

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

BA Shaina Bagri

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Shaina Bagri

26

NEG

AB Joaquin Ruiz

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Joaquin Ruiz

29

Winner: Joaquin Ruiz School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Shivani G

Comments & Reason for Decision:

BIG PROBLEMS on both sides (for ex, neg didn't have time to refute 2 contentions), but aff's rebuttals were extremely weak and left opponent's case untouched. Aff also failed to mention value/criterion debate in rebuttals, whereas neg showed how aff case goes against her own criterion (util)

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BA Trevor Baba	(25 - 30)	AU Govind Memon	(25 - 30)
Trevor Baba	<u>29</u>	Govind Memon	<u>27</u>

Winner: Trevor Baba School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Shivani G

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- stronger impacts
- better speaker
- won the value debate
- refuted more

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AT Lesly Menjivar

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Lesly Menjivar

26

NEG


AA Sufi Jair

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Sufi Jair

27

Winner: AA Sufi Jair debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? DEG

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative case was well structured and well presented with good volume and speaking rate. Aff knows the facts, but need to prepare well in depth, and be confident to ask/answer questions.

The negative case of case was solid. NEG needs more eye contact with audience and improve voice projection.

So, voting NEG winning.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)



CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

NEG

AA Nisha McNealis

POINTS
(25 - 30)

AT Anikita Janakiram

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Nisha McNealis

28

Ankita Janakiram

27

Winner: AA Nisha McNealis debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative case was well structured. AFF shown strong confidence when presenting the case. It would be good if more solid evidences were provided.

The negative case was solid, and supporting coverage is stronger. NEG is well prepared. NEG needs to focus on main issues and key evidences so that it would not be rushed at time, get lost on main point.

So, voting AFF winning

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015



JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AA Nick Thom

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Nick Thom

28.5

NEG

AB Gaurav Rane

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Gaurav Rane

29

Winner: AB Gaurav Rane debating on the NEG Low point win? NO
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Garima Kapila

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neg controlled cross-examination, had articulate responses
- Neg argument that shared decision-making better protects rights of all parties involved was most convincing, whereas Aff's confidentiality arg. & claim that adults make poor decisions was not as strong
- Both debaters had fluid connections to frameworks and addressed all arguments of opponents' cases

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015



AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
AB Patrick Hamilton	(25 - 30)	BA Nate Winiecki	(25 - 30)
Patrick Hamilton	<u>28.5</u>	Nate Winiecki	<u>28</u>

Winner: AB Patrick Hamilton debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Garima Kapila

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff had more impacts and showed more benefits of preventing abuse by parents through giving autonomy
- Neg had convincing argument about adolescents generally being impulsive & if given autonomy, won't listen to adults, however, neg did not address parental abuse explicitly
- Cross-examinations could have had more questions involving hypotheticals / framework debate (in addition to case clarification questions)
- Both opponents were organized with refutations

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

NEG

AT Kellen Brosnahan

POINTS
(25 - 30)

AA Samuel Zhang

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Kellen Brosnahan

29

Samuel Zhang

27

Winner: Kellen Brosnahan debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: Joel Ryu

Comments & Reason for Decision: Great speaker, successfully refuted opponents cases

Kellen: Well done. Fast speaker, and doesn't stumble over lines. Good job taking control of the cross-ex, and cutting off your opponent when you are done hearing what he has to say.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Samuel: try not to say "Ok. so..." before sentences. Remember what I told you about the cross Ex. If an opponent refutes what you say, find a new reason as to why you are right, instead of repeating what you said earlier.

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON


Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF

AT Khushi Chauhan

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Khushi Chauhan

28

NEG

AA Leyton Ho

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Leyton Ho

27

Winner: Leyton Ho School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? yes

Signature: Joel Ryu

Comments & Reason for Decision: Aff dropped many cases, some evidence was turned on Aff, negating it & making Neg stronger

khushi - very powerful speaker, but remember to block all cases. You dropped too many, but you still debated very well. When Neg said "you dropped X, Y, & Z" Always take notes on that part & refute those cases in the next rebuttal that you have.

eyton - very soft spoken, but gets a" ideas across. Good analogies, easy to understand & relatable. Well done.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015


JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BA Dimitry Vartan	(25 - 30)	AT Anubha Gupta	(25 - 30)
Dimitry Vartan	<u>27</u>	Anubha Gupta	<u>28.5</u>

Winner: AT Anubha Gupta debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Frances Zhuang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I negated b/c the Neg won the value (quality of life) and the competency debate.

