding another card about NP solving and taking out the cards about climate change. The first few cards don't have much offense.
 - When responding to the AC - make sure that you are responding DIRECTLY to the warrants of the AC.
 - Avoid phrases like "she has no ground"... this could get you sucked into "Theory" which isn't fun for anyone.
 - I would've liked to see more analysis on the FW and ultimately how I weigh the round in the INR.
 - I feel like you unnecessarily jump around a lot. Maybe go issue by issue and analyze what is happening on the floor ~~for~~ for that specific issue.
 - Avoid just saying that she didn't respond to CI B - I have 4 responses to it. Say something along the lines of them not going at the warrants.
 - Good job bringing up the Life before Util. I would've liked to see even more on.
 - Save the last 2 minutes for voters! Boil it down

RFD: Very close round. I end up Affirming. The FW in this round goes to the Affirmative. The affirmatives' response to Max EP about how Util presupposes it goes unresponded to. Through util - I look at the impacts of environmental harms/who solves better. At ~~the~~ the end of the round,

the Neg's mismanagement of the cards under CI - specifically the Achabach one about how NP is real bad for the environment. The extensions from the IAR were clean enough

Room: 110
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Smith, Nick

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF
Apple Valley JB
John Boals

POINTS
(20-30)
29.5

NEG
West Des Moines Valley JM
James Meng

POINTS
(20-30)
27.5

Winner: AV JB debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signatures]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff	Neg
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Straight up predicted authors Jags. #phophite - "We say" XD - a little more IAR time by time plz! 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - any specific sign posty would be great - Make this allow NPR w/o purpose or actual advocacy instead of a floaty one.

RFD

Cotzo 12 Concession is damn, even if my wins any other
 frame any still lose EW. NPR other purposes & answer
 intrinsic bad b/c sep self dom nature by attempt to
 learn harmful reason for the cosmic.

Room: 001
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Reikowski,

Megan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina JR

Jonah Rosenthal

POINTS
(20-30)

28

NEG


West Des Moines Valley AZ

Annie Zhang

POINTS
(20-30)

27

Winner: Edina JR debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

The underview feels kind of ~~super~~ redundant. I don't know that whatever time suck you're getting off of it justifies the choppieness of the Arg. I needed you to ask at least 3 more questions about the neg standard in A- you could force her to bite ~~the~~ a lot of harm.

Neg

I like the argument ~~that~~ that you're making off the Aff framework but you could be taking it so much further, especially given the impacts you're pulling out of your framework.

RFD

I think there a lot of holes that could be exploited in the neg standard, but Aff buys into it and then gives me actual clear connections to the standard in the 2 so I affirm.

Room: 007
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Higgin-Houser,

Dori

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St Thomas Academy/Visitation LW

Lauren Wohlberg

POINTS

(20 - 30)

25

NEG

Edina AS

Aiden Swan

POINTS

(20 - 30)

27

Winner: Aiden Swan debating on the Neg Side (Aff/Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Dori Higgin-Houser

needed more evidence on both sides

Comments & Reason for Decision:

you have good arguments that need more development
Evidence was good but you appeared unfamiliar with it at multiple times struggling with complex words.

Struggled to clearly defend your position on state action.

Questioning variable definition of happiness did not work ~~because you were not~~ seemed you weren't prepared for this challenge.

Good questions regarding renewable energy.

"nuclear power in general is pretty sucky" - sounds inappropriate

Suggestions to improve:

Gaspings indicated too fast rate of speech and is distracting - try to avoid

Avoid complaining about the environment - at least to judge - it's negative & better to build professional demeanor.

More eye contact at judge - hands out of hair

In my opinion your presence is too casual - facial expressions, gestures detract from your credibility.

Rate of speech excellent you were easy to understand and listen to you. Keep this up - it's a great strength.
- Credible evidence

- Solid arguments
- Challenged content

Would like to hear more evidence that renewable energy is so limiting

- you are very familiar with your material & able to present it with lots of eye contact, & comprehensive speech pattern

Suggestions to improve:

More evidence on renewable energy - I wasn't fully convinced.

