

Room: 110
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Opatz, Zach
Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
West Des Moines Valley MS	(20-30)	Apple Valley JB	(20-30)
Manasi Singh	28	John Boals	29

Winner: Apple Valley debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Zachary Opatz

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~AFF~~ NEG's case was stronger than AFF

NEG	AFF
<p>Good job coming prepared after mixup</p> <p>A+ points you seemed to not take your opponent seriously. Mainly cross x where you had a small laugh and didn't really answer questions</p> <p>Strong evidence</p>	<p>Thanks for your patience waiting</p> <p>Strong evidence</p>

Room: 003
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2


Reikowski,

Megan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
West Des Moines Valley AJ		Edina SC	
Animesh Joshi 1:21	24	Sandra Chen 2:49	27
Winner: <u>WDM Valley AJ</u>		debating on the <u>Aff</u>	Low point win? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
School/Team		Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature: 			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

The habit you have of cutting off your opponent in CX and restating her answer in a way that shows you weren't listening at all is a major issue for me.

The assertion that death is preferable to oppression bc you can't be oppressed when you're dead presumes a lot...

RFD

Aff extends negative ongoing impacts out of the IIC through to the JAR and impacts back to the standard, so I affirm.

LPW - Aff was rude to opponent in CX

NEG

I am super underwhelmed by the story you're telling on the framework. You could be drawing huge oppression impacts out of the water shortage stuff, and I don't buy death = oppression.

Your signposting going from the NC to the AC was really confusing.

Room: 001
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Geertsema,

Dennis

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina JR		Apple Valley SA	
Jonah Rosenthal	<u>28</u>	Serena Abraham	<u>27</u>

Winner: Edina - IR School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF * Good Job with a loaded AFF CASE, you had more evidence I had to drop at the end of speech because of time.

* On a structure case alone, the case was left open and you did good job on pushing your evidence

* Do watch your time so to wrap up your pitch with punctuation!

* You stuck to resolution and that is what weighed more on my RFD vs going to a theory from NEG. That almost took the whole debate to another planet

NEG

* I think your NE was way too short, you had little evidence going in, seemed to bring theories and possibilities and not enough substance.

* Touched on the AC good but I couldn't see how or heard a good argument or solvency or almost anything.

* As well as time. wrap up with confidence before time is up vs just "well OK"

* I don't judge too much on cross-X but I would stay with questions to the arguments vs hypothetical questions.

Summary: My RFD was for a SPAN case to be for the AFF on good use of time attacking what the NEG had brought to the round, and what impacts + warrants the AFF had. Both debaters were not restricting the round, felt lost at times.

THANK you + Good luck !!

Room: 117
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Bernard,

Debbie

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina OJ		St Thomas Academy/Visitation NW	
Om Jahagirdar	<u>29</u>	Nick Weir	<u>28</u>

Winner: Edina OJ School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: N. Bernard

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF made more convincing arguments. There were some good points and rebuttal back and forth, but overall the AFF case was more convincing.

AFF - Good flow & sign posts to help me follow.
Arguments - clear, seemed to do better job of tying back to your case. Flowed well.

NEG -
A little harder to follow your first rebuttal. seemed like more time spent on NC and not all INR points clear. Some statements seem unsubstantiated - "cool accidents almost every day" - missed source if there was one.
Felt there were some good points but less clear how they related to the resolution / your opponents case.

Room: 002
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Gallagher, Don

Lakeville

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Apple Valley KA	28.5	West Des Moines Valley WG	28
Kenan Anderson		Will Golay	

Winner: Apple Valley KA School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: [Signature]

Pronouns: West Des Moines Valley WG's Golay pronouns: He/His/Him

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - very clear presentation. Long lead-in, empathis on Decision making. Well positioned to argue Big Picture.
Neg - Fast talker out of box. Took me a few sentences to catch up + listen well. Some what ABSTRACT.
Aff. as we move thru debate, excitement creep into his voice + he became harder to understand, diction went down.