Aff:

- UH1
- weithorn/campbell
 - refutation to w/c was not responded to.

Neg

- quality of life
- Casey MRI
- 2 cases
 - Smith v Seely
 - Cassandra C

Aff is only response to competency in IAR. Could be better w/evidence.

Stronger

Aff also made good arguments to value/lc in 2ar, but no new in the 2.

Had these been said in the 1ar, you'd be golden!

Point Scale:


Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015



JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BA Sohan Kshirsagar	(25 - 30)	AT Sofia Revina	(25 - 30)
Sohan Kshirsagar	<u>28.7</u>	Sofia Revina	<u>28.9</u>

Winner: AT Sofia Revina debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Frances Zhuang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

both sides had great answers for each other's value criterions but Aff didn't respond to the Neg's argument that autonomy sometimes contradicts morality.

I would say Aff won the emancipated minor debate, Neg won competency. However, minors will get themselves into even more trouble making their own decisions b/c they are incompetent.

parental abuse so I negated off competency, under Neg VC even though this was a very close round.

Great job to both debaters, and congrats for such a great start to your debate careers!

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AA Julia Qiao

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Julia Qiao

29

NEG

AB Zack Schroek

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Zack Schroek

27.5

Winner:

Julia Qiao

debating on the

AFF

Low point win?

No

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Rahul P.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

• Neg responds to confidentiality arg. EXTREMELY well
- Better than I've seen in varsity

• Aff does a great job in CX of pinning Neg on COMPARATIVE competence

- "Do adolescents do more drugs than adults?"

- "They take different highways, but get to same destination" → Good analogy

• Aff points out hypocrisy in Cassandra

• Aff wins round by proving that even though adolescents & adults have different brains, adolescent make the same decisions in medical decisions where they have time to deliberate

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

BA Bhargavi Garimella

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Bhargavi Garimella

28

NEG

AA Adeline Zhou

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Adeline Zhou

28.5

Winner:

Bhargavi Garimella

debating on the

Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

Yes

Signature:

Rahul P.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

• Neg fails to consider impacts related to homeless youth, trans gender, etc only saying "they are not majority"

• Neg's shared decision making plan does not account for conflict b/w adults and adolescents

• Aff shows adolescents can make similar decisions to adults

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON


Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF

NEG

AB John Vu

POINTS
(25 - 30)

AA Emily Wang

POINTS
(25 - 30)

John Vu

28

Emily Wang

29

Winner: John Vu

John Vu

debating on the AFF

AFF

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

Yes!

Signature: Sohil K.

Comments & Reason for Decision: Though the Neg speaker had great speaking skills, the Aff had more impactful arguments.

- AFF**
- ad. are able to make their own choice
 - parental involvement → teens will seek more help

- NEG**
- plds. are not trustworthy.
 - parents can help

- great mention of 4 steps or complex
- good voice, try being slightly louder.
- very good example of the girl forced to accept kimo therapy.
- points and arguments are clear and verse easily to understand and comprehend.
- good questions, try to be louder, and more assertive.
- when you start reading parts of words, you can take a short breath to calm down
- mentions good parental abuse arg.
- link it to the "parents" argument.
- the vaccination rates decreased are an excellent piece of evidence for freedom of vaccinations.
- overall this argument is well expanded and extended, just be more confident when you state it.
- good refutation of research, and antique evidence.

- NEG**
- very good questions, try being louder and more assertive to emphasize their significance.
 - good argument supporting your value criterion.
 - good argument on prefrontal cortex, and you link it well to your argument.
 - speeches are mostly well paced.
 - you do not have to say anything when you need to think, instead, just pause for a second or two.
 - If you mess up, you can just continue.
 - do not make it look like you messed up!
 - If you can convince the judge the argument was supposed to be there their argument can still be fixed.
 - cited very good examples of parents.
- Always remember the correct case! Double check before you leave a room.**
- It is often helpful to keep a binder with cases labels for each case.
 - Justice vs morality argument is very good

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min ✓
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min ✓
 Neg: 7 Min ✓
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min ✓
 Aff: 4 min ✓
 Neg: 6 min ✓
 Aff: 3 min ✓
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

Overall good Job to Both sides!