Tighten up your professionalism (don't get too casual).

This will add to your credibility.
"there's big + suramis" too casual.

Room: 116
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Geertsema,

Dennis

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
West Des Moines Valley CT	29	Edina AM	30
Conal Thomas-McGinnis		Anand Mittal	

Winner: Edina - AM debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Dennis Geertsema

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- AFF Great Job on the AFF speech
Good speed + articulation
- * Excellent job in the AR. Key point in the ~~round~~ round for the AFF. You covered most of ground well in 4 min.
- * The only thing that swayed the round the most was your attempts to extend your cards left open in the AR while the NEG already mentioned in the NC.
- * Nitpick - sign-posting in the AC. ~~was~~ was perhaps needed more. Didn't hear well where you were at in your evidence, where one stopped and another topic started

- NEG As well. excellent job on presentation your case. easy to listen to with great speed
- * Your NR was spot on. I didn't know where to go in this round to vote but your rebuttal was excellent.
- * You had great fluidity throughout the NR. THAT made it a selling point to winning the round
- * Nitpick - Do watch your time to make a solid closing statement vs rambling past times goes off

Summary: Excellent Debate. Both had great enthusiasm to the round + the ~~round~~ round was fun. Best Round of the day!

Thank you + Good Luck!

Room: 111
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Ahsan, Talha

Eagan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation NW	<u>26</u>	Apple Valley TE	<u>29</u>
Nick Weir		Trinity Ek	

Winner: St Thomas Academy/Visitation NW debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Y

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I seriously I'm not the judge to run prefiat affs and top reality on.
aff: clarity needs improvement, the speed is something I can kinda deal with but clarity is super
RFD ac was and is prefiat. I don't like this case, I'm not happy but it was

Room: 005
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

McGinnis, David

West Des Moines Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville EH	26.5	Edina SC	28
Elliot Harvanko		Sandra Chen	

Winner: Neg debating on the Edina Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team _____
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

over

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville KD

Kelby Drogemuller

POINTS

(20 - 30)

28.5

NEG


Edina MK

Mark Kivimaki

POINTS

(20 - 30)

29

Winner: Edina debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

So neg says gas generates 400 g/kWh,
your card says nuclear generates 66 g/kWh
which is as bad as gas. You had
to do evidence comparison to resolve.
Also if nuclear doesn't solve warming
and warming → ext., we're going
extinct any way?

Say something about str.
of link re oceans.
The ocean is big, doesn't
seem like nuclear reacts
are a tipping point for
ice collapse.

Don't be so visibly upset
when you ~~disagree with~~
don't like what your opponent
says

Sovacool isn't that great for aff.
Neg reduces warming which has
the biggest impact

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

West Des Moines Valley GJ

POINTS
(20-30)

28

Gus Johnson

NEG


Edina RM

POINTS
(20-30)

28

Rahil Modi

Winner: VALLEY School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AL - MORE DIRECT ARGS TO NUCLEAR WOULD
STRENGTHEN CASE A LARGE NUMBER OF ARGS, TOO
NC ASSERTS CLAIMS - NEED MORE COMPARISON
MANY BOTH STYLISTIC COULD DO MORE TO BE EASIER
TO FLOW, - AFF ENUMERATION ; NEG NOUCL
VAR, 2N EXTENDS CLAIMS, BUT NOT
WARRANTS, ALL 2N EXTENSIONS FAIL
TO MENTION WARRANTS. 2 AR DOES
WAY MORE WORK ON WARRANT
EXTENSION AND SOLIDLY WINS
THAT UTIL IS NOT SUFFICIENT
AND THE RULE EXPLANATION IN
THE 2N SEEMS TO BE A
BIG SHIT

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

West Des Moines Valley AC

POINTS
(20 - 30)