3 points to Aff:

1. role of govt in making Decisions. Neg's self-ownership argument was interesting But needed more support, to overcome. Aff's well planned Discussion on action/omission.
2. Role of Politics + lobbying - This went unchallenged
3. Decommissioned Nuke Plants. This was a Big Part of Neg's argument - however aff carried by pointing out that all nuke plants will eventually be decommissioned

Excellent Debate. Thoughtful

Room: 109
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Greenfield, Tim
Hintz, Matt
Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF		POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Rosemount JS		(20 - 30)	West Des Moines Valley GJ	(20 - 30)
Jason Senthil		<u>26.5</u>	Gus Johnson	<u>26</u>

Winner: Rosemount JS debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

IAC: IF risk management is reason to say it hurts minorities - why an outright ban?
 CX: Not sure about ~~con~~ ^{con} reasoning - telegraphed & obvious.
 - Don't ask too many clarification questions.

INC: I like the 2nd half of the NC.
 The NR isn't directly applied to any specific content.] - very disorganized rebuttal.
 - The generic terms are fine.

IAR: More on from the Co-opt argument.
 - Too much like Spert,
 - These extensions are going through.
 - There's only one sentence directed against the whole "elites" comment.

2N: Focus less on LBL and explain a holistic story.

RFD: This standards debate is very difficult to resolve. I think it's a waste - and logically - probably the same goal. How does the defensive extension come out of the NC since it's a same or issue.
 I also don't think all offense is contingent on risk.
 I think the best today like the clearest offense part likes to look standards.

Room: 116
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Smith, Nick
St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF		POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Apple Valley CR		(20-30)	West Des Moines Valley CT	(20-30)
Cori Roberts		<u>28</u>	Conal Thomas-McGinnis	<u>29</u>

Winner: WDMV CT School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neg - calc indicates waste of time when aff conditions FW based on expected rather than actual util
- Aff - more specific & efficient sign post
- Aff - more time on NC in IAR
- Neg - ~~explain why~~ Explain why legit stat means no object stat.
- Aff - why not go for multiaxns + weight

RFD:

Neg wins FW

- 1) (6) less only way to constriction
- 2) Harris wrong answer to call

Neg wins offense to innake sentimental
Sustain vermale only to 2nd point

Room: 006
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Higgin-Houser,

Dori

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Edina PS

Prasoon Sinha

POINTS
(20 - 30)

27

NEG

West Des Moines Valley AZ

Annie Zhang

POINTS
(20 - 30)

25

Winner: Prasoon debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Dori Higgin-Houser

51

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Prasoon

Annie

Argument well-organized, clear, and believable. You used prior to judge words of individual points effectively.

Your valid arguments were less effective because of increasingly faster & faster rate of speech - I missed a lot of your contentions.

"We had slavery when our government was not good" - This statement effected your credibility. Somewhat - too black & white, also inaccurate.

Level of unpreparedness not having your contacts.

Calling opponent's argument 'just bad' not valid.

Your self-ownership contention, although I could hardly understand you due to talking way too fast, was unnecessary & repetitive, as were some contentions.

I know anxiety needs to be managed during presentation - suggest your find a method that is less visible. The pen twirling on both sides appeared novice-like.

Suggestions to improve:

Suggestion to improve:

- Keeping papers away from your mouth & keeping full face visible to judge is important

- Some of your reinforcement is weak - your voice fades regularly

- Your repetitive hand motions - distracting - suggest you reduce it so the movements are more meaningful.

Pen-twirling should be avoided

- Not having your contacts did seem to impair you - being unable to see

- your rate of speed began quickly increased to the point of being incomprehensible

Room: 004
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2


Brundage, Rick

Apple Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Edina AM	(20-30)	Lakeville NG	(20-30)
Anand Mittal	28.5	Noah Gallagher	28.5

Winner: LAKEVILLE debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
 School/Team
 Signature: 
 Pronouns: Lakeville NG's Gallagher pronouns: He/Him/His

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AC: THE IMPACTS TO THE STANDARD ARE REALLY UNCLEAR AND THE EVIDENCE LINKING TAKES OUT A LOT OF THE WARRANTS IN THE CARD.
 NR SIGNPOSTING & GROUPING WAS SLOPPY. SO FAR ONLY THE WILDFIRE SEEM TO IMPLICATE AND AESTHETICALLY
 FAR IMPACT STORY IS CONFUSING — YOU ARE WINNING SOME ARGS, BUT THEY DONT OBVIOUSLY LINK TO A LAND AFFECT
 2N ~~EXPL~~ EXPLANATIONS ARE WAY MORE CLEAR THAN TELE IN.