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

NEG

AT Stephanie Lo

POINTS
(25 - 30)

AB Omar Battisha

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Stephanie Lo

28

Omar Battisha

29.5

Winner: Omar Battisha debating on the NEG
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? NA

Signature: Sohil K.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides presented their arguments relatively well, but the negation side had more credible sources to support their claims.

- Aff are reliable
- Parents are restrictive

Value: Justice - undeveloped
 - emotional intensity
 - parents care most about the child

AFF

NEG

- good use of quote to forward intro very good but try to select a more renown individual.
- good use of source that seen reliable. mentions "bias of society" - great
- Mentions similar case, very famous case, very good that you are familiar with it.
- however, try to respond to the fact that he was locked in.
- you stumble very little in your speech, which is great, but for the few times you do stumble, you can pause instead of saying "um".
- try being more confident when giving responses to questions, even if you are not sure about the accuracy.
- If it's not a medical definition, say so, and go over in your next speech, which it can still apply to the topic.
- for the "Impulse" statement, I believe that it meant (control) of impulses.
- good speaking, but vary word choice a bit.
- for prep time, try to even time out a bit more, just for a precaution.
- good impulse arguments for adults, try to bring it up earlier in the speech, it would have had a larger impact.

- Very good confident, loud voice, especially when asking questions; easy to understand
- Excellent at explaining the definitions, as well as your arguments.
- good example of Brain Development article, multiple credible citations.
- able to catch yourself when you stumble - Good! very small, and not distracting.
- very good vocal inflection.
- good example by stating that the previous mentioned case would not be affected, as the "child was notable leave the house."
- for the "Impulse" study, you can explain it in the terms of "control" over impulse to avoid confusion.
- sign posting was relatively clear.
- good emphasis on key words
- emotional aspects of the arguments extended
- try defining and explains more into your arguments. They are good, but without more explanation, it could be misinterpreted. like the Impulse example.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min ✓
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min ✓
 Neg: 7 Min ✓
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min ✓
 Aff: 4 min ✓
 Neg: 6 min ✓
 Aff: 3 min ✓
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details) ✓

Overall good Job to Both Sides!

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015



JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices.
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

NEG

AT Caroline He

POINTS
(25 - 30)

AA Evan Baldonado

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Caroline He

26

Evan Baldonado

30

Winner: AA Evan Baldonado debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Dan Phay

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Overall, this was a really good round. Both debaters did great, and they had good delivery and arguments. However, I'd have to vote neg since the aff responded to many of his arguments with a really vague response, so it wasn't as persuasive, as the aff did with his studies. I wish they would've related it more to medical choices specifically, but it was still a good round to watch/judge

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF

BA Avantika Ghosh

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Avantika Ghosh

26

NEG

AT Anisha Bhat

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Anisha Bhat

26.2

Winner: AT Anisha Bhat debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Samulhary

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This round basically boiled down to who had more studies, which I didn't really prefer. I'd give the vote to aff because the neg couldn't successfully convince me that the neuroimaging wasn't legit, and the aff showed no evidence showing the opposite was true.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

Other things:

Neg: - don't cut off the aff after every question in CX
- slow down a little bit
- organization could be better

Aff: - don't go over 3 mins of prep.
- condense CX qk
- look at judge
- finish your AR by responding to opponent voters & giving your bus

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF

NEG

BA Isha Savant

AA Audrey Li

POINTS
(25 - 30)

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Isha Savant

26

Audrey Li

27

Winner: Isha Savant debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? yes

Signature: Anika Vichare

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:

- Value: justice
- V.C: ensuring well-being

definition does not fit into this case

Contention 1: medical confidentiality of adolescents - more willing to get care

- sensitive
- able to seek healthcare

② allowing it medicalizes adolescence

2: adolescents & adults are equally capable.

