

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina FD	POINTS (20-30)
2	Nicholas Fu	28
1	Michael Dalsin	30

CON

Spkr	Eagan HK	POINTS (20-30)
2	Andrea Hagape	29
1	Sanjana Krishnamurthy	27

Winner: Edina FD debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: John Marshall

Comments & Reason for Decision:

2 Nicholas - work on PMS/your observations as directions in CX
 more aggressive with arguments quickly, otherwise, great job

1 Michael - Good coverage and confidence
 take a little edge off voice might not hurt.
 sharp mind, good observations.

1 Andrea - talk to your case - written so you can defend
 when you're more confident, you make your points better;
keep at it!

2 Sanjana - Good card use on prep C2.
 Good confidence throughout

RFD

pro
 Cont 1: ***

Cont 1: Half-standing: pro never touched
discussion
~~Cont 2~~ Cont 2: Coarcted by Supreme Court

Cont 3: Largely dropped; no significance assigned

Cont 2: Coarcted by card
 → IT IS acceptable to LIMIT rights.

Pro did a better job of carrying throughout the arguments they won and adding weight to them; neither of con's remaining pro advantages felt weighty by the end.

Room: 329
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Public Forum

1

Piotrowski,

Bryce

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eastview HO	POINTS (20-30)
3	Jordan Homstad	28.5
1	Bitsy Oberle	29

CON

Spkr	Bloomington Ph	POINTS (20-30)
4	Charles Maki	27
2	Vivian Pham	27

Winner: Eastview HO debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Lakeville

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Bitsy: - great job reading case! You're a great speaker
face the judge during crossfire
- good presentation in summary as well, good
job extending your points

Vivian: slow down for case: you were a bit
hard to follow
- better presentation in summary!

Jordan: good speaking style, presentation was nice
- take that prep to fill up your entire FF!
otherwise, good speech.
no new evidence in FF

Charles: good organization in rebuttal, find some
evidence to backup your statements
- be confident in your presentation!

Oral PFD given.

1 vote Pro.

Topicality of metal detectors is never proven by neg,
and Pro does a good job of saying that the world
doesn't need PC ~~to~~ to use metal detectors.

There's at least some offense for Pro off at
solving racism + mitigating some bias. Neg
has no other offense in the round, so I
vote pro.

Keep it up!

Room: N21
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Public Forum

1

Crawshaw,

Gene
ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Nova Classical

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina PS	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Julia Pan	27
2	Laryn Scribner	27

CON

Spkr	Lourdes BB	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Charlie Beggust Rivel Veldich	29
1	Sarah Bower	27

Winner: Lourdes BB debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Nova

Comments & Reason for Decision:

IRA - The status quo, RS standard seems to be working. Changing to a PC standard would turn school administrators into police officers. ~~It would not solve more than~~ ~~the~~ ~~Con's~~ argument that catching malfeasors is not a bad thing and that racial bias will exist whether or not the standard is PC or RS.

All students were professional and polite.
Con 2 did a good job in crossfire

Pro's argument about racial bias seemed to go a.g. them.

Con 2's argument about the cost of SRO's was persuasive

Everyone: State this issue/problem before you argue!

Tabroom.com, a service of National Speech & Debate Association: <http://www.speechanddebate.org>

Page 6 of 14

Don't just repeat the resolution

Avoid jargon like "SRO"

Room: 317
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Public Forum

1

Pendergast,

Devon

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Apple Valley

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Blake RV	POINTS (20 - 30)
3	Sophia Rohlfen	26
1	Joshua Vorbrich	25

CON

Spkr	Edina MZ	POINTS (20 - 30)
4	Arjun Maheshwari	28
2	Ryan Zhu	27

Winner: Edina MZ debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Apple Valley

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Please bring hard copies of your cases to each and every round

Joshua: Cut out the last paragraph of your case and you could make time without rushing through your constructive. You have really good evidence, but you need to renew it and know it better especially if its in your case. Your summary needs to be "larger picture" as well. You never told me reasons I should vote Affirmative.

Sophia: Very strong speaker, keep that intensity up! You need to signpost in your rebuttal. I had to constantly search on my flow for where you were in your response. Good questions, but are "dead time" to explain your evidence/arg. in your own words.

Ryan: Smooth speaker. Try to go big picture in your impacts. Good job with telling me how you won. Never just let the other team have the first question if they don't seem confident.

Arjun: you were intense in crossfire, but nailed the final focus. You told me why you won.

[RPD]

Tips, SRO's ~~was~~ both slowed Neg. Cellphones were outweighed by security. My wins 2-1 impacts.

Room: Main Hall Conference
 Start: 8:45 AM
 Novice Public Forum

1

1:17
 15

Appelget,

Steven
 ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Bloomington

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Nova Classical AB	POINTS (20-30)
1	Mya Aryal	26
2	Dania Barrera	27

CON

Spkr	Edina Sa	POINTS (20-30)
2	Zach Paradis	29
1	Michael Santoni	28

Winner: Edina Sa School/Team _____ debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win?
 Signature: [Signature] School: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- P1 - Louder, please! - I'm not following the organization call phrases at all
- C1 - Nicely delivered
- P2 - Be really careful w/ word choice - try to avoid referring to people as "colored".
- C2 - Good organization & x-group of words in search request

RFD -

- ① I say that the use of the RS still rules the the PC side was ↓ violence
- ② I say that the actual laws to students in the SQ came from zero tolerance policies rather than the standard for searches
- ③ Because there was no counter, I have to say that PC still ruled → more cops in schools. ~~the counter~~ This turns case, I guess.

