

Room: N10  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Asif, Becky

Lakeville

## ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville North AM	POINTS (20-30)
Alexandra Meyer	29

NEG

Roseville Area YF	POINTS (20-30)
Yelyzaveta Fedorovska	28

Winner: Lakeville North AM  
Alexandra Meyer debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Asif, a/

Comments & Reason for Decision: This was a good Debate. I feel all contentions were brought forward until the Negative Rebuttal. During the Rebuttal Neg. dropped a few contentions. Aff then met all of the remaining contentions and during 2nd Aff. Rebuttal.

AFF

- During Cross ex remember to look at the judge.
- good speech volume + presentation
- good defense against the slavery idea.

Neg.

- good questions in cross X
- you can request a copy of the opponents flow, this will
- I enjoyed the unique perspective on the contentions.
- good speech volume + presentation
- good points about slavery not a true Dem and evidence that the US is not the #1 dem right now.

Room: 304  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Rosenthal,

Barry

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville AM	
Andrew Mills	29

NEG

	POINTS (20 - 30)
St. Louis Park EP	
Ellen Poulter	27

Winner: Lakeville AM debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: D Rosenthal

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*Aff well structured and well presented*

Room: 306  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Rierson, Kelly  
Lakeville North

**ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL**  
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)
St. Louis Park EO	
Ellie Okan	28

NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville AC	
Anna Carrow	29

Winner: Lakeville AC debating on the Neg. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Kelly Rierson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - Keep working on your confidence. Speak up a little more + be clear. Believe in what you are saying. You had good questions on cross, carry that confidence + ideas to your rebuttal.

Neg. - Nice job being clear and carrying your ideas through.

RFD: Neg. was pretty much unchallenged in her arguments.

Thank you both for your good sportsmanship, very respectful.

Room: 316  
 Start: 10:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Roy, Chris  
 Lakeville North

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL  
 Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Eagan JB	POINTS (20-30)
Jacob Berran	28

NEG	
Edina MR	POINTS (20-30)
Matthew Ruppert	29

Winner: Edina School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

2 min  
 1:30 min

Signature: Chris Roy

2104  
 1156

Comments & Reason for Decision:

IAC - Nice volume and Clarity of Speech  
 - Nice point about the public votes on small # of laws directly but instead votes for someone to rep. them to vote

INC - Nice volume and Clarity of speech  
 - Nice point about mob rule and hard to keep a group under control - soccer game  
 - Little Rock/school board ex.

ZAR - Consider using prep time after cross exam.  
 - The point of CD can be violent if the law concerns viol. + murder you clarified nicely  
 - Consider using 3 contentions # that way vs. A, B, C makes it easier for judge somewhat

ZM - Brought up good examples of CD harming majority - Blocking roads, Breaking windows

ZAR - try being a bit more clear in defending arguments - the standard  
 - Good response to the breaking windows

(Bullet on AFF side) Argument about the people trying to control a mob start viol. is ~~not~~ less strong than the negs

RFD I think the Negs had slightly stronger points that weren't negated as strongly by the aff. It was a good debate. Nice work!

Room: Literacy Center (2nd floor)  
 Start: 10:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Applebaum,  
 Dotan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL  
 Oct 1 - 1, 2016

St. Louis Park

AFF	
Edina KS	POINTS (20 - 30)
Kamaljot Singh	28

NEG	
Lakeville LS	POINTS (20 - 30)
Leo Shen	27.5

Winner: Edina KS debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- need more in the FW
- slow down a bit
- don't say that absolute moral rules are bad
- You defend one, he doesn't.
- good coverage in 1AR
- Use a timer!!!

both

- criterion = standard
- careful not to make new args, in 2nd rebuttal

Neg

- good line of questioning
- Ask if everyone is ready
- don't reexplain your case at end of NC
- have to respond to FW
- good coverage
- don't say everyone is equal now
- Only used half of NR
- Give voters

RFD | Aff wins FW debate. Clear extensions give aff offense under util.

Room: 305  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Kuriscak, Ron

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016


AFF

	POINTS (20 - 30)
Eagan MB	
Melissa Brotzman	29

NEG

	POINTS (20 - 30)
St. Louis Park KI	
Kayla Ignatowicz	29

Winner: Eagan School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Arg. Structure / Framework good on both sides; very close Debate. Accountability; structured very well.