Room: 111
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Pierce-
Ramsdell, Hay-
ley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

Edina

AFF

Lakeville KD

Kelby Drogemuller

POINTS
(20 - 30)

29

NEG

Apple Valley TE

Trinity Ek

POINTS
(20 - 30)

28.5

Winner: Lakeville KD debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Hayley

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kelby: - Try to use all of CX!
- I felt like the IAR ~~was~~ did a decent job of giving yourself a good amount to go off of in the 2AR.
- I would've liked to see you handle the CP a lot better. She is competing on Net Bens - focus on how she isn't competitive!!
- On the DA - I like the card you read about how NP = Coal on CO₂ levels... this is really effective. Make sure you IMPACT it!
- When going through AC extensions - Remember, you don't have to win everything. I would much prefer you to extend and cleanly impact the C2/C3 than move through it.
- I would like more impact calc especially on the waste impacts.
CLEAR VOTERS!

Trinity: - Reading a FW that is essentially the same as hers is a waste of time... you have the same value and are both looking at conseq...
- The DA needs some work on showing exactly how she makes it worse - it is lacking the LINK
- Make sure that you know exactly how the recycling/waste works...
- The INR was really disjointed. Work on having clearer time allocation and more SPECIFIC responses. You just cross-apped the DA... that gives her way too much leeway.
- Need some clearer extensions. You jump around a lot, but I have no idea what you are extending. * Need clearer voters.
- WEIGHING!!! When you compet on Net Bens I need more weighing.

RFD: This was an extremely close round. Good work to both debaters - really nice job to both of you. 😊

I end ~~up~~ up Affirming. The Silverpool card was huge for the Aff coming out of the IAR - the negative severely mishandles the impacts/warrants coming out of it. That combined with the Aff's specific links to ↓ biodiversity are what I end up voting on.

Really Nice Work!!!!

Room: 005
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

You, Josh
Apple Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville EH		Edina AS	
Elliot Harvanko	<u>28.5</u>	Aiden Swan	<u>28.5</u>

Winner: Edina debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: Josh

Comments & Reason for Decision:

you really think you're going to hit theory?

That employment turn is great so the way you deal with heavy extinction-first weighing is to claim that all your impacts are also existential risks. Those args would necessarily be good but your opposing extinction links would be great either.

lots of unemployed scientists (maybe) worse for profit than non scientists.

Room: 007
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Talka Alesan
~~Baumann, Erica~~
Rosemount

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Edina MK	30	St Thomas Academy/Visitation LW	29.5
Mark Kivimaki		Lauren Wohlberg	

Winner: Edina MK debating on the aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Talka Alesan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

My strategy is confusing, if you concede your framework works under what then why have a competing framework? all of the the dashes with the aff advantage 2.
aff strong 2A, proper extensions and solid signposting throughout the speech
OKay, so this framework debate is sort of a wash for me, oral RFD some sunny late

146

Room: 107
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

Hoverson, Doug

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

47

AFF

West Des Moines Valley JM

James Meng

POINTS

(20-30)

27.5

NEG

Lakeville AL

Alec Loftus

POINTS

(20-30)

26.5

Winner: WDM JM debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Is it really worth 2 cards on native smoking
Wouldn't solar be better along the equator.

So in NR we kind of lose the weighing of extinction, it's just as the pre-requisite arg. I'm not a big fan of the oppression arg, but it does explain more about moral choice. Since the ev. about emissions is kind of a draw, I'm not sure about climate impacts - so I revert to oppression which is not rebutted and goes NEG. So I vote NEG on a combination of the framework and more direct contention support.

Room: 113
Start: 10:00 AM
Varsity Lincoln-Douglas

2

McGinnis, David

West Des Moines Valley

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Apple Valley HD

Henry Dikeman

POINTS

(20-30)

27.5

NEG

Edina RM

Rahil Modi

POINTS

(20-30)

26.5

Winner: Dikeman / AV debating on the AC Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Martin is conceded - internal weighing (newer ev) is conceded. 2N should have done more to extend RBM evidence

So the 2AR meets its burden - 1AR + 2AR should extend arguments about gradual shift