28

Anna Correa

NEG

Apple Valley SA

POINTS
(20 - 30)

27

Serena Abraham

Winner: West Des Moines Valley AC debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1:33 Aff

- A lot of your ev is pretty generic - except Bullard
- I like this case a lot, some generalizations about suburbs, many are ~~are~~ very poor
- Undercovered the AC in the NR, usually a good idea to start w/ your case
- Wallace could've given you a lot of options

Neg

1:00

- you need to respond to Wallace
- Watchman IS doesn't even mention the elites
- CP has really weak competition
- IP NRIS doesn't turn, it make the DA non-unique

RFD: DA got turned, no other offense for neg

Room: 117
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Hoverson, Doug

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

47

AFF

Lakeville NG

Noah Gallagher

POINTS
(20 - 30)

28.5

NEG

Edina OJ

Om Jahagirdar

POINTS
(20 - 30)

26.5

Winner: Lakeville NG debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: [Signature]

Pronouns: Lakeville NG's Gallagher pronouns: He/Him/His

Comments & Reason for Decision:

seriously? There is no history of nuclear proliferation? North Korea, Pakistan, India, Israel?
The bioterror argument is non-responsive
For Neg to go after prolif is not the best choice. If you want to do extinction, you need to spend more than five seconds on it. (that was the actual time.)

Aff wins on the standard debate, since util is never mentioned after NC.
Aff wins on climate Δ time frame.
" " on prolif.
In general, Aff ev. was more substantive.

Room: 113
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Gallagher, Don

Lakeville

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

West Des Moines Valley MS

Manasi Singh

POINTS

(20 - 30)

28.5

NEG

Apple Valley HD

Henry Dikeman

POINTS

(20 - 30)

29.00

Winner: Apple Valley HD School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Car

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - very clear, strong speaking voice. Laid out argument in logical, easy-to-follow format.

Neg - Good probing cross. I liked courteous interaction, give + take, during cross. Kudos to Both.

Both Debaters are skilful + well prepared, as one would expect for varsity.

2 Key Points ① Aff value of morality w/ Definition of "all persons have rights equally" Much time + effort on this point. v. utility. However to the Direct question of "equal rights" criteria - Aff had no specific answer, therefore, much ambiguity. I felt Neg exploited this ambiguity to his advantage. ② Water issue (India v Pakistan) Both agreed on problem. Neg solved, Aff Didn't. Decision Neg.

Room: 002
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Opatz, Zach

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

West Des Moines Valley WG

Will Golay

POINTS
(20 - 30)

28

NEG

St Thomas Academy/Visitation SK

Sophia Kuriscak

POINTS
(20 - 30)

29

Winner: West Des Moines debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: Zachary Opatz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF's arguments were answering despite being philosophical, ~~not~~ the resolution,

AFF | NEG

Don't fidget
At points
during your
speech your
words ran
together

Room: 107
Start: 11:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

3

Ahlstrom, Mark

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Apple Valley KA

Kenan Anderson

POINTS

(20 - 30)

29

NEG

Lakeville AL


Alec Loftus

POINTS

(20 - 30)

28

Winner: AV KA School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- EV on CI seems to create inherency problems early
- where is link on the TOR card to Nuke? links to anything that harms
- Arent Nuke power companies? Wouldn't they still have income to lobby?
- I don't know why there is a fw arg
- Iowa wind farms can not possibly power world

- Just agree clear on Fw then outweigh
- Need to get to futashima points
 - cost likely not able to outweigh
 - simple arg is your california on energy cost spike
 - Ditto. Why do I care.
 - why does it matter on your cr, or really his. seems outweighed.

Rfd: Both sides make FW args, but there's no impact that I can see for why either Fw matters to how I would eval the round. Util vs Prvat extinction seems to be the same, esp when aff going for extinction impacts. Aff shows can do power transfer (even though fact wrong) + Accidents harm bio-diversity takes Arg out. Neg Never gets Phase out