- homelessness

- similar competencies - no endorsement for this

NEG: value: morality

Contention 1: incapable to make informed decisions
{(10-19) no 20's}

- brains not yet developed
- incompetent as of now
- ↳ nuclear connection

Contention 2: parental guidance is necessary

- might not be good - abortion rates decrease (18%) w/ parental
- didn't specify why abortion rates are a good thing consent (and)

Contention 3: saves the minorities

- physicians have the obligation to save a

value criterion: maximizing societal welfare
- prerequisite

Point Scale:
 Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed.
 25 = minimum
 27 = average
 30 = maximum

Speech Times:
 Aff: 6 min ✓
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min ✓
 Neg: 7 Min ✓
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min ✓
 Aff: 4 min ✓
 Neg: 6 min ✓
 Aff: 3 min ✓
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

AFF won in this case because was able to refute all of opponents points and placed impacts in every single contention & subpoint. Neg did well with contentions, however aff did a better job of supporting and backing it up

with persuasive evidence

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BA Athena Dai	(25 - 30)	AA Jordan Wagner	(25 - 30)
Athena Dai	<u>29</u>	Jordan Wagner	<u>30</u>

Winner: Athena Dai School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: Value: morality - just as capable
 Value criterion: maximizing societal welfare
 Contentions: adolescents are competent and capable
 - 14 yr old adolescents performed just as well as 18's
 Contention 2: emboldened adolescents will not ask for medical treatment
 - vaccinations - does not hold up value/U.C
 Contention 3: it is immoral not to give adolescents autonomy
 - have to ask adolescents with their desires
 - "their body their choice" → affects people around them but affects everybody else

NEG: Value: hypocritical - does not write.
 Value criterion: well being of adolescents
 - do not have same life experiences
 1: life experience gives adults a better idea
 - adults have capacity to make better decisions → risky behavior bad experiment
 2: parents should make medical decisions for the adolescent
 - life altering decisions
 - peer pressure → sometimes can be good
 - corrupts ability to make right decisions even adults

Point Scale:
 Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed.
 25 = minimum
 27 = average
 30 = maximum

Speech Times:
 Aff: 6 min ✓
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min ✓
 Neg: 7 Min ✓
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min ✓
 Aff: 4 min ✓
 Neg: 6 min ✓
 Aff: 3 min ✓
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

AFF won in this round. AFF was able to support all contentions while proving that the neg's contentions were in her behavior. Neg was good but consistently used some reasoning to write all of AFF's contentions.

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices.
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AU Neeraj Senthil

POINTS
(25 - 30)

29.8

Neeraj Senthil

NEG

AT Ganesh Susarla

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Ganesh Susarla

27.5

Winner: AU Neeraj Senthil debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neeraj
 write emphasis in 1AC
 explain the Jehova witnesses Aff
 - good organization
 explain warranting more.
 explained empirics well
~~not much weighting on~~
 good weighting on FW

Ganesh
 - respond to opp case more
 - don't start bringing new args in your last speech
 - wasting time with this
 - make same response in first speech can't consider new arguments.
 - weigh more
 - don't concede he wins if he does X ... EVER

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

BOTH
 - very tagline extensions. need to explain warrants and reasons

RFD
 Neg dropped aff case. Had to go by who weighed best and stuff

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
AA Neil Yeung	(25 - 30)	AT Nina Blattner	(25 - 30)
Neil Yeung	<u>28</u>	Nina Blattner	<u>26</u>

Winner: AA Neil Yeung debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neil

- nice emphasis in IAC
- if you have change about current legal systems have more recent evidence
- didn't extend your own case in IAC. Your Hartmann evidence is good stuff... SO USE IT.

Nina

- know your case better. show that you've read it before
- don't rgh during your speech
- didn't bring up methodology after spending forever about that in CX

Vote'd aff bc we at RFD of autonomy was cold dropped by whoever upholds that best wins

and that was aff.

BOTH

- look at me during CX
- keep track of your own prep in future
- ext your case on your own case floor
- NEED TO WEIGH MORE - conceptualize things

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015



JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AA Ashley Hitchings

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Ashley Hitchings

29.5

NEG

BA Prerna Agarwal

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Prerna Agarwal

29.5

Winner: AA Ashley Hitchings debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Naman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Very strong Aff Constructive
↳ all arguments have clearly ~~been~~ connected back to value and criterion.

Definitions contradicted in Cross &
Neg Constructive also strong
↳ did not connect all arguments and evidence to criterion and value.

In neg CX, neg denies saying a previously stated fact in an earlier piece of evidence. (lower credibility)
Aff made some 1 layer refutations.
NR = refuted A arguments. Case still had parts of it standing.
- clarified.