Room: 319  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Tullis, Kevin  
Lakeville

**ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL**  
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation SB	
Sophia Benz-Narveson	28

NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
St. Croix Prep JA	
Jenna Anderson	29

Winner: St. Thomas Visitation School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Y

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- Well thoughtout opening case
- Good speaker, practice & confidence
- Did not address Neg contentions
- Nice job extending own C2 & C3
- Try to call out voters

NEG

- Strong speaker, confident
- Nice opening case
- Did not address any of AFF contentions, only value of criterion
- Nice use of voters

RFD:

Both sides did not do a great job of addressing the others contentions. AFF made a stronger point on other issues

Room: 311  
 Start: 10:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Cornell, Molly  
 Doneen, Dean

Roseville Area  
 Rosemount

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North JM	
Julia Monroe	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville KV	
Katarina Vandebos	28

Winner: Lakeville North JM debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff + 2 min prep used  
 + 2 min prep.

Public nonviolent act to ensue change.

- very easy to understand your voice
- nice flow of beginning argument
- right to rebel = opens avenue for fighting for human rights.
- good eyecontact
- examples are well covered through history and applicable.
- well constructed
- in your answer to cross-x, it seemed like you just said the same thing again in your argument. Is there anyway you could reward to further push your points?
- good composure during your cross-x
  - make sure to clearly state your intention with a cross-x question.
- majority in government → minority - be careful with your wording.
- age was a good point.
- cold war - good use of gov. vs. will of people.
- good and clarification of civil disobedience in response to gov.

Neg + 2 min prep used  
 + 2 min prep

- in your cross-x, try to be more confident in your questions.

~~negativity construct~~

- morality is used within the constructs of law. not descriptive but a guide to action.

- good eyecontact.

~~praise and balance~~

- if you need to slow down, don't be afraid to if you need to take control of your voice/breath/words

- well constructed argument

- good quotes & explanation of quote.

- nice way to address aff's points.

- checks and balances → good point be careful though with constructing arg. on people

- praise calling out her examples ~~was~~ was well done. good search for holes in argument

- state idea ~~weakened~~ weakened your argument - make sure to fully address why it is not applicable in this situation.

- rebuttal minority arg was good (careful with time)

- be careful with check/balances rebuttal - there is a cliff between the gov. and people in the case of civil disobedience.

- well constructed argument in neg rebuttal

- did you define eligible mem. Page 6 of 21

- stake in opening

Overall, great job  
 in the response.



Room: N15  
 Start: 10:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Shmikler,

Richard

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina EM	
Ellen Mi	28.5

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville AJ	
Alex Janetzke	28

Winner: Edina EM debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?           

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Both good cxs
- More use of framework/criterions!

www.Flowprep.org  
 - need help/coaching

RFD - wins AC - very little interaction - only comparison

**Aff**

- great in-round presence!
- Contentment I is great
- need more coverage of NC
- No new JAR args!!

**Neg**

- extensives require you explain arguments/warrants again
- good focus on FW
- need more coverage of AC

Room: 320  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Hagen, Becky

Roseville Area

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Rosemount KB	POINTS (20 - 30)
Kaitlyn Bruehling	28

NEG	
Edina YM	POINTS (20 - 30)
Yash Mangalick	29

Winner: Yash Mangalick debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: A. Bellis

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: Be sure to take deep breaths during your first speech - Also consider shortening & paraphrasing the MLK Jr. section. Be sure to use prep time to organize your thoughts to avoid saying "I'm so confused." Just take deep breaths you're doing well.

Neg: Be careful not to introduce ~~new~~ new information past the 1st speech.

The better debating was done by the Neg because his case took CD & turned it on its head when discussing the dangers of minorities like the woman from Kentucky who wouldn't grant licenses. He gave a new perspective that the Aff was unable to argue against.

Room: 314  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Carow, Jill  
Lakeville North

**ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL**  
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
	POINTS (20 - 30)
Edina LJ	
Lily Jones	29

NEG	
	POINTS (20 - 30)
Lakeville SA	
Sara Asif	28

Winner: Lily Jones debating on the AFF Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Jill Carow

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both displayed good speaker voice. Neg brought up 1 contention and did not address some contentions on AFF side. AFF was able to more clearly state contentions and address points brought up by NEG.

