



PF QUARTERFINALS

Online Ballot Comments

Quarterfinals

[Return to Schematic](#)[Return to Round Results](#)

Choi & Pallal vs Khanna & Chow

Bakhle



Bakhle, Ishaan

RFD

I access the con's framework very easily. Pro doesn't cover it in the rebuttal and it's extended through cleanly for the remainder of the round. All I have to do is find one issue to vote for the con on. Con wins that the US will lose a great deal of economic capital and also that US intervention fails. Pro also double turns the con C2, putting you in a difficult position. I have so many issues to vote for the con on and all that I needed was one. The biggest flaw in the pro strat was the mishandling of the FW debate. The first response came far too late in the round. Moreover, I don't have any explanation of why the FW is abusive. I need an explanation of why it's not the best one that we should adopt for **the evaluation of this specific res.**

Comments for Choi & Pallal

- FW is a waste of time
- Check your info on China
- Deep sea mining on the aff is an interesting strat. I think this could be a winning strat more often than not if you prep out econ args from the neg.
- Y'all need to give me more reasons to adopt your FW over the con's, especially considering how relevant to the resolution theirs is.
- Y'all double turn the neg's C2.
- Y'all need a review of South China Sea FoPo.
- Answers to the con FW come far too late in the round.
- I need more explanation on FW.

Comments for Khanna & Chow

- This is the FW I've been waiting all tournament to see.
- Y'all need a review of South China Sea FoPo.
- While y'all won regardless, it would have been wiser to spend more time on framework and pair it by focusing on one issue.