2AR strengthened arguments but went over all points still standing.
↳ turned her case under neg's criterion too.

Debate came down to credibility and refutations. Aff got an edge on both and neg had some arguments standing. Aff had some two but denying own evidence is a flaw so Aff won.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015



JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AA Madeline Li

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Madeline Li

29.5

NEG

BA Elaine Huang

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Elaine Huang

29.5

Winner: BA Elaine Huang debating on the Neg Side (Aff/for Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Naman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

In Aff const, great distribution of evidence and analysis. Very solid case, but could connect back to criterion and value in one sentence.

Neg was more aggressive in Cross X, but no ground gained.

Neg case was very strong.

↳ Connected throughout whole case.

In Neg cross X, aff used like prep time after clarification.

IAR - some multilayer refutations, but still some weaker single layer refutations.

↳ Strong rebuttle.

NR - strong rebuttle. Brought up and renewed all points covered in round.

↳ mentioned mature minor doctrine almost as a new example (is a sketch)

Spoke clearly and at a good pace. Some mishaps of stuttering but minimal.

ZAR - went slightly over time.

- had a little mishap in argument but strong rebuttle.

Debate came down to over all control and some arguments/points were left out by Aff. Neg also had better refutations but extremely close debate.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AB Vince Feliciano

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Vince Feliciano

29

NEG

AL Jennifer Gao

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Jennifer Gao

28.5

Winner: AB Vince Feliciano debating on the AFF Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Sreelatha

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both Aff and Neg provided strong evidences to support their cases. Aff provided three contentions, not all of which was refuted by Neg and Aff rebuttals to Neg refutations were supported by strong evidence.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AT Dottie Yu

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Dottie Yu

27

NEG

BA Sonali Chellappa

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Sonali Chellappa

28

Winner:

BA

School/Team

debating on the

Neg

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

no

Signature:

Alex Hochroth

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:

You dropped the V/UC debate - let util win

Incorporate your UC into your contentions - how are your contentions representative of the need for autonomy

Respond to your opponents value issues in the 2AR, and give your own

Awesome 1AR!

Good response to SDM

Good CX

Justice and morality are basically the same thing.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke.
Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater.
Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed.
25 = minimum
27 = average
30 = maximum

NEG:

Value issues too general - you don't summarize the debate here, you narrow it down (ie, you could have had 1. Ads make risky dec. 2. SDM is a better model)

Respond to her saying SDM supports Aff by explaining how ads don't have final say, so they are not making an autonomous decision

OR refute her definition

Awful 1NC!

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

Neg wins because aff dropped V/UC debate, which means round must be evaluated by utilitarianism

which neg better fulfills than aff.

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF

AA Jennifer Xu

Jennifer Xu

POINTS
(25 - 30)

27.6

NEG

BA Justin Wang

Justin Wang

POINTS
(25 - 30)

27

Winner: AA Jennifer Xu School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Mani

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF had a clear and well-defended impact in her case (Contention 2).
 NEG had no impact whatsoever.
 ↳ Answer the question "what good thing will happen if I vote for you? (lives saved) What bad thing will happen if I vote for your opponent."

Point Scale:
 Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke.
 Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater.
 Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed.
 25 = minimum
 27 = average
 30 = maximum

Speech Times:
 Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

BA Megan Ta

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Megan Ta

26.9

NEG

AA Christopher Clark

Christopher Clark

POINTS
(25 - 30)

27.6

Winner: AA Christopher Clark debating on the NEG Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Mani W

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF dropped a significant portion of her case and dropped most of the NEG case as well.

NEG did a great job of connecting every argument to his value/criteria.

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices.
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AT Vishal Menon

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Vishal Menon

27

NEG

AA Byron Zhang

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Byron Zhang

27

Winner: AT Vishal Menon debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

your observation is confusing you can't assume that all kids are capable
need impacts for vaccine arg
Aff - C1 has no solvency - you say public has bad understanding of immune system, but where do you prove that kids are better? C2 a little confusing you don't have much offense
 - your voice is a little too soft - good questions in CX - explain why
 - need better response to his C1 - organization is a little confusing - don't flip flow between cases
 - good explanation of your C2
 2AR - needs better organization - go down each case clearly and address v/vc first in speech
 need to go for offense in 2AR - why do they need right?

