

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North KR	
Kevin Rambarrack	24

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina JU	
Jake Uribe	27

Winner: Edina JU debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Joel Hagen

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - need to be louder and clearer in presentation of case. set up lots of framework and got cut short on 2nd contention. Answers to questions were rough, but the questions were also confusing.

Neg - Questions in CX were convoluted. Set up own case well w/ more focus on contentions than framework (only noting since different than Aff). Going over AC - Nazi: not democracy ✓, rights are peoples + moral ✓, not clear rebuttal to contentions of Aff.

Aff 1 - get some good examples + hypotheticals to use in rebuttals - the speed limit example not really civil disobedience under your definition. For example - Neg says majority rule, what if majority decides Beth needs to die for wearing red shoes - is that moral? should we "adhere to democratic rule" under such conditions? dropped everything.

Neg - Quick rebuttal - good on speed limit + ~~aff~~ extending all arguments

Care to Neg - Made sure all contentions + framework extended for round

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville CO	POINTS (20-30)
Carly O'Neill	29

NEG	
Edina AV	POINTS (20-30)
Ananth Veluvali	30

Winner: Lakeville School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? X

Signature: Chris Roy

* Both I really liked how you would ask if opp/judge was ready

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1AC - Good volume & clarity in speech
 - Consider a pause after/during the contention make it easier for judge to hear all of contention

1NC - Nice volume & clarity in speech
 - Your cont #1 is an interesting pt. → treason
 - Consider being a little more clear when you are countering the Aff's cont → state in your cont #1 this

2AR - Addressed most of opp. cont.
 - Strengthened most of your cont.

2N - Addressed ~~the~~ opp. cont
 - strengthened yours
 - I understand the anarchy point but CD is not commonly used so ~~what it is~~ is it really do we really have anarchy or is it limited time of anarchy
 - Carried the cont. thru very well

2AR - Carried the cont thru very well

RFD - This was a very good and argued their case very well. the debate to the Aff because the giving everyone a voice even to than the Neg's counter. It

debate. Both sides had strong points. It is very close however I'm giving the argument that it develops a democracy by unjust laws was just a bit stronger than the Neg's counter. It truly was a great debate. Nice work!

Room: 319
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Billings
Hagen, Becky
Roseville Area

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
St. Croix Prep JA	
Jenna Anderson	29

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation HL	
Harrison Lardy	27

Winner: Jenna Anderson debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: R Billings

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff: 1st speech - Time left over 1:30 - Feel free to slow down a bit to use your full amount of time -
 - Neg: you left 2:34 on the table after your first speech - Consider slowing down a bit to be able to use all of your time
- The better debating was done by the Aff.
Since this was the Neg's first time, he was unable to provide a sufficient argument in any way to defeat the case of the Aff.

Room: 316
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Rierson, Kelly
Lakeville North

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina MR	
Matthew Ruppert	28

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville AM	
Andrew Mills	28

Winner: Edina debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Kelly Rierson

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great debate by both sides. Aff wins based on ~~&~~ Neg did not cover the point of Education being a factor in democracy. Otherwise a very head to head debate. Would like to hear ("see") more evidence to back up claims on both sides. A clear source of facts would make either side more definitive.

Room: 226
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Applebaum,
Dotan

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville AJ	
Alex Janetzke	28.5

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation SB	
Sophia Benz-Narveson	27

Winner: Lakeville AJ debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- make sure to be clear, slow down if you need, even if you need to cut a part of the AC
- dont make args in CX, other than that, good CX
- make sure you split up prep time
- organize rebuttal (AC, then NC or vice versa)
- signpost
- Really good IAR
- need to make extensions
- Make sure not to lowkey justify Westborough Bolton Church
- Give voting issues

Neg

- Good Job finding circularity in his arg's in CX
- make sure you split up prep time
- no need for theory arg in NC
- dont attack his value if its the same as yours
- shorten the NC a lot, need at least 2 mins to respond to AC
- phrase arg's as arg's, not Q's. Address judge, not opponent
- use a timer
- Dont make new arg's in NR
- Make sure to give voting issues
- Need to make extensions

FW Debate was messy, leaned in favor of Aff. Only Aff has offense under rights protection.

