

UPENN LIBERTY BELL CLASSIC

Feb 13 - 14, 2016

Bridgewater Raritan AS (Alyssa Song) vs Monticello SC (Steven Cammerino)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Monticello SC

Bridgewater Raritan AS

AFF

NEG

Winner: ~~Monticello~~ Bridgewater Raritan AS debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Alyssa Song

Other judges on panel: Hunter Clark, Pavan Gupta. Please do not start until all judges are present.

6:38

Aff

- Morality
- Maximize well-being
 - ↳ gov't responsibility
- Govt provides financing
- Majority → Utilitarian Framework
- Statistics
- Studies - how to stop gun
- 1- Gun prohibits violence
- 2- Children - culture - video
- ↳ Music
- 2- Swastika/Honorable
- 4- Gun ownership - suicide
- 5- child issues - but wills hold

Neg Cross

- Guns aren't addictive
- Reflect the point of illegal supply

Aff Rebuttal

- Gun ownership is related to rates of suicide/homicide
 - ↳ Japan, Australia
- Illegal supply - misses point
- Outrage by crime with few suicides
- Less aggressive
- Cultural change proof
 - ↳ Prohibition
- No attacks - it's been on news

Neg Closing

- Gun culture is bigger in America
 - ↳ 2nd Amendment
- Law of Demand & Supply
- Self-Defense
- Beyond checks
- Stronger guns require handgun

Aff Closing

Very animated
 But skips over some logical conclusions

Point Scale:

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used
- HFILL LPWs okay

Aff Cross

Cultural change is obvious
 ↳ Could we really institute that so quickly?

Also

Neg

- Morality
- Maximizing life
- Public officials → gun culture
- Equality of people
 - ↳ No less valuable than others
- Impossible - practically speaking
- Upward citizens
 - ↳ less patron
- Crime rates
- Self-defense usage
- Culture change
 - ↳ ignored in US
 - ↳ Other alternatives to national gun ban
- Accidents happen
- Gun ban → no impact on rate of suicide & homicide

• Blank Market for guns → Control market

UPENN LIBERTY BELL CLASSIC

Feb 13 - 14, 2016

Lexington JM (Janet Mariadoss) vs Bronx HS Of Science AK (Anika Kalraa)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

~~Lexington JM~~ Bronx HS of Science Lexington JM
~~Bronx HS of Science~~ Lexington JM
 AFF NEG

Winner: Lexington JM debating on the Neg
 School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Hunter Clark, Pavan Gupta. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff

- Moral obligation
- Treatment by others
- ↳ Self-ownership
- Individuality is violated
- Equal freedom
- ↳ Look at Gov't
- Unhappy → restrict freedom
- Criminal actions
- ↳ High homicide rates
- Abortions handed over for gun rather than self care

Neg Cross

- Rebellion on basic function of gun

Aff Rebuttal 1:43

- Ongoing infra rights
- Study some econ. g. v. l
- Break chain of subjugation from the self-deline

Neg Closing

- Illegal methods
- Police protection numbers
- Equal hypocrisy
- Infringe of 2nd Amendment
- Make citizens less protected

Aff Closing

- At the end, she tried

Point Scale:

30 - Surpassing; the level best
29 - Excellent, late-elim level
28 - Good, should break
27 - Average, shouldn't break
26 - Below Average
25 - Far below Average
24 & below - Offensive, rude
HFILL Tenth points may be used
HFILL LPWs okay

Aff Cross

- Right to self defense - right to life
- ↳ equally upheld

Neg 1:42

- Morality
- Protecting life
- Not effective in being that gun in circulation
- ↳ Illegal gun manufacturing
- Poor involvement for police
- Racial Injustice
- ↳ Rhetoric
- ↳ class inequality system
- Slavery, why uphold gun laws
- Violates right to self defense
- Life > Equal Freedom
- Gun culture US
- 2nd Amendment
- Gun - intentions to protect
- Performance
- ↳ over
- Multiphones - criminals

