

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Lexington WM

: 29

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

27

Will Mukamal

NEG

Newark Science SM

2:58

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

~~28.5~~ 28.5

Shaneeza Mohammed

Winner: Lexington WM School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~I vote aff~~

I don't think that the Alt solves for the harms coming out of the AC of the harms coming out of capitalism itself, so I very hesitantly vote Aff off the horsgard card.

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Lexington LW

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

Logan Wells

28

NEG

Harrison LC

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

Lauren Cole

28.1

Winner: Harrison LC School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote on the Finch case  
turns. I think that the aff  
misstates it in the ~~2AR~~ 2AR by only  
flagging it as non-unique + going for the  
Perm as not competitive

**Point Scale:**  
30 - Surpassing; the level best  
29 - Excellent, late-elim level  
28 - Good, should break  
27 - Average, shouldn't break  
26 - Below Average  
25 - Far below Average  
24 & below - Offensive, rude  
HFILL Tenth points may be used

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Scarsdale NI

Natalie Isak

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28.9

NEG

Monticello AM

Avi Madnick

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28.4

Winner: Scarsdale NI debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote aff on presumption.  
Neg - goes for a CP in the 2NR  
but does not isolate a net-benefit  
to the CP. I think the aff is winning  
access to communities but so does the neg.  
Hence, presumption.

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Harrison BS

Brian Siegel

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

28

NEG

Lexington NV

Nitya Venkatasami

POINTS  
(0 - 30)

27

Winner: Harrison BS School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Timothy Braunstein

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~ADD~~  
Neg: When you are using the standard from the Aff, you have to show how you link into ~~an~~ it better than the Aff does, not just that you link into it also. Also, explain your extensions, and why they impact the round

Point Scale:	
30	- Surpassing; the level best
29	- Excellent, late-elim level
28	- Good, should break
27	- Average, shouldn't break
26	- Below Average
25	- Far below Average
24 & below	- Offensive, rude
HFILL Tenth points may be used	

Aff: Show more clearly how you win under your framework, and how the CP doesn't solve. Good pace in your AC.

RFD: The Aff better solved for the framework, and "empowered" adolescents more than the neg did

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF  
Monticello KC  
Kieran Cavanagh

POINTS  
(0 - 30)  
28.3

NEG  
Lexington NK  
Nikki Kim

POINTS  
(0 - 30)  
28

Winner: Monticello School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO  
Signature: Heaven Montague

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1) NO water on theory, that was based on predictability

2) R101B- also addressed liberation strategy only come through different knowledge understandings

3) on CIP after her flew away, should allow to CIP

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Lexington MF

Maya Fray-Witzer

POINTS

(0 - 30)

27.9

NEG

Newark Science DA

David Asafu-Adjaye

POINTS

(0 - 30)

28

Winner: Newark Science DA debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Heaven Montague

Comments & Reason for Decision:

A little to late, to late to answer the  
Menzel or card so i first vote on  
Root cause of card.

DIA to exclusionary still being even if low  
kick certain evidence of the aff, the educational  
produced is still exclusionary

Should of went for post fiat impacts  
because aff has no impact

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
  - 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
  - 28 - Good, should break
  - 27 - Average, shouldn't break
  - 26 - Below Average
  - 25 - Far below Average
  - 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used

Room: HS 202  
Flight 1 Start: 10:45 AM  
LD

5

Smith, Lucas

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Lexington AA

Aditi Agarwal

POINTS

(0 - 30)

27.3

NEG

Scarsdale AW

Ananta Wadhwa

POINTS

(0 - 30)

27.7

Winner: Ananta School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Waddles

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used

Room: HS 202  
Flight 2 Start: 11:35 AM  
LD

5

Smith, Lucas

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

AFF

Lexington RW

Reed Weiler

POINTS

(0 - 30)  
29

NEG

Newark Science DJ

Danny Jimenez

POINTS

(0 - 30)  
29

Winner: ~~Aff~~ Reed debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Kelly Oubre Jr.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used



# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

*Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these debaters*

Monticello KC (Kieran Cavanagh)

Lexington RW (Reed Weiler)

AFF

NEG

Winner: Monticello KC debating on the Aff  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Other judges on panel: Carlos Astacio, Marie Ceske. Please do not start until all judges are present.

*and vote on*  
I buy<sup>^</sup> the ~~#~~ net benefits coming out of the CP, especially  
that there is always a change parents/doctors will ~~set~~ oppress  
the teens, so ~~I'm voting~~ and ~~#~~ on  
the conced arg that heteronormativity ~~#~~  
solves the harms of the Cap k.

**Point Scale:**

30 - Surpassing; the level best
29 - Excellent, late-elim level
28 - Good, should break
27 - Average, shouldn't break
26 - Below Average
25 - Far below Average
24 & below - Offensive, rude
HFILL Tenth points may be used

Room: HS 202  
Start: 1:30 PM  
LD

6

\*Astacio, Carlos

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

*Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these debaters*

Monticello KC (Kieran Cavanagh)

Lexington RW (Reed Weiler)

AFF

NEG

Winner: Monticello KC debating on the AFF  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

\*Please chair this round. Other judges on panel: Bea Almeida, Marie Ceske. Please do not start until all judges are present.

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
  - 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
  - 28 - Good, should break
  - 27 - Average, shouldn't break
  - 26 - Below Average
  - 25 - Far below Average
  - 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used

# THE KAISER DEBATE

Oct 9 - 10, 2015

*Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these debaters*

Monticello KC (Kieran Cavanagh)

Lexington RW (Reed Weiler)

AFF

NEG

Winner: Monticello KC debating on the AFF  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Marie Ceske

**Other judges on panel: Carlos Astacio, Bea Almeida. Please do not start until all judges are present.**

Winning Tachel evidence. No Rep K.  
Also extensions chance doctors/parents  
further appressing. Clear ~~extensions~~ extensions  
of Hauberman and McGinnis.

**Point Scale:**

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used