Room: 314
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Shmikler,
Richard

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

St. Louis Park

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North DR	
Daniel Ren	26

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina LJ	
Lily Jones	28

Winner: Edina LJ debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Richard Shmikler

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Wins that it promotes mob rule
- blocking traffic bad
- both need to focus more on framework + extensions
- Aff - Stay on topic!
- Neg - Criterion!! - and careful not to be rash!

www.flowprep.org
- contact for per hour coaching

Room: 320
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Mohamed,

Aisha

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Rosemount

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Edina YM	
Yash Mangalick	28

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
St. Louis Park EO	
Ellie Okan	27

Winner: Edina YM debating on the Aff side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
 School/Team
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Don't extend without impacting

- Even if you can't follow your opponents case then clarify during cross-x so you can signpost.
- If an argument doesn't make sense then clarify and if it still doesn't make sense respond the best you can rather than ignoring it.
- Good cross-x.
- You seemed confused at parts of the debate but it was really great seeing that you didn't get plus tired!
- Same thing for you!

Neg

- Great first round!
- Use all of your cross-x time if you can.
- It's okay to be nervous! Use it to focus & I know this is easier said than done - but let it motivate you.
- Rebuttals are the best time to not only take down your opponents case but also strengthen yours.
- You can't ask questions during rebuttals or at least you shouldn't only ask questions.
- Great job extending your case!
- Use all of your speech time & your prep time.

RFD: The neg dropped ~~the case~~ almost the whole aff case & so the aff was the only one with any offense on a utilitarian std.

Good luck!

Room: N13
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Nachreiner,

Mark

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Lakeville

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area DT	
Daley Tubbs	27

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North JM	
Julia Monroe	27

Winner: Roseville debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Mark Nachreiner

Comments & Reason for Decision: Hed very good Argument when it came to the Voting and civil Rights movements that have worked

Good speaker be more confident
In your answers when in
cross-x
were asked

Came back with good
points with cross-x
and in Rebutal

Well spoken nice Return on
Cross-x.


When going through your speech you
got your majority - and minorities
mixed up may need to slow it just a little
when arguing that point

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Rosemount AT	28
AJ Tabura	

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North AM	27
Alexandra Meyer	

Winner: Rosemount AT debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff was more responsive on facts, had better arguments on framework, wins in particular on arg. that CD effectively protects/redistributes rights

RM AT

- you have good speed, but slow down for contentions/critical parts of your speeches
- you need to practice enunciating "civil disobedience" - you are always saying that too fast.
- say which card, don't just say "I have a card"

This applies to both of you

LN AM

- try not to argue with your opponent's answers during CX. Most of the time, you should just say "okay" and move on, then address it in your speech
- be careful about alleging facts you don't have evidence for
- be more clear about where you're going on the flow
- be more clear about giving me a roadmap for my decision ~~is at~~ the end of your NR - tell me what contentions you have left, what framework you're using, and why you satisfy that framework better than the other side

Room: N10
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Gilbert, Frank
Rierson, Kelly
Lakeville

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area YF	
Yelyzaveta Fedorovska	28.5

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Eagan JB	
Jacob Berran	29

Winner: Eagan JB School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- Good case, you have about a minute extra though so consider adding ^{more} some arguments to it!
 - your IAR does a very good job answering arguments on the AC flow, but you should try to more directly attack Ne so its clear where your arguments are being directed

NEG

- you could try to do more comparison between consistency and rights, it seems like theres some good interaction here.

