

Bryce
ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina MC	POINTS (20-30)
3	Chloe Maynor	30
1	Kendrew Chen	28.5

CON

Spkr	Eagan GS	POINTS (20-30)
2	Nirupa Ganesan	29
4	Anusha Sharma	28

Winner: Edina MC debating on the pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no
 Signature: [Signature] School: Lakeville

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kendrew: good case & good presentation
 - go line by line in summary, try to keep it organized + cover your opponent's case
 - conceding safety, as obs might meet you in the long run

Chloe: very well done rebuttal aside from the minor organizational hiccup. should address FW a bit more substantively
 GREAT Final four. Just make sure you extend James in your CI, it's your clearest offense

Nirupa: - good case & presentation
 - well done in cx → good concessions faced
 - good line by line, make sure you're pulling impacts through from your case

Anusha: You need more substantive answers to trust not just outweighing. Good organization and coverage though.
 - good organization in FF focus on extending offense CI need hard reasons to vote for you, solvency etc, not just warrants

I vote Aff.

There are no substantive responses to trust ever given by neg. Aff does an adequate job of extending trust by using turns on Neg CI for me to vote for their etc & in delinquency turn on Neg CI. This is the clearest link to safety FW ~~is~~ accepted by both teams.

Oral comments also given.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Lourdes EB	POINTS (20-30)
2	Peter Erickson	28
1	Alisha Bhagia	28

CON		
Spkr	Eagan CU	POINTS (20-30)
1	Britney Chino	29
2	Ijeoma Ugboajah	29

Winner: Eagan CU School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
Signature: Adit Gupta School: Eastview

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Alisha & Peter

- Bring more evidence into the rebuttals
- Peter, good job on following up with asking for evidence

Britney & Ijeoma

- good job on holding your position and being firm w/ it.
- CROSS ex questions were good make sure to not say anything without proof though, like "racial injustice is at an all time high".

Both teams did very well and it got super close towards the end. Con won because they brought up strong points and were able to uphold them. Pro did a good job refuting arguments. but could work on articulating arguments a bit better. Good job both teams!

Room: 219
Start: 10:00 AM
Junior Varsity Public Forum

2

Seggerman,
Catherine

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eastview NT	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Jagath Naithani	27
4	Ethan Ta	27

CON

Spkr	Eagan JK	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Bjorn Jonas	27
3	Sourish Kundu	27

Winner: Eagan JK School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no

Signature: [Signature] School: Edina

Comments & Reason for Decision:

for all, more preparation for cross-examinations would help strengthen your arguments for length of debate

NAITHANI - in summary section, weak logical chain about ^{opponent pt about} bullying → probable cause looks to real world → prob cause would be more effective. Good defense of student-teacher trust improving safety. Good calm demeanor, opening was strong + easy to understand.

TA - good pts about implicit racial bias but could have added psychological studies for prof. Relied too much on argument abt anonymous tips. Might want to link students feeling safer to students not feeling need to bring guns to school.

JONAS - good maintenance of confidence during cross-examination. Be careful to stay respectful (not saying "no deal"). System of numbering other team's pts was confusing - organize better if you want that strategy to have stronger impact. Could have brought up strong emotional pt of maintaining semblance of civility + order sooner.

KUNDU - good passion in arguments but slower pace in opening would be helpful. Recommend strengthening pts on underlying fact that schools are different from real world, that that's a good thing, and that more rules/less privacy is a special exception for schools.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Edina CO	POINTS (20-30)
1	Jay Chochreck	26
2	Oscar O'Brien	28

CON		
Spkr	Lakeville NR	POINTS (20-30)
2	Sheridan Norcia	27
1	Maddie Rowe	26.5

Winner: Edina debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 Signature: [Signature] School: Eagan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1 - great first question in CX
 2 again, good first question in cross
 1 -> anonymous tips card should have come in rebuttal
 -> talk about James in Summary!!
 Use Zane and Harvard to eliminate their 'non-uniqueness' response to the rule arg

1 - rework C1
 - you need a link from RS to anonymous tips since you don't prove it's happening in the status quo
 - you also don't link into cyber bullying
 you don't really link into your death impacts
 2 -> questions in CX need to be more specific
 -> you need to know your own case better
 'lives over rights' is not really a good framework
 1 - need to interact w/ pro args in Summary (rebuttal responses)
 ground -> have questions prepped
 CX

RFD The evidence used by the pro side is what wins this debate. The con side has a hard time proving any kind of lives impact especially when the anonymous tips arg gets delinked by the weiland ex. The pro wins

use your prep

on racism because they mitigate the problem despite not having great impacts. The con does the better debating, but they

CON (42 SEC)

HINTZ SAUERBERMAN, MATT 970

Room: 223
Start: 10:00 AM
Junior Varsity Public Forum

2

Greenfield, Tim

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Eagan PS	POINTS (20-30)
	Ayush Patel	27
	Jason Scheller	29

CON		
Spkr	Eastview AP	POINTS (20-30)
	Daniel Agbator	27
	Daniel Portnov	28

Winner: EAGAN School/Team debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: EDINA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

PRO:
 STRONG QUESTIONING IN CROSSFIRE.
 EMPHASIS ON TRUST -> CREATE A HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT -> LEARNING.
 "ANTI-SNITCH CULTURE" -> UNDERMINES ARGUMENT THAT PROBABLE CAUSE STOPS ANON. TIPS.
 -> ANON TIP CONSTITUTES EXIGENT CIRCUMS?
 - RACIAL BIASES WILL GET MORE PRONOUNCED.

CON: - SAFETY THROUGH DETERRRANCE - ANON. TIPS DISCOURAGED BY IMPLEMENTATION OF PROBABLE CAUSE PRACTICES.
 STRONG EXAMPLES, SEVERAL.
 REASONABLE SUSPICION STANDARD DISCOURAGES BAD BEHAVIOR / CONTRABAND.
 REASONABLE SUSPICION ENCOURAGES SAFETY - WHICH IS A NEC. PRECURSOR TO LEARNING.
 -> CITED SPECIFIC EXAMPLES (GAINES) MASLOW'S HIER.

FEAR TACTIC = AUTHORITY, WHICH IS NEEDED IN SCHOOLS

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO		
Spkr	Eastview NS	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Akshay Naik	27
1	Ari Schlegel	20

CON		
Spkr	Lakeville RK	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Brandon Rierson	27
1	Aashish Komaragiri	20

Winner: Lakeville RK debating on the neg Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 Signature: Ceil McDonald School: Eagan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

All right - missed opportunities on both sides
 Pro ① except for 1st construct you don't articulate your own arguments regarding importance of rights in creating a safe & trusting env. or the issue of racism in SQ until your final rebuttal. Instead you spent all your time on the neg. case.
 ② you should at least address whitewash, even though neg was just using it to claim w/o ev. that people want safety over rights, as if that is enough to prove anything. Think it to the better world you say you are creating w/ P.C.
 However PRO did an excellent job explaining why/how anonymous tips still used in P.C. world and showed a strong understanding of exigent circumstances that CON apparently did not have.
 CON ① didn't seem to comprehend how anonymous tips used in both worlds, and how even now often combined w/ investigation & actual ev.
 ② public vs private argument (thankfully became moot b/c not discussed in rest of rd. but claim made that private would be safer b/c of continued use of RS Pro totally unpacked by any evidence. Also ~~CON~~ needs to call on CON for assumption that fewer RS searches → rumor → dupe → again a claim w/o evidence. Missed opportunities by PRO.