Room: Career Center (1st floor)
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Public Forum

1

Landseidel,

Derek

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Bloomington

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	John Marshall NF	POINTS (20 - 30)
✓	Lap Nguyen	25
✓	Daniel Fleury	26

CON

Spkr	Cannon Falls JF	POINTS (20 - 30)
✓	Laura Johnson	27
✓	Bryson Felton	27

Winner: Cannon Falls debating on the Con Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Bloomington

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides contested their opponents arguments well. I thought the ~~Pro~~^{Con} did a good job establishing that bias exists in PC just as it does in RS. The Pro mentioned that students are criminalized and defended well that criminalization is a phenomenon. The con's example of anon. calls was fairly uncontested by the Pro, which I thought was necessary. ~~Con just needed to~~

Pro: you seemed passionate about the debate and it was fun to watch. I thought you could improve your efficiency, or use the time to attack all their arguments. Nice work in the crossexams!

Con: You had a well developed case w/ substantial evidence. I thought that helped w/ establishing a strong case! Nice work!

Room: 324
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Public Forum

1

Flaig, Kat

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

27

Spkr	Nova Classical CG	POINTS (20-30)
	Ian Crawshaw	27
	Aseem Gidwani	27

CON

28

Spkr	Lakeville GG	POINTS (20-30)
	Justin Geertsema	28
	Gus Gerlach	28

Winner: Lakeville GG debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~28~~
- strong stats
- human element preferred

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Bloomington MM	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Katelyn Maguire	26
	Hodo Mohamed	27

CON

Spkr	Eagan PG	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Shailja Panchal	26
	Swlekha Garishakurthi	

NOT PRESENT

Winner: Bloomington MM debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Janice Hays School: Edina High School

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Katelyn: cites evidence, speaking a little too quickly; very well-written, however. Could benefit from looking up from notes now & then. Ran out of time on statements.

Shailja: Speaks quickly but very clearly. Backs up evidence with relevant evidence. Looks up from notes and acknowledges judges. Finished 30 seconds early on

CROSSFIRE: Katelyn asks if sources are relevant, Shailja assures evidence is valid. When Shailja asks Katelyn ~~more~~ questions, Katelyn seems calmer & more assured; she looks up and makes eye contact with judges and Shailja.

Hodo Rebuttal => continues Katelyn's speech b/c she didn't finish. Holds papers up to cover her face; could benefit from lowering papers and looking up to make eye contact. Speaks quickly but clearly.

Shailja Rebuttal: Strong body posture, makes eye contact. Speaks with conviction. Uses evidence to back up statement. Nevertheless, argument weakens when discusses racism, saying "that's just the world we live in." Seems like a dismissive statement. Also refers to "African" students; unsure if she meant that, as it was discussed in context of white students.

~~crossfire~~ crossfire: Shailja interrupts, Hodo initially lets her take over, but Hodo asserts herself.

PRO SUMMARY: Katelyn slows down, seems more relaxed; nevertheless, speaking could be a bit more eloquent, and a little less colloquial.

CON SUMMARY: Keeps referring to anonymous tips hotline. Addresses opponent pointing out that anonymous tips may not be solid evidence. Runs out of time.

OVER ->

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	John Marshall HM	POINTS (20-30)
1	Ali Hezeil	24
2	Keerthi Manikonda	29

CON

Spkr	Eagan BW	POINTS (20-30)
1	Dhruv Balasubramanian	20
2	Carter Weber	29

Winner: Egan debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: _____ School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ali: 1/15 - trimmed
 crossing: a little
 with filler evi for 2. your response is
 less valuable than alaw wof. freshest colmed
 1/20/16: track a tri with a...
 you have a battle to refute
 there points. spent time doing
 that not building your own
 case.
 2:23 7 7 to lead more things
 to say in the rebuttal

Carter: your first
 rebuttal was a bit of
 shit B.

Dhruv: telling them
 you're out of the flow during
 rebuttal.

2:27 7 you have more time
 so you should talk!!
 point let's make the first position

RFD: He won because he was able to show how
 of these points is more valuable. ~~These~~
 were mostly all from anonymous tips, they were
 able to show how it got to school shooting as a site,
 even though there was a case of a sniper who was
 stopping an HP's shot. Sniper is bigger, the way! But there was
 a wish of Neg. A Law professor writing the scholarly
 journal is left on the table. Be as much as possible
 argument in this case about rights in tailoring school grounds,
 also if a reasonable suspicion is the status quo there is lowly building it up.