Point Scale:
 Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

Neg - do more than clarify in CX - press opponent on issues a little more face judge in CX why should we prefer your defs/v/vc - look up when speaking
 Neg case too long - you misinterpret his C2 - confusing response to his C1
 remind me what your C2 study is - extend your evidence need better response to parental abuse arg
 don't make new response to his C1 in your NR
 explain how you uphold your v/vc - your voters are a little weak
 you still had 2 mins left in your NR - use all time! - some of your responses need some work

RFD - Neg did not really rebut aff C1/C2 and neg did not give impacts for his case - Close round } - specifically address to C2 was weak

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices.
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AT Mahima Kapila

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Mahima Kapila

27.5

NEG

AA Larry Fu

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Larry Fu

29

Winner: AA Larry Fu School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - go slower/be more clear - need stats/impact/proof
 C₂ - confusing/too quick - C₁/C₂ need to be fleshed out more
 well-being = more than just happiness - specify C₁ religious beliefs/give examples
 - address v/c debate 1st in 1AR - response to his C₁ - want
 need better response against parental involvement good - mark on organization
 explain what 15,000 \$ is in reference to - you dropped a lot of your
 mention stats from 1AC more! - args in 1AR
 need better organization in 2AR
 need voting issues in 2AR
 aff case is a little short

Neg - force judge in CX - good weighing for def of addressed
 explain why your v/c should be preferred - at top of your case
 C₁ - science card to long/scientific - look up while speaking
 neg case too long - flesh out response to her C₂
 good clarity - need to address parental abuse better
 v/c - good - bring up shared decision making in case not cross ex
 clarity/organization def of autonomy is - remind me what your
 look at judge during speeches - don't just
 reiterate your argument against

PF - 1AR dropped a lot of aff's arguments
 Neg had good coverage and responses/neg C₁ good/not responded to that well
 ± rate Neg

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke.
 Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater.
 Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed.
 25 = minimum
 27 = average
 30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON


Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BA Christina Leung	(25 - 30)	AC Tanushri Sundar	(25 - 30)
Christina Leung	<u>28</u>	Tanushri Sundar	<u>27</u>

Winner: BA Christina Leung debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- a few specifics, short cards in/AR might help a little

Aff 2:30
 (V) Morality *Goal job here*
 (V) Max. Aut. *this is better than util*
 - explain VC a little more
 - good points on confel/PP
 ↳ link this to VC
 - don't ask for stats when you're answering CX
 - good responses in CX
 - really attack @ VC, you went close but moved on
 - let her answer
 - good job pointing out drops
 - restate 2nd and 3rd contentions

Neg 1:30 → 0:00
 - good CX at the end talking about peers
 - only use clashing def
 (V) Morality ← goal expl. *do this in AC, don't do framework*
 (V) Util ← why? expl. why you want this over Aff.
 - good links to util
 - Are you adv. for parents choosing or doctors choosing?
 - you're getting close to spreading
 - Spend less time on AC
 - good response to stigma arg.
 - ~~you~~ need to go more in-depth in NR

Point Scale:
 Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

Speech Times:
 Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

RFD: Great job both of you. Aff won based off framework and the AC. P@ Aff argued VC more effectively while ~~constructing~~ refuting arguments well. Her links to the VC combined with the Neg dropping C2/C3, won her the debate.

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON


Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
 - Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
 - The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
 - THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
- SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK



AFF

NEG

AT Edo Biluar

POINTS
(25 - 30)

BA Qianyin Wu

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Edo Biluar

28

Qianyin Wu

30

Winner: AT Edo Biluar

debating on the

Aff

Low point win?

yes

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1:10

1:10

Aff:

Neg:

Why does morality medicine → morality?

- slow down a little
 - ① Justice
 - Need VC. ← "probably" "protecting rights" based off your case
 - ② Rights
 - good capability/Rights contention
 - Develop/trans spend a little more time on the social effects of but
 - good job in CX
 - clarification cards ?'s go are boring, cards do more specific you misunderstand
 - good STD attack! - Campbell's
- Attack risk = low
- near cosmetic only ok, still maybe
- NUM, did it in CX
- which VC are you using?