Room: COLLEGE HALL 315A
Flight 1 Start: 8:00 AM
Novice Lincoln Douglas

Run-off

Fontiex, Harrison
Unionville

UPENN LIBERTY BELL CLASSIC Feb 13 - 14, 2016

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Millburn KC (Kavi Chopra) 28

Lexington VR (Ved Roy) 27

AFF

NEG

Winner: Millburn KC School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Other judges on panel: Felix Raj, Parag Shukla. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Point Scale:

- 30 - Surpassing; the level best
- 29 - Excellent, late-elim level
- 28 - Good, should break
- 27 - Average, shouldn't break
- 26 - Below Average
- 25 - Far below Average
- 24 & below - Offensive, rude
- HFILL Tenth points may be used
- HFILL LPWs okay

Ballot

College Hall 3/5A

Winner: Aff (Milburn KC)

RFD: Even though neg wins the framework debate (via the "death reduces access to happiness" argument), aff shows through his C1 that less access to guns leads to less death. Neg C1 and the self-defense args weren't enough to take the round.

Comments

Aff

- Good responses in CX
- I definitely think that the MAC needs a better solvency card. You need warrants for why a handgun ban will ensure that criminals will not still be able to access guns.
- Spend more time on V/VC in 2AR.
- Nice extensions on C1!

Neg

- I'm really not sure what offense the CP gives you since the net benefits are literally the same as the NC's impacts.
- You absolutely need a better response to his C2.
- Good warrants for VC
- Your C3 is great and I wish that you had spent more time pushing it.

This was a very close round and a difficult decision.
Great job!

If you have questions, email me at jonesdat@sas.upenn.edu.

LD -

Winner - Lexington CC
Side Aff or Neg

Affirm

Lexington CC - 29

Judge -

Christina Lopez
West Orange HS

Neg

Bridgewater RK - 28

- AC ~~Freedom~~ Federalism / Moral
will

- Good examples on implementation

I think the example of SF Federalism
at the state level implementation of

gay marriages was key to your case

- Obligation to protect

- hard to understand, I asked
for no speeding

Freedom - Framework

- Ban on that the AC
has no solvency + that
debate is not fair.

- should have spent a
~~little~~ little more time on
the debate versus theory

- argued mostly based
on Theory that was
not clear

Reason for Decision -

Voted for the Affirmative because she was

able to provide a solvency on the ban that was not
addressed by the negative.

media

Whippany Park (Lucia Colicchio) SoFEX - Jorda

- She does a good job questioning her opponent.

- Blacks are established as violence - do not have a "fluid identity" - Misconception

- White vs. Black protected differently in society
+ talks about history of racism + recent

police brutality

laws should change to ensure equality

- guns are needed to defend minority groups

- hypocrisy → police aren't defending people +

are misusing guns → DHS providing equipment

for protection from terrorism

Blacks need to "liberate" themselves through violence??

~~✗✗~~ don't understand this claim → doesn't this

mean that the total welfare of society will be

threatened

History shows that peaceful protest/diplomacy has

always made more lasting change than violence

630 - 337 - 508 | esp. as it pertains to civil rights

UPENN LIBERTY BELL CLASSIC

Feb 13 - 14, 2016

Whippany Park LCo (Lucia Colicchio) vs Loyola Blakefield JM (Jack Morton)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Loyola Blakefield

Whippany Park LCo

AFF

NEG

Winner: Loyola Blakefield debating on the AFF
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Luis Aguirre, Erica Cooper. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Loyola Blakefield (Jack Morton) - AFF

- utilitarianism - core argument

any successful gov must use utilitarianism

↳ morality → utilitarianism must be used

- handgun homicides are rampant (uses stats)

- recidivism? not sure why he mentions this point

- increased to suicide → access to guns

* 1/2 million guns are stolen each year → will this happen more often if they are banned?

1997 - suicide → (these are old sources) 2010-2014

* Where are your mentions about mass shootings?

What are the root causes of gun violence?