AFF: While I think the AFF is far ahead on most issues, they are behind on the framework debate. The neg is clearly extending that moral consistency comes first and has good offense back to this. While the aff has defense on this issue, I don't think it is enough to merit an aff ballot. Also, the aff neg is winning that civil disobedience is internally inconsistent which means the aff has limited ability for change.
 Thus, I NEGATE

Room: N11
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Asif, Becky
Lakeville

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville North ST	
Summer Tran	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Roseville Area JV	
Julien Valentine	28

Winner: Lakeville North St. debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg side dropped all of the aff contentions. in rebuttal aff stuck to the items Neg brought up. During 2nd AFF rebuttal aff touched on all points brought up by neg. in Neg rebuttal.

AFF

- remember water eye contact
- good job covering all contentions.
- remember to extend if you need to.
- good speaking volume.

Neg

- remember eye contact
- call out contentions. so Contention 1, Contention 2, Reply to Contention 1 of aff etc.
- good speaking volume

Remember to bring:

- copies of flow for op. side + judge
- timer
- other things to help keep track of the op. flow.

Room: 302
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Carow, Jill
Lakeville North

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL
Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
St. Croix Prep JW	
Josh Warmka	29

NEG	
School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
Edina KS	
Kamaljot Singh	28

Winner: Josh Warmka debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Jill Carow

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides did well with speaking voice. Neg did well on bringing up their own contentions, yet was not as clear in addressing AFF contentions. AFF was able to more clearly address and question Neg contentions.

Room: 307
 Start: 8:45 AM 9am
 Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Phernetton,

Lynne

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Edina

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
Lakeville LC	
Lily Conniff	29

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Rosemount KB	
Kaitlyn Bruehling	28

Winner: Lakeville debating on the aff Side (Aff/Neg) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Lynette Phernetton

2 min prep

Prep 1:30

Comments & Reason for Decision

1 aff con
 - define civ dis, justice, rights, demo
 - case examples - violation of law ok...
 - conths... 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
 1 1 1

Resists protection criteria

1 Neg Con rebut
 - define govt/people, justice
 - conths... 1, 2, 3
 - citations - civ dis/anarch...
 distab. Society

Rebut. has/hadn't worked
 Social stability criteria

Prep 2:30

1 aff Reb.

Cont 1 civ dis disrupt stab. → ^{but} promote stab.
 thru legal change, gets attn
 2 harms rights → injustice & harmful
 3 no limits to civ dis → ^{ends it} return to justice

Neg...
 Dropped 2, 3, 5
 Reb 1, 4 rebuttal

Neg Reb

Stability Criterion - civ dis not only avenue
 examples given

Both value justice, means diff

Neg defendd
 aff refute partial

2 aff Reb

Challenges to Neg's ambig. stmts
 Govt unjust example
 "Safer" → dangerous laws
 Neg admitted to some unjustic.

aff - nice structure & attention to Neg's opening for
 you to show weaknesses in argument.
 challenges to 2 contentions, would like to have

Room: 304
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Tullis, Kevin

Lakeville

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

	POINTS (20-30)
John Marshall FS	
Faduma Sharif	29

NEG

	POINTS (20-30)
Eagan MB	
Melissa Brotzman	28

Winner: John Marshall, FS debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- Well thought out opening statement
- Stumbled w/ speaking a few times because of speed but a strong speaker
- good job addressing all of NEG's contentions & extending AFF
- struggled to define C2 accurately in first rebuttal
- good job tracking flow and all the issues

NEG

- strong contentions!!
- Did not address AFF contention #2, Criteria or values
- spent over 5 min on NEG with little time to address AFF
- Had the material to make for a strong case but need to work on using it to effectively negate AFF

RFD: ~~Both~~ Although NEG had strong points, AFF addressed all of NEG's issues ~~and~~ while NEG only focused on own contentions

Room: 229
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Hoverson, Doug

St Thomas Academy/Visitation


ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	POINTS (20-30)
St. Louis Park EP	
Ellen Poulter	27

NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Edina EQ	
Ethan Quick	25.5

Winner: SLP EP School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This case has some good ideas in it, but it's not clear how the pieces all fit together and support the resolution.
(small point, Hitler was not elected by a majority)
Really? Fighting is legal? Isn't it assault?
I'm not sure that the military is something that one "believes in." It exists.
The Owen example really doesn't help here.