- interesting line of questioning on CX
- Great attack on development, go further in this
- ① Morality ← why should we do this?
- ② Aut. ← Do you mean util.?
- Net benefits = act util, not act
- great speaking tone/clarity
- you need a card to prove all the kids are white!
- great C3 response
- "trans help in by doc" ← prove this
- need more links to VC in NR

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum 27 = average 30 = maximum

→ size arg of needs stronger HIV res...

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
 Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
 Neg: 7 Min
 Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
 Aff: 4 min
 Neg: 6 min
 Aff: 3 min
 Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

RFD: Very good, very close debate. Aff won due to his empirics combined with his attacks of the neg case. The attack of the HIV card, was especially good, and overall he showed a superior mastery of using evidence. His card analysis linking to the VC was also very good as well.

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

-Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
-Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
-The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
-THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.
SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

AA Jeffrey Yu

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Jeffrey Yu

26

NEG

AT Gahl Shemy

POINTS
(25 - 30)

Gahl Shemy

28

Winner: Gahl Shemy School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Anisha

Comments & Reason for Decision: Weighing the most important contentions and extending your points.

Jeffrey

- you have a lot of information and I can tell you are knowledgeable about the topic, try to be a little more confident in your CX
- feel free to be more assertive during CX
- Your arguments are clear and cohesive and that helps me flow the round. With practice you will be able to develop more arguments and be more articulate so keep practicing
- response to peer pressure was good & well extended
- You refuted the points well but at the end did not explain what major points allowed you to win

Gahl

- Confident during CX
- very clear and thorough case w/ lots of good evidence. Try to end as confidently as you start
- I liked your response to Campbell & you well proved the incompetence
- I got confused when you talked about pigment for STD's bc that seems to contradict what you said earlier about this treatment (for STD's) already being available
- the weighing you did at the end of your speech was very effective and gave a summary of your points

Point Scale:

Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed. 25 = minimum
27 = average
30 = maximum

Speech Times:

Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)

CFL NOVICE DEBATE WASHINGTON

Dec 6 - 6, 2015

JUDGING RULES

Go to the room listed on top of this ballot. * Before the round starts, tell the debaters what prior judging experience you have. Do not interrupt any speech or give comments before the round is over. * Do not leave the room during the round. Do not consult with anyone else while making your decision. * Please write down all arguments made by the debaters. Please be sure the competitors in the room match the ones on your ballot and they are speaking on the correct side.

LINCOLN-DOUGLAS JUDGING GUIDE

- Computers and printed evidence/materials can be used in round. Internet cannot be used.
- Resolved: Adolescents ought to have the right to make autonomous medical choices .
- The first speech on each side is a constructive and should be used to present the case for/against the resolution. The Neg should also use its constructive to respond to the Aff case.
- THE LAST THREE SPEECHES SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY NEW ARGUMENTS but instead should be used to make refutations and summarize the round. The very last Aff speech is not allowed any new refutations or arguments unless addressing a new response made by the Neg in their last speech.

SEE GENERAL DEBATE GUIDE ON THE BACK

AFF

NEG

AA Caitly Berry POINTS (25 - 30)
29

AT June Vanlerberg POINTS (25 - 30)
27



Winner: Caitly Berry School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Anisha

Comments & Reason for Decision: Using logical arguments and going into depth in your rebuttals. Also C2B was dropped...

Caitly

June

- I liked how your first speech flows and it is really clear and easy to follow - you also seem to know it really well
- great CX Questions!
- really comprehensive rebuttals and bringing up what you said in CX in your speech again was also good.
- You won the point about Abortion and child abuse & addressed the voting point well & addressed the PFC point well by relating it back to voting

- you have a lot of good info in your case and is backed up by a lot of evidence
- I would practice enunciating and being more confident - which comes with practice
- you addressed every point and the breadth/comprehensiveness of your speech was good - try to come up with more responses w/ more evidence for each contention so if 1 falls you can fall back on something else

Point Scale:
Assign speaker points to each debater individually based on how well that debater spoke. Mark "Low point win" if you assign to the winning debater fewer points than to the losing debater. Decimals (e.g. 27.4) are allowed.
25 = minimum
27 = average
30 = maximum

Speech Times:
Aff: 6 min
Aff cross-examines Neg: 3 min
Neg: 7 Min
Neg cross-examines Aff: 3 min
Aff: 4 min
Neg: 6 min
Aff: 3 min
Prep Time: 3 minutes/debater (see the back for more details)