Counterclaim → economic troubles, mental health concerns

Perhaps, guns should be banned until we address these problems. - could have mentioned this point.

Point Scale:

30 - Surpassing; the level best
29 - Excellent, late-elim level
28 - Good, should break
27 - Average, shouldn't break
26 - Below Average
25 - Far below Average
24 & below - Offensive, rude
HFILL Tenth points may be used
HFILL LPWs okay

Lexington MP (Neg) v. DuPont Manual (Aff)

Aff

- Powerful opening
- Board speaker
- Societal well-being
- Utilitarian

1- Horrific cases - violent crimes
Hudgens heavy non-union

- 2 Domestic violence
- 3 Suicide

Aff Cross

- Precision → Minor in the 60s

Neg

- Precision
- Community Fragment
 - ↳ Raising rights of all
- Morality is subjective
- Police segment
 - ↳ Racial injustice
 - ↳ Eric Garner, Michael Brown
- Police can be racist
- Minority oppression
 - ↳ Long history of disorder
 - ↳ Black codes
- Racial insurrection
- Unequal enforcement
- World today > World of then
- Not practically

Neg Cross

- Focus on numbers...
- Hudson born → A.A. - SC partner

Aff Rebuttal

- Minorities are disproportionately affected by handguns

Neg Closing

- Psychological value
- Prevalent prejudice
- Keeping Brown at bay
- Unaccountable numbers taught by police

Aff Closing

Winner: Lexington MP, Neg

[Signature]

Spread?

* ^{College Hall} great question → says that DC isn't a great example

* government needs to help save people from themselves
→ great point

But how are people inherently self-destructive?

Ridge AS - neg

- questions were unclear to his opponent
- constitutional to have handguns increases murder rates (didn't support w/ stats)
- talks about low enforcement strategies → which ones would be effective?

argues self-defense (what specific example are there showing that this was an effective strategy)

- accidents - used good evidence for that argument
- mental health will always be present and w/o treatment suicide will happen + be inevitable!

DC is worse - compared to what (didn't hear evidence showing this).

Flight 1

103

Fagin

AFF - Hill MH

NEG - RidgeAS

AFF wins Eyalutty

Notes:

Hill MH - AFF

- Core Argument: private ownership should be banned

- defines key terms

* Focus on utilitarianism - key argument
Policymakers - winners vs. losers

* Talks about militias \Rightarrow don't protect us against
the gov \rightarrow How are they ineffective? How do they
not support our rights?

* Newton - finally a debate that mentions a current
shooting!

* suicides are rampant \rightarrow most are connected with
having guns

\rightarrow no such thing as "safe storage" w/ all these
mental health problems \rightarrow GREAT argument!

\rightarrow Link to US + homicides as compared to other
Western European countries (stats were missing?)

FLIGHT 2

118

Fagin

AFF - Hendrick Hudson (NEG) - Marriotts Ridge

NEG wins Ejelett

notes:

AFF - Hendrick Hudson

- Utilitarianism - central argument
- * Homicides - prevention with ban on handguns
- Use the same source → needs more variety
- Speaking too fast when I told him I heard them to speak more slowly
- * being defensive and isn't being professional
- * interrupting her during the cross examination

Marriotts Ridge - neg

- She does a good job asking questions to discredit AFF's arguments

Scope + Sensitivity vs. Utilitarianism

- Humans have equal worth
- moral world need to make people valuable → don't quite follow this argument.

Combating oppression

- Handgun ban will obstruct civil liberties

Draconian laws - like what? what would this look like?

* Great point \rightarrow Race = violence
Black people are targeted the most when they are put into place
5x more likely to get arrested. in nyc
stop + frisk (great point!)

\rightarrow not really using a script \rightarrow she memorized this (amazing!!)

Consequences are not predictable (need an example of this) Amazing But should

have mentioned the war on drugs

- She noticed that he used the same source for most of the debate

- homicides \rightarrow States evidence now this increase when handguns are banned

* Black market \rightarrow What are the numbers of guns in circulation now??