I'm not sure I/we understand your question about outside sources of authority.
You may want to ask some clarifying questions with the remainder of your time in the Neg Constructive to respond to the Aff points. Your additional examples are OK.
If you want to contest her definition of Civ Dis, you need to do it in your first speech (NC). NR is too late.
You could have gone after the Owen example in your 1st speech. It has nothing to do with CD. The answers are good, but needed to be earlier.

Both debaters (and all novice debaters) need to work on organization. Neg does not cover Aff case at all until NR. Aff at least uses word extension. Neither debater spends much time on values or standards.
The most important issue is that the Aff proves that bad laws get passed and are not easy to fix, so CD is necessary.

Room: 311
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Smith, Nick

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF	
Lakeville KV	POINTS (20 - 30)
Katarina Vandebos	28.5

NEG	
Lakeville North GR	POINTS (20 - 30)
Gianna Roy	28

Winner: Lakeville KV debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signatures]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff | Neg

- Try to conceal the intent behind your line of questioning more, makes it harder for your opp to head off.
 "Need to be free & to be safe" Why?
 Explain warrants more
 - Don't concede violence is never justified, back yourself into a corner

- You may want a longer NC
 - Should contest aff V/CP since you have a very different understanding of the FW.
 - Watch out for circular arguments

Both try to explain what argument(s) are most important to the debate.

RFD

I affirm b/c

1) On NC aff is winning that CD is justified when not receiving the benefits they are owed. & CS shows CD strengthens democracy.

The negative need to do more with this argument that CD potentially result in violence is a reason CD is bad.

Room: 301
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Kuriscak, Ron

St Thomas Academy/Visitation

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville NB	POINTS (20-30)
Nina Baker	29

NEG

Eagan AA	POINTS (20-30)
Aadarsh Akula	27

Winner: Lakeville debating on the AFF Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Paul Kuriscak

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neg did not address AFF criteria; a did not respond to 3 cards.

Room: Literacy Center (2nd floor)
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Chao, Connie

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville LS	POINTS (20-30)
Leo Shen	

NEG

St. Louis Park BD	POINTS (20-30)
Ben Dodge	

Winner: Lakeville LS debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Connie Chao

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~AFF~~:

~~NEG~~:

- Neg. didn't show up, so forfeit.

- Aff "won"

~~RED~~:

Room: 305
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Widmer, Rita

Edina

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
St. Louis Park KI	
Kayla Ignatowicz	27

NEG

School/Team	POINTS (20-30)
St Thomas Academy/Visitation RK	
Riley Kobilka	—

Winner: St. Louis Park KI debating on the AFF Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Rita Widmer

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1. Neg. never showed.
2. Affirmative gave speech.
3. Relax and breath!

Room: 306
Start: 8:45 AM
Novice Lincoln-Douglas

1

Monson, Tom
~~Sylvester, Cort~~

Rosemount

ROSEMOUNT IRISH INVITATIONAL

Oct 1 - 1, 2016

AFF

Lakeville AC	POINTS (20-30)
Anna Carrow	28

NEG

Roseville Area PS	POINTS (20-30)
Pambayun Savira	25

Winner: Lakeville AC debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF - great organization, sign posting
 - clear work on flow
 - really hammer home weight of your dropped contentions

- work to improve on circling back to value/criterion in rebuttals
 - Neg contention 2+3 dropped, but Neg drops 2 as well, so capitalize

NEG - good rebuttal speech
 - maintain sign posting full speech

- capitalize on AFF dropping contention 2+3
 - be sure to convey evidence cites in constructive
 - try to target questions in cross, exam towards breaking a weak point in the AFF case.

RFD - AFF wins b/c justice is weighed as broader value, more objective than morality while NEG gives no response. AFF contentions 1,3,4 flow strongly to AFF, and 2+5 flow weakly to AFF. AFF rebuts Neg contention 1 but NEG gets NEG contentions 2+3, but doesn't weigh them out over AFF's side. With stronger value/crit analysis and winning 5 to 2 on unweighed contentions, AFF wins.