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina JW	POINTS (20-30)
	Evan Jiang	30
	Isaac Wu	30

CON

Spkr	Blake BH	POINTS (20-30)
	Daniel Barra	28
	Rishab Halder	28

Winner: Edina debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? 60

Signature: Teresa Felmlee School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: The Pro had evidence supporting condensation / Con side struggled w/ supporting evidence

Speaker 1

- Description (RS is not sufficient)
 - ✓ has evidence 2008-2010
- ↑ in delinquent behavior; ↑ drop out, ↓ employment
- neg results from R.S.; too low of a std for
 - searching -
 - ✓ has evidence (2015)
 - leads to distrust
 - ✓ evidence (2015)

Speaker 2

- Criminalization
- P.C. leads to ↑ criminalization
 - ↑ in suspension/expulsion
- ↑ police presence; warrant must be served by police
 - * ↑ police = ↑ criminal evidence
 - * lack of proper training
 - ✓ evidence 2014

X fire 1: speaker 2: Bias of judge?
1: ✓

Speaker 1: police discrim?

2: Can he be biased based on experience?

Speaker 2: Teachers violate based on R.S.?

1: Teacher can be bias

Speaker 1: As a teacher bias

Speaker 3

- Criminalization due to R.S. - NO evidence
- P.C. will not ↑ police due to cost
 - ✓ evidence
- Easy to train teachers for P.C.
 - ✓ evidence
- SRO are in schools that need them
 - ✓ 2013-14
- SRO ≠ ↑ arrest ✓ evidence (2013)
- SRO deter criminal acts (make safer school)

Speaker 4

- racism exists in courts
 - ✓ evidence
- RS ↑ prison for students is not true
 - ↑ prison due to other factors
 - ✓ evidence
- expense of SRO but Pro supports them
 - SRO can't trust; treat minor enforcement as criminal act
 - (time left)

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lourdes

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
2	John Marshall HR	27
1	Tara Hussey	27
	Janet Nguyen	27

CON

Spkr	School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
2	Edina AP	28
1	Camilla Atalla	28
	Marnie Pardo	28

Winner: Edina AP debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Elizabeth School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Janet Signpost your contentions in your constructive speech more clearly. It's hard to tell which evidence contentions your evidence is ~~meant~~ for. Remember, crossfire is meant to ask questions. Look at judge. Use all your time in summary.
Camilla Know what to do in summary.

Tara Great evidence in your rebuttal. Use more of your rebuttal! Good question on their anonymous tip, as that was a confusing point. Good signposting. Very organized & straight forward. Final focus.

Marnie Good questioning in crossfire. Study the concept of probable cause. Work on knowing what to do in your summary speech.

Tara Look at judge. Use more time in your rebuttal & end with "for these reasons we urge a ___ ballot." Good signposting. Read up on probable cause, 4th Am, & overall increase your knowledge of the topic.

RFD The reason Con won was because both their contentions made it through the flow without PRO adequately blocking. Their 2nd cont. (con) was left untouched & the pro's attempts to block their 1st with the anonymous tip as gossip was refuted by con. Con's use of Tinker & other times when other rights have been limited was also convincing in their rebuttal!

All judges have solid speaking skills! ☺

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Eagan RS	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Kira Rostance	27
	Jordan Sandvig	28

CON

Spkr	Nova Classical CM	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Martha Connaire	30
	Valerie Mao	28

Winner: Nova Classical debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
Signature: Mike Rebischke School: Lakeville

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ① Both sides need to work on not talking to each other
- ② Time management ~~and~~ didn't use all time
- ③ ~~Con side~~ Pro side needs to add more context to definitions

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Edina RR	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Luke Rubin	28
1	Aditya Rewalliwari	29

CON

Spkr	Bloomington MS	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Luke Modeo-Price	25
Darwin Scheferlane		

Winner: Edina RR debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no

Signature: Molly Cornell School: Rosemount

Comments & Reason for Decision:

pro
 - first priority is education
 - less searches → less reason for searches.
 - positive climate based on mutual respect
 overall good use of time!
 remember to pay attention to your opponent while he is speaking.
 minority students more targeted.
 other security measures can still not protect all aspects of violence

con
 - metal detectors → probable cause should not be a debate (non discriminatory check)
 - search/seize in more public places.
 - your arg flowed, but at times it was hard to follow
 - though cross-x, it felt like you were overall mostly unprepared for or supporting your argument
 - did not prepare for rebuttal speech, arguments not fully formed -
 work on delving into small holes in argument
 - even if you're supporting con, do try not to respond negatively to your arguments
 - make sure you have evidence to follow up your claims.

Nice job!
 bit make sure to be prepared to cross all aspects of argument
 - nice use of putting out dots to support your argument
 - good job putting out where he should have responded.
 - Luke "nice job following up on arguments - be confident in yourself! know what you're saying. believe in yourself and what you're saying."

More on your time to building your argument
 criticizing

Room: 225
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Public Forum

1

Connaire,

Amanda

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Nova Classical

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

PRO

Spkr	Lakeville NM	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Abigail Nachreiner	
	Ruby Martinez	

CON

Spkr	Edina KT	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Tenzin Kunga	
	Karma Tseringtso	

Winner: _____ debating on the _____ Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Amanda Connaire School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

No Show