NLD Ballot #2 (Flight 2)

Winner: Aff (Lexington)

RFD: The enforcement debate ended up being a wash and Neg's C₁ + the alternative weren't well impacted. I vote aff because aff properly extends and impacts her C₂.

Aff

- 1 minute left in your constructive?
That is a travesty. Add more analysis or evidence
to make full use of your time.

Neg

- I feel like you're contradicting yourself
when you ~~say~~ say "DC isn't sufficient as
an example" but then try to say "Chicago is
an example that sufficiently proves that bans
don't work."

Ballot (College Hall 311F)

Winner: Aff (Lexington PZ)

RFD: Aff is able to win the framework debate through the argument about how self-defense ultimately links back to life. She ends up winning evidence as well.

Aff

- Slow down when reading authors tags. You mumbled sometimes and I wasn't able to fully understand you.
- Good CX! Good questions & response.

Neq

- Your response to her suicide argument ~~isn't convincing at all.~~ Restricting choice in the instance of suicide isn't a bad thing, because suicide is ~~a~~ a permanent answer to a temporary problem.
- You need to know the methodology for your studies.

Novice LD Quarters

Judge: Caroline Wallace

Aff: Lexington RL

Neg: Milburn KC

Winner: Aff Lexington RL

Aff won framework. Neg failed to refute idea that life is a freedom + utilitarian argument. Aff won suicide and self-defense arguments. Neg failed to rebut arg. that handguns are most effective means of suicide and that guns ~~were~~ not effective in self-defense. Neg won domestic violence b/c Aff failed to extend in IAR. Black markets evidence a wash. Aff won on framework and more arguments.

Bridgewater Paristan J1 v. Lexington IJ
(Neg) (Aff)

Aff

- Morality, Maximize Well-being
- 1. Homicides
 - ↳ Homicides most effective in reducing crime
 - ↳ Normalization of violence
- 2. Domestic Violence
 - ↳ Increased victimization
 - Guns in home → women likely to be killed
 - Patriarchal symbolism
- 3. Suicide
 - ↳ Guns most common

Aff Cross

- Utilitarian
- Other guns? - easier to manage
 - ↳ Well respected
- Hunt's guns - other guns

Neg

- Other weapons, non-violent outcomes
 - ↳ Increase in homicides
 - Mags guns - less violent
 - ↳ Deterring
 - Murder rates drop
 - Unarmed citizenry
 - ↳ Public shootings

Neg Cross

- Correlation ~ Causation

Aff Rebuttal

- Long-guns - harder to use, conceal, obtain
 - ↳ Amount of people who'd switch is small

Neg Closing

- Criminal intent
 - ↳ Long-guns
- Self-protection
- Criminals will find a way

Aff Closing

- Harder for criminals to get guns
- Other methods of self-defense

✱ Winner: Bridgewater Paristan J1

[Signature]

ULD

Ballot #1 (Fight 1)

Winner: Aff (Bridgewater Rarity AL)

RFD: Aff is able to sufficiently prove that his evidence for C_1 and C_2 is stronger overall. As much as I liked the neg's C_1 , she just didn't extend it in the NR. Aff is the only side with offense and therefore, I vote aff.

This comment was written after the NC; thank you for doing this in the NR.

Aff

- You need to know the methodology for your studies.
- I'm really not sure where you're going w/ the "criminals are trustworthy" line of questioning in CX.
- Nice job on comparing evidence in the IAR; you do a good job of explaining why brev is better.

Neg

- I really think you should just agree to the AC's framework. The round really isn't ~~hinging~~ hinges on the framework debate because aff + neg have similar frameworks.
- Good evidence in your NC!

Elizabeth Meyer Egelhoff

AFF - Max Holmes (Hill)
=

Fagin 103

Doubles

2:57

2:30

AFF Hill MH
Max HAMES

Neg Ridge AS
Aditya Sneh

Winner

Neg Ridge AS

Edward Jing
Edward Jing