Room: N13  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Hasan, Aleezeh

Rosemount

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Eagan AA	
Aadarsh Akula	26

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area DT	
Daley Tubbs	27

Winner: Daley Tubbs debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Aleezeh

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- Be confident, don't worry about little stumbles
- Stand for CX
- saying that the government decides what is moral contradicts CD
- do a road map before you start
- don't say "you" while speaking it talk to the judge
- Use your time! there is plenty to cover

Neg

- try to stand still while reading the constructive & take occasional pauses
- some of your rebuttals were unorganized
- Use all of your time, you left a lot of the Aff case untouched
- try to talk more about framework
- respond to his case in first speech (it's unfair to not give Aff enough time)
- try to explain where you are on the flow

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
St Thomas Academy/Visitation HL	POINTS (20-30)
Harrison Lardy	27

NEG	
Lakeville CO	POINTS (20-30)
Carly O'Neill	28

Winner: Lakeville CO School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Connie Chao

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff:

→ I checked w/ Tab + Aff. debater and both said round could go on

- I know you couldn't find your coach + didn't have your case — I also appreciate that you want to debate this round for experience — but I can't take your args seriously w/out evidence
- I would recommend that you have your cases with you or on your computer so you aren't dependent upon your coach to have them
- I also recommend that you time your own speeches + prep
- Be confident when you speak in rebuttals + CX! Don't let your opponent stomp all over you. Stand your ground and be assertive — even if you think you're wrong!
- Signpost! let me know what arg. you are addressing
- Also — extend arguments! + IMPACT

Neg:

- First thing you should've said is opp. had no ev.
  - In the IWR, you shouldn't respond to CX questions directly ~~it isn't necessary~~ in your speech — it isn't necessary but you can intertwine CX answers into your speeches to undermine your opponent's case
  - Good job signposting on contention level + giving me a roadmap at the beginning of your speeches
  - Same thing as above, but when you read cards make sure they apply somewhere, not just on "somewhere" on the flow
  - ~~you~~ <sup>BOTH (aff + neg)</sup> never addressed the FW debate + that makes it hard for me to evaluate who wins the debate.
- FW is the mechanism of how I weigh the round

RFD: Even though I didn't a FW to vote on, I vote Neg because she was the only person who had evidence. Also, Neg made a clean extension out of her Contention 2 and it was never refuted by the Aff. Overall, both need to work on signposting and addressing arguments so ~~the~~ the round isn't as messy. Remember to debate the FW!

Room: 309  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Monson, Tom

John Marshall

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

4:00  
1:12

4:00  
1:47 used  
2:13

AFF	
Edina AV	POINTS (20-30)
Ananth Veluvali	29

NEG	
Rosemount AT	POINTS (20-30)
AJ Tabura	28

Winner: Edina AV debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF - good organization  
 • good hitting on 1 NEG example as probability weakness  
 • good pre-emptive hits in Constructive  
 • NEG cont. 2, B, gets dropped and Final rebuttal drops Neg 2, 3(B), and AFF 2, 3, 4 (impacts)  
 ↳ in final rebuttal group  
 NEG arguments more clearly to take out an link story instead of repeating 1 example issue so many times.

NEG - good organization  
 • good work on NEG cont. 1 rebuttal  
 • good coverage on AFF construct in NEG constructive.  
 • Need more cards, data on anarchy point  
 • give clear impact story on anarchy  
 • try to get more offense on AC with argument hits.

RFD: AFF establishes stronger narrative on probability of hitting criteria and impacts. NEG establishes w/ one example of anarchy, but need to establish stronger probability w/ evidence and impacts of anarchy. AFF has clearer impact story and probability links, more offense on NC than NEG has on AC. Defense largely wash.

Room: 301 <sup>10/15</sup>  
 Start: 10:00 AM  
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Phernetton,

Lynne

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Roseville Area JV	POINTS (20-30)
Julien Valentine	28

NEG	
Lakeville NB	POINTS (20-30)
Nina Baker	29

Winner: Lakeville School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Lynette Phernetton

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1 Aff con. V: morality  
 morality  
 St'd: right protection  
 Cont'n 1. Fund right to rebel - cite  
 2. Ch vs. govt. → show flaws - cite  
 3. Successful + useful chgs - cite  
 Resolution is comparative. (Neg's @)  
 2 mins prep

1 Neg. Con. V: Morality  
 Or: adhering to contractarianism left  
 Democracy defn. - for & by the people  
 Morality - guide to action  
 Cont: 1. oblig to comply due to benef. rec'd. - cites  
 2. Civ. dis worsens democ. - sm minority vs lg. majority  
 3. -  
 Refute cont'n 3, 1, 2  
 - good citations  
 - foundation of law  
 2:14 prep

1 Aff Reb.  
 Error in justice system: public, govt.  
 Significant change good for democracy  
 Refute Neg  
 - flaws in govt (majority)  
 - non violent  
 - take away free speech right.

Neg Reb.  
 Violent - potential is there  
 Let us - contractarianism embraces free speech  
 Freedoms: speech, petition, vote  
 Dropped all 3 contentions, then did refer generally to 1.

2 Aff Reb.  
 Refute - Democracy s/b kept in best state.  
 Is the best state such that people can't effect chgs thru civ. dis.  
 Power of persuasion  
 Challenged Neg's con'tn #2  
 2 mins prep

Equality vs. Equity?  
 Define moral - standard?

Very articulate, good eye contact + speaking style. Could benefit from more preparedness + awareness of speaking times etc. (logistics).

Demonstrated solid structure + framework. Also very articulate, good eye contact, preparation showed! Your attention to detail served you well.

Room: 307  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Gilbert, Trent

West Des Moines Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Edina EQ	
Ethan Quick	27

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville LC	
Lily Conniff	28

Winner: Lakeville debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Trent Gilbert

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- Your AC had 1:40 left, this could be fixed by adding more justifications for util (which your case is lacking)

- try to make more arguments in the 1AR -> if all else fails, just talk a lot about your case

This round was unnecessarily undeveloped. Both sides need to be using the majority of rebuttal time

NEG

- you ~~2:00~~ isn't solely for responding to your opponents arguments, you need to extend your case

RFD

I negate, I think both sides are missing easy ways to win this round because they each only use 1:30 (maybe) during rebuttals, but essentially ~~the~~ left with util as the aff is the only that mentions framework after the RO, but the neg is the only one with offense



ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville North GR	POINTS (20 - 30)
Gianna Roy	26

NEG

St. Croix Prep JW	POINTS (20 - 30)
Josh Warmka	28

Winner: St. Croix JW debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD

All did not extend winning points, Neg wins on point that CP encourages anarchy and therefore violence

Aff

- there is almost never a reason to use less than all of your speaking time when there are points of the flow you haven't addressed
- say "my opponent," not you
- extend contentions/arguments

Neg

- conceding to all your opponents' contentions is very risky, I wouldn't do this often
- when in CX or during speech analysis, use your own words, rather than long blocks of text from your NC. Summarize

Room: 313  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Widmer, Rita

Edina

# ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St. Louis Park BD	POINTS (20 - 30)
Ben Dodge	—

NEG

Lakeville North KR	POINTS (20 - 30)
Kevin Rambarrack	

Winner: Lakeville North KR debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Rita Widmer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

Pros

Cons

Forfeit

Neg

Pros

Cons

1. Definitions - Very clear and used well.
2. Affirmative Forfeited
3. Statements were ~~are~~ made without bias or opinion.

1. Barely looked up and came off unconfident.
2. Definitions were good, but relied on. Build on them.

Room: N11  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Nachreiner,

Mark

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

St Thomas Academy/Visitation RK	POINTS (20 - 30)
Riley Kobilka	

NEG

Lakeville North ST	POINTS (20 - 30)
Summer Tran	

Winner: Lakeville debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Mark Nachreiner

Comments & Reason for Decision:

St. Thomas was a No show.

Room: 229  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Ahlstrom, Mark

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

## ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area PS	
Pambayun Savira	27

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North DR	
Daniel Ren	29

Winner: Lakeville North debating on the Neg Side (Aff. or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Mark Ahlstrom

Comments & Reason for Decision:

good job reading case. pretty clear. good enunciation

- good formisto

stand for cx.

- he wont admit civil disobedience is the best way disagree

- signpost. I'm not sure where you are

- "I'm done," or "I rest my case" are not the way to end IAR. "Please affirm" or something

- New in the 2

- Much better signposting in the 2

↔ look @ each other in cx

- Yikes! give me a road map or at least ask if I'm ready before starting the NC

- People weren't protesting to have the Vietnam war, so the point on Vietnam killing lots is bad for you

- NR wanders a bit. signpost better

Neg does a better job of letting me know where he is on the flow. This better coverage leads to a much clearer ballot story

Room: N30  
Start: 10:00 AM  
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

2

Beth Farren  
Jorgenson,  
Stephanie

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
Edina JU	
Jake Uribe	26.5

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20 - 30)
John Marshall FS	
Faduma Sharif	27

Winner: Faduma Sharif debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no  
 School/Team: John Marshall  
 Signature: Beth Farren

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF.

Neg.

- crinkles paper a lot during round - probably nervous but it was very distracting for myself and most likely your opponent.

- make sure to use timer
- bring water in round coughed a lot

- extended things across the flow that she had addressed.

- good eye contact
- I would suggest using a paper copy of your case. you lost your place several times and wasted your speaking time.

- please know your times for each section + remember to start your timer

- make use of all time

- bring water bottles in round - makes voice more clear.

{RFD} I negate because she made the better argument. She has blocks against most of his arguments and she addressed contentions that he had tried to extend across the flow.

Both